

From: Sandy Peck
To: , Office, League
BC: Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/2/2011 7:55:16 PM
Subject: SPEAK UP FOR FAIR REDISTRICTING! Contact your legislator! Rally at the Capitol Monday!
Attachments: [Part.002](#), [Mime.822](#)



ACTION ALERT!

CAN YOU SPEAK UP FOR FAIR REDISTRICTING?

Action Alert : REDISTRICTING SPECIAL SESSION MONDAY!

The Utah Legislature meets this Monday October 3 to enact a redistricting plan for Utah's 4 Congressional seats, the Utah House of Representatives, Utah Senate and State School Board.

This spring Utah citizens rallied to tell the Legislature that their revisions to the GRAMA law were too radical.

Now it's time to tell them that their last minute proposal to gerrymander the state and overturn the election of Congressman Jim Matheson by putting his voters into other congressional districts is too radical. All Utahns want to be represented in Washington.

Let your legislators know what the Redistricting Committee has done wrong:

- 1. The process:** According to Senator Ben McAdams, D-Salt Lake and Redistricting Committee member: **"A map was drafted in secret, sprung on the committee by surprise in the middle of a meeting, adopted two days later by the committee and is heading for approval by the legislature on Monday.** They learned nothing from their failed attempt to repeal open government laws a few short months ago. They did it again with this map that seeks to overturn the election of Jim Matheson. . ."
- 2. Committee principles:** **The committee has not fairly applied their adopted principle of reasonably compact districts.** CDs 1, 3, and especially 2 are spread over large areas, but CD 4 is a small area in the heart of Salt Lake County. **CD 4 also violates the Committee's goal of every district being partly rural.** By population and land use, CD 4 is almost completely urban.
- 3. The public's principles:** **Public testimony has supported not splitting communities of interest and honoring city boundaries.** Yet Holladay, for example, a small city of 60,000 residents, is split among 3 of the 4 CDs.
- 4. Political party considerations:** **The public believes that political party data about voters should not enter into redistricting.** The committee tells us that it does not have party data on its computers, but we know they have access to it from party officials. And their approved districts would clearly increase Republican incumbent advantage over Democrats.
- 5. Voter turnout:** **The public has reminded the committee many times that if voters are put in districts where their candidates have virtually no chance to win, they see no point in voting. This may not be the legal definition of disenfranchisement, but that's the real result.** *(According to a September 29 Salt Lake Tribune article Utah is in 48th place for per cent of qualified citizens voting in the last election. Only 11.8 per cent of Utahns aged 18-24 voted in the last election—dead last in the country.)*

WHAT YOU CAN DO

You can look at the maps here

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/category/maps/committee-adopted-maps>

http://www.utahcitizenscounsel.org/?page_id=325

Find and contact your legislator today at

<http://le.utah.gov/GIS/findDistrict.jsp>

Make your voice heard! Speak as an individual constituent to your senator and representative!

**Join with fellow Utahns to rally for fair redistricting in the Capitol at 11:30 a.m.
Monday**

The Legislature is NOT listening so its time to raise the volume!

Utah League redistricting principles, reached in 1980, are:

The League of Women Voters supports meeting strict anti-gerrymandering standards including:

- prompt judicial review
- basic equality among districts
- district lines that honor local political boundaries
- district lines that are compact
- district lines that are not drawn for the purpose of advantage or disadvantage of any political party, incumbent, or any person or group
- lines that do not dilute voting strength of any minority
- lines that do not consider addresses of incumbents, political affiliation of registered voters, previous election returns, or demographic information other than population should not be used in redistricting.

League of Women Voters
3804 Highland Drive Suite 8D
Salt Lake City UT 84106
801.272.8683

lwvut@xmission.com
<http://lwvutah.org/>

Thanks to Xmission (www.xmission.com) for its donation of ISP services

The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization that encourages informed and active participation in government, works to increase understanding of major public policy issues, and influences public policy through education and advocacy.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "LWVUT-Senate-nonSL" group.

To post to this group, send email to lwwut-senate-nonsl@googlegroups.com.

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to lwwut-senate-nonsl+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/lwwut-senate-nonsl?hl=en>.

From: Aaron Gabrielson
To: VanTassell, Kevin
Date: 10/2/2011 8:28:00 PM
Subject: RE: Wallsburg and Senate Maps
Attachments: [image001.png](#), [image002.png](#), [image003.png](#), [Mime.822](#)

Thanks Kevin. Appreciate all you do for Wasatch County.

Aaron Gabrielson
mobile: 801.319.6876
home: 435.657.9544
email: aarongabrielson@gmail.com

Find me online at   

From: Kevin VanTassell [mailto:kvantassell@utahsenate.org]
Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2011 1:36 PM
To: aarongabrielson@gmail.com
Subject: Re: Wallsburg and Senate Maps

We,ll take another look thanks for contacting me. Kevin

Connected by MOTOBLUR™

-----Original message-----

From: "Aaron Gabrielson <aarongabrielson@gmail.com>" <aarongabrielson@gmail.com>
To: Kevin VanTassell <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>
Sent: Sat, Oct 1, 2011 23:20:59 GMT+00:00
Subject: Wallsburg and Senate Maps

Sen. Van Tassell,

It looks like the Senate redistricting map splits off Wallsburg into Curt Bramble's district. I found out that a multi-county district would make it so Bramble does not get selected by his county delegates but by the state delegates. There are 400 or so county delegates in Utah county that are pretty upset about that.

It seems silly to split off a tiny part of Wasatch county just to help out Bramble.

I am hoping to keep Wallsburg in the same district with the rest of Wasatch county if possible.

Anything you can do to help would be appreciated.

Thanks!

Aaron Gabrielson

Wasatch County GOP Chair

mobile: 801.319.6876

home: 435.657.9544

email: aarongabrielson@gmail.com

Find me online at <<http://www.facebook.com/people/@/1621394470>> Facebook

<<http://www.linkedin.com/in/aarongabrielson>> LinkedIn

<<http://twitter.com/agabe10>> Twitter

From: Crystal Young-Otterstrom
To:
BC: Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/7/2011 12:25:35 PM
Subject: Utah Democrats Challenge Republican Leadership to Compromise on Redistricting
Attachments: [rectangle-logo-400.jpg](#), [Mime.822](#)

Contact:

Matt Lyon

801.597.8888 | mlyon@utdem.org

Crystal Young-Otterstrom

801.652.0737 | crystal@utdem.org

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Friday, October 7, 2011

Utah Democrats Challenge Republican Leadership to Compromise on Redistricting

SALT LAKE CITY – Utah Democrats issued a challenge and invitation to Republican legislative leadership today. Speaking on behalf of all Utahans, party chair Jim Dabakis challenged the majority party to pledge to "OPEN ALL" of their discussions about redistricting and to seek a bipartisan solution for the Congressional maps.

"Tuesday was a fiasco. It was a waste of taxpayer dollars, and it showed the dirty underbelly of politics and an intensely partisan process," stated Dabakis this morning. "No more secrets, no deals, no trade-offs in the closed Republican caucus rooms. No more last minute maps whipped up behind closed doors without involving the public."

"We have two weeks. Let's open the closed doors! Unafraid of the light, Democratic meetings, caucuses, and conversations are open to the public---on behalf of all Utahans we implore that Republicans join us in letting the public watch Utah's business be conducted," Dabakis said. "Let's rise above the partisan bickering and develop a truly bipartisan map. One that represents communities. One that represents Utah. Utah deserves better. As leaders, we must do better."

On air, Dabakis reiterated that the Democrats are willing and want to work with Republicans and the public to develop a congressional map. He also reaffirmed the Senate and House Democrats' call from Tuesday that insisted any map brought before the legislative bodies must first be brought to the public.

"Utah expects Democrats and Republicans to work together in an open and transparent process. Lets sit down and develop a bipartisan map. We can do better, we must do better. How amazing would it be for Utah to lead the nation in an open and fair redistricting process? If Utah Republicans and Democrats work together, we could inspire bipartisanship across the country and end the national stalemate. We will renew voters' faith in their elected leaders."

####

--

Crystal Young-Otterstrom

Interim Communications Director

Utah State Democratic Party

M: (801) 652-0737

crystal@utdem.org

www.utahdemocrats.org



From: Rob Bishop
BC: Kevin VanTassell, Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/12/2011 10:40:01 PM
Subject: Some thoughts on redistricting from Congressman Bishop
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear Senator:

The redistricting process in the Utah Legislature is messy and cumbersome. No one ever likes the lines drawn. A redistricting process done by a so-called independent redistricting commission would be messy and cumbersome. No one would ever like the lines drawn.

The difference between the two options is that the Legislature – no matter how many caucus meetings they may hold – must ultimately make an open presentation and hold an open vote. They must explain their decisions and be accountable at the next election. Redistricting commissions don't. They may make a public presentation, but have no requirement for explanation nor in any way are they accountable to voters.

Where non-elected redistricting commissions work, it is often due to a quirk of personalities, such as in Washington State where the leading Republican and Democratic members have served for multiple cycles and try hard not to upset the status quo. In Arizona, their commission produced a very partisan and highly criticized map. Even the inappropriately self-named "Fair Boundaries" organization in Utah used the former director of the Democratic Party who produced – surprise – boundaries favorable to Democrats. A commission is far from a panacea, independent, or non-partisan.

The basic problem with a non-elected redistricting commission is the base philosophy. The Progressive Era in American history provided a philosophical change of attitude toward government. The Founders had faith in lay government – man's ability to govern himself. The Progressive Era argued for governing of the people by experts whose work would seemingly be based on science. (Of course, this was the Era when Communism was also the daring, new government philosophy, but I digress.) Resorting to so-called experts has produced massive national bureaucracies such as the EPA (always right even when state DEQ scientists prove their data flawed), the SEC (experts about Wall Street), the Federal Reserve Board (experts about money), and the NLRB (experts about keeping jobs from going to South Carolina), and so on and so forth.

For some it is easy to demagogue the Legislature, but given the option I much prefer to have districts altered by someone in a public position on the House and Senate Floors - even if I don't fully agree with the alteration - rather than by the all-powerful wizard behind the curtain.

Allow me to comment on three related but tangential subjects:

A) "Community of interest" is basically a sham phrase used to hide real desires. It has been charged by some, for example, that St. George has nothing in common with Salt Lake City therefore they should not be in the same district. Actually, St. George doesn't really have that much in common with Provo or Ogden or Logan or even Cedar City; but St. George has to be with someone. One public witness at a Redistricting Committee Meeting seemed to claim that maybe places like St. George and Moab and Park City should be together because they all attract tourists - cute, but not a reason for drawing such lines.

Salt Lake City cannot even claim to be one "community of interest." Can one with a straight face claim students at the U of U have the same interests as single family home owners in west Rose Park or Redwood Road; that Glendale is a mirror image of the east bench; that the community in the Avenues replicates occupants of buildings like the Eagle Gate downtown? Come on!

When one hollers "community of interest" (or "gerrymandering" for that matter), the shouter really

just wants the fulcrum of power elsewhere – and usually for partisan purposes.

B) The Legislature can't pick future Congressmen by drawing lines and it's not fair for some to try to claim that is what is happening. Look at the existing congressional boundaries: Box Elder is not the center of the 1st District; Matheson wins in the Republican 2nd District, and Chaffetz doesn't even live in the 3rd District. Despite district lines, voters pick whom they choose.

C) There are maps I like, and a whole lot that are just butt ugly. Please be cautious.

Thank you for your efforts. Redistricting is always a time consuming task with major complaints and few compliments. I understand. I've been there and done that. Personally, I have major compliments for your efforts – and just a few complaints. You should all be commended for your commitment to this process and for the accountability that comes with it.

Rob Bishop

From: Scott Welling
To: Powell, Kraig, VanTassell, Kevin
Date: 10/17/2011 6:49:00 PM
Subject: redistricting
Attachments: Mime.822

Senator Van Tassell, Representative Powell: I write to express outrage at the anti-Democrat gerrymandering unfolding on the hill. I know it's a foregone conclusion that Utah Republicans believe so strongly in their conservative philosophy that diversity of political opinion will not be permitted – even though that's the American, and responsible, way of conducting the public's affairs. And this is being done to grease the skids for Carl Wimmer! The man is an extremist and menace to good governance – you should be ashamed of what is being done in the name of your party.

SCOTT C. WELLING

Attorney at Law
2064 Prospector Ave.
P.O. Box 680715
Park City, UT 84068-0715

P 435.649-8406
F 435-649-8412
E scott@scottwellinglaw.com

_____ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 6551
(20111017) _____

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

<http://www.eset.com>

From: hal massey
To: Christensen, Allen, Hinkins, David, Thatcher, Dan, Davis, Gene, Stephenson, Howard, Valentine, John, Stevenson, Jerry, Mayne, Karen, Morgan, Karen, VanTassell, Kevin, Hillyard, Lyle, Robles, Luz, Dayton, Margaret, Madsen, Mark, Jones, Pat, Knudson, Peter, Okerlund, Ralph, Romero, Ross, Reid, Stuart, Jenkins, Scott, Urquhart, Steve, Niederhauser, Wayne, , , , , ,
Date: 10/17/2011 11:38:02 AM

Hi Y'all,
Divide Salt Lake county into four voting districts.
The dems. under Jim D. and his ravings, need to be muzzled.
Just do it.
Hal

Hal Massey

(801) 265-0746 // halmassey2@hotmail.com

From: Craig Shuler
To: Christensen, Allen, Osmond, Aaron, Anderson, Casey, Hinkins, David, Liljenquist, Dan, Thatcher, Dan, Stephenson, Howard, Adams, Stuart, Valentine, John, Stevenson, Jerry, VanTassell, Kevin, Hillyard, Lyle, Dayton, Margaret, Madsen, Mark, Knudson, Peter, Okerlund, Ralph, Reid, Stuart, Jenkins, Scott, Urquhart, Steve, Waddoups, Michael, Niederhauser, Wayne,
Date: 10/16/2011 11:54:11 PM
Subject: Republicans, consider McAdams/Cox and other bipartisan maps
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Republican Utah senators,

I represent the 80 percent of Utahns and Americans—the independents, moderate Republicans, and moderate democrats—who want Republicans and Democrats in the legislature and congress to work together for the country and people rather than solely for their own partisan political objectives. If you are part of the 20 percent who doesn't care whether the parties work together, I disagree. If you care what the 80 percent think, read on. Otherwise please delete this e-mail now rather than have me waste your time.

1. Rep. Sumsion explained at the SLC library last week, that the Utah House boundaries, mostly developed by him, were done in an independent attitude (bi-partisan way). He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats were in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. If true, congratulations to him and the Utah House and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work on all the House maps.
2. The McAdams / Cox "Modification of Hat and 3 Stripes" is apparently the only bipartisan map published since your late September adjournment. It is not as good as Rep. King's maps but as Sen. McAdams said at the SLC library, this one which he was then working on might be the only one the your supermajority would consider as a compromise.
3. By nearly any definition, your West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please try to convince each other to take a higher, less partisan road.

To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered.

THOSE PLANS ARE ALL EXTREMELY UNFAIR. If it were me, I would vote no on all of them this week, whether I were Republican, Democrat, or Independent. None of them make you look like statesmen and women.

4. Of all congress maps submitted in October by a legislator, only Rep. King's proposed Substitution 7 (the Garber Plan) meets the criteria that ought to be the only criteria for congressional boundaries other than equal population. Those criteria keep districts logical, compact, and keep most communities together. They also keep neighboring communities and counties together.

Rep. King's S12 looks like a fairly decent compromise between Garber's good points and replacing S3002's and Sumsion's West Valley-Provo doughnut hole with something more defensible. Would one of you, perhaps a Republican in central or southern Utah sponsor it with him as another bipartisan option?

5. The only map I like better than Rep. King's is Brandon Plewe's October 9th NSEW modification of King's S07. Two districts (north and south) would likely be permanently Republican for the ten years. The other two would likely be winnable by either party—the East / SLC district would likely be won by any strong Democratic candidate. The West / SL County south district would generally be won by any strong Republican candidate. But in both those districts our votes would at least matter.

I think it is important that Utah be represented in Congress by at least one Democrat and one Republican. Otherwise our state's voice will never matter with one or the other of the parties.

Plewe's NSEW map would split Salt Lake County north (SLC together) and south instead of east and west. Brandon's map, as he says,

- a. Divides cities and counties as little as possible. Only 3 counties are divided (Davis, SL, Utah), and each is only divided between two districts. Only two cities are divided: Bountiful and Pleasant Grove.
- b. Preserve rural regions: Northern Utah, Southern Utah, Uintah Basin
- c. Make districts as compact (near-circular) as possible. Unfortunately, to keep SLC & WVC intact, I had to divide Salt Lake County into North and South"

I would add, because Brandon followed boundaries, there are also only three school districts that are divided between two districts—all in the largest districts in the state, Davis, Granite, and Alpine. Brandon accomplishes all this by throwing out the Redistricting committee's fetish of 2010 population variations at plus or minus 1 or 2 people or less. With Brandon at plus or minus 77 people and .01 of 1 percent his differences are still well within statistical irrelevance. I am not a statistician, but I suspect anything up to .5 of one percent variance would be statistically irrelevant, legally supportable, and any concerns about it should be trumped by as an issue by communities of interest.

Would one of you submit Brandon's map with a democrat and have a vote on it so a vote is in the record?

I also left comments about most of the plans at the Redistrict Utah site. Sorry this is so long, but I have (perhaps naïve) hope that some of you will read and act on the above as talking points this week. Thanks for all you do.

Craig L. Shuler, CPA

home 801-572-9167

cell 801-599-7378

From:
To: Anderson, Casey, Hinkins, David, Adams, Stuart, Stevenson, Jerry, VanTassell,
Kevin, Hillyard, Lyle, Okerlund, Ralph, Urquhart, Steve
Date: 10/16/2011 9:30:11 PM
Subject: Please do not sacrifice betterment of public for betterment of politicians
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

A last-second plea to not consider political careers in drawing the boundaries. To the victor, goes the spoils, it is said, and you may be of a mind it is quite within your right.

Each person to his or her own opinion, and I certainly am wrong about enough in life, but, yes, to me, it strays from good ethics to allow politics and political careers to be a factor in the way the map is drawn. (Maps? I believe the only one left, is the congressional map. But, if the legislative maps have not been finalized, I am concerned about them, as well.)

To the victor goes the spoils? And, therefore, you are but claiming what is yours? Is this no more of a thing than giving government posts to those of one's own party? It is a little more. In this case, if you adjust the boundaries to increase the chances of your party, or the odds for a particular possible candidate, you must change them away from what is best for the public.

You must sacrifice the good of the public for the good of a politician.

I mean no offense, but we elect you to serve us, the people, not yourselves.

Please, as you look at the six (or however many) choices, consider which of them have been drawn to forward a political career and opt not to support that plan.

-- John Jackson
of Sandy

From: Connie Stewart
BC: Kevin VanTassell, Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/16/2011 7:56:08 PM
Subject: Vote for SB 3002, as recommended by the redistricting committee and has passed the Utah Senate.
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear Senator,

I would like the Utah State Legislature to not make last-minute changes and to proceed to pass the map that was supported by the redistricting committee. Reject any last minute attempts to water down rural Utah's influence.

Support SB 3002, which was recommended by the redistrict ion committee and has already passed the Utah Senate.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart

354 South 500 East

Saint George, UT 84770-3718

Phone - 435-628-7932

Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

From: Connie Stewart
BC: Kevin VanTassell, Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/16/2011 7:45:05 PM
Subject:
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear Senator,

You are meeting this Monday, October 17, 2011 to finalize the redistricting map. The Utah Senate has passed the most equitable bill (redistricting plan).

Speaker Becky Lockhart is pushing two new plans to introduce tomorrow. You made a decision, now is not the time to make any changes or wavier on that decision. It is a good redistricting plan.

(*Early last year the Utah State Legislature made "last minute changes" to adopt a bill regarding illegal immigration and found themselves in hot water, with a bill that the citizens of Utah were greatly dissatisfied with.)

I respectfully request that you move forward, no further considerations and adopt the plan as accepted by the Utah Senate, today, Monday, October 17, 2011.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart
354 South 500 East
Saint George, UT 84770-3718
Phone - 435-628-7932
Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

From: Bonnie Pence
To: Oda, Curtis, Bird, Jim, Powell, Kraig, Menlove, Ronda, Bramble, Curtis, Liljenquist, Dan, Valentine, John, VanTassell, Kevin, Hillyard, Lyle, Dayton, Margaret, Urquhart, Steve, Niederhauser, Wayne,
Date: 10/16/2011 6:34:43 PM
Subject: Fw: I am writing in regards to the US Congressional redistricting maps.
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

I am writing in regards to the US Congressional redistricting maps.

Please create 4 equitable districts so that Democrats, Republicans, Utah cities and rural areas are represented in each one. We want 4 competitive Congressional Districts, not one Democrat and three Republican safe districts.

I am pasting a list of excellent reasons sent to me by a friend. I agree completely with his reasoning, and have made my comments in red. Please know that this, IMHO, is extremely important for our state. There should be no one district that panders to just one party - we need to be as equitable as possible.

This is the list of reasons why:

1 It benefits all Utahns to have four Congressmen with a stake in Salt Lake County instead of just one - absolutely.

2 It benefits all Utahns to have four Congressmen who are advocates for rural Utah, particularly our public lands and natural resources instead of just one - we need more strength in Washington to protect our lands and 4 representatives who are knowledgeable about specific rural areas will give us that.

3 It benefits all Utahns to have four equally competitive Congressional Districts where a good candidate from either party has a chance to win - that seems only fair to me.

4 We will maximize the quality of our candidates from both parties if we make all four districts as equal and as competitive as possible - I agree.

5 It is both equitable and wise to establish four, equal 60-40 districts rather than any one district made too heavily Republican or Democrat - this only makes good common sense.

6) We are not interested in electing Congressmen who bring home the bacon for a specific community of interest, Republican or Democrat. We want Congressmen who will fight for a national balanced budget and preservation of American liberty, not pork - pork spending has corrupted our country and cost the taxpayers millions of dollars for un-needed and frivolous projects.

7) The Democrats are going to file suit regardless of which map the Legislature adopts. It is irresponsible for a heavily Republican legislature to cede Democrats advantages that are literally harmful to our country and state and then take a PR whipping anyway - it's a shame the Democrats insist on lawsuits to try to get their way - threats or lawsuits, either way is wrong, but unfortunately will probably happen. We need equality in our districts - let them sue, it is important to stand on principle instead of submitting to their threats.

Thank you for listening and please vote wisely for four equal districts.

Cordially,

Bonnie A. Pence

995 N. 475 E.

Orem, Utah 84097

From: Represent Me Utah
To: Lockhart, Becky, Waddoups, Michael
BC: Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/16/2011 2:25:02 PM
Subject: Please act with caution and deliberation on Monday.
Attachments: Mime.822

Dear Representatives and Senators,

An article in the Deseret News (Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011) has us seriously concerned.

The general tone of the article is that the special session on Monday will be quick and that minds have already been made up. We would urge you to not act in haste. We know members are all aware that the Legislature is allowed up to thirty days to complete the redistricting task with a special session.

We recognize that the process of redistricting has been long and challenging, but to suggest that all discussion and examination of alternative maps is over seems premature. It's a disservice to the people of Utah, to not consider a map that will ensure the fairest representation.

The final map will serve the people of Utah for ten years. It deserves all the time and effort necessary to get the right map.

Represent Me Utah! Has been following the redistricting process since our first rally on a snowy day in April on the steps of the capitol. Our goal has been to raise public awareness of this important process, and we think we have achieved that goal. We have attended almost every Redistricting Committee meeting across the state and have kept the public updated. We have conducted many informal polls and surveys. In fact, we have a petition of over five thousand signatures from citizens concurring that they want to keep their communities together.

Again we implore you to act with caution and deliberation. Please be patience and tolerant in this last stretch of the process, especially when you hear the voices of a frustrated public. We have heard Speaker Lockhart eloquently state many times before, if the process is good, the product usually is also good. Please let the process continue without an unnecessary hurriedness.

Thank you for your public service,

Represent Me Utah!

Representmeutah.org

From: DALTON
To: VanTassell, Kevin
Date: 10/16/2011 8:17:23 AM
Subject: Please support the Garber Citizen Map
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear Senator Van Tassell,

The Garber Citizen Map is one of the most compact and contiguous map presented.

Please support this redistricting option.

Thank you- Joe and Carol Dalton

*Dalton
PO BOX 682570
Park city, UT 84068
435 655 9498 home
435 640 4004 cell*

--

**Carol Lamb Dalton
PO BOX 682570
Park City, UT 84068
435 655 9498 home
435 640 4004 cell**

From: "betsy@customtuscanyc.com"
To: VanTassell, Kevin
Date: 10/15/2011 10:03:24 AM
Subject: Vote YES on Garber Citizens Map!
Attachments: PastedGraphic-1.pdf, Part.002, Mime.822

As my House Representative- I am urging you to vote yes on the rational Garber Citizen Map for the new redistricting boundaries. It is reasonable and more fair than breaking up our counties any more than necessary.

Thanks for your consideration,

Mary Quintana
714 Quaking Aspen Court
Park City, UT 84060
USA

betsy@customtuscanyc.com
www.customtuscanyc.com
001.435.513.0659

From: Leonard Plaizier
BC: Kevin VanTassell, Kevin VanTassell
Date: 10/14/2011 4:27:57 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted Plan ID 68408 "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" - With LINK
Attachments: Mime.822

Please consider the "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" plan (ID 68408).
A Compromise Plan Like No Other!

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-plaizier-fair-4-utah-counties-and-cities>

Thank you
Leonard