

From: Brad Wilson
To: Charlie Treft
Date: 10/15/2011 11:57:49 PM
Subject: Re: Support the McAdams-Cox Modification (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Charlie Treft 10/15/11 23:57 >>>

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely;
Charles P. Treft
Layton, Utah.

From: Brad Wilson
To: Charlie Treft
Date: 10/15/2011 11:58:51 PM
Subject: Re: (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Charlie Treft 10/15/11 23:58 >>>

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.
Thank you for your time.
Sincerely,
Charles P. Treft
Layton, Utah.

From: Brad Wilson
To: Larry Meyers
Date: 10/16/2011 9:01:46 AM
Subject: Re: Support SB 3002 and oppose last-minute redistricting changes (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Larry Meyers 10/16/11 09:02 >>>

Dear Utah House members,

I am writing to encourage you to pass SB 3002, which was vetted by the Redistricting Committee and passed by the Utah Senate. SB 3002 brings a fair urban-rural balance to the redistricting process and treats southern Utah fairly.

Now is not the time to start over and try to pass a whole new map that has not been through the committee process and public scrutiny. If you do, it will lead to diminished public support for those who pushed the last-minute changes. It also appears to me that any last minute changes will be subject to a lawsuit on the grounds that they are based on partisan calculations, which is prohibited by the redistricting rules.

Let's finish the process tomorrow by passing SB 3002!

Larry Meyers
Treasurer, Utah Republican Assembly

To: Represent Me Utah
Date: 10/16/2011 2:25:37 PM
Subject: Re: Please act with caution and deliberation on Monday. (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Represent Me Utah 10/16/11 14:25 >>>

Dear Representatives and Senators,

An article in the Deseret News (Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011) has us seriously concerned.

The general tone of the article is that the special session on Monday will be quick and that minds have already been made up. We would urge you to not act in haste. We know members are all aware that the Legislature is allowed up to thirty days to complete the redistricting task with a special session.

We recognize that the process of redistricting has been long and challenging, but to suggest that all discussion and examination of alternative maps is over seems premature. It's a disservice to the people of Utah, to not consider a map that will ensure the fairest representation.

The final map will serve the people of Utah for ten years. It deserves all the time and effort necessary to get the right map.

Represent Me Utah! Has been following the redistricting process since our first rally on a snowy day in April on the steps of the capitol. Our goal has been to raise public awareness of this important process, and we think we have achieved that goal. We have attended almost every Redistricting Committee meeting across the state and have kept the public updated. We have conducted many informal polls and surveys. In fact, we have a petition of over five thousand signatures from citizens concurring that they want to keep their communities together.

Again we implore you to act with caution and deliberation. Please be patience and tolerant in this last stretch of the process, especially when you hear the voices of a frustrated public. We have heard Speaker Lockhart eloquently state many times before, if the process is good, the product usually is also good. Please let the process continue without an unnecessary hurriedness.

Thank you for your public service,

Represent Me Utah!

Representmeutah.org

From: Brad Wilson
To: ada cox
Date: 10/16/2011 7:36:52 PM
Subject: Re: Redistricting (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> ada cox 10/16/11 19:36 >>>

We have studied the state redistricting issues, and SUPPORT SB 3002 that was passed by the Utah Senate. Please support this bill during the special session.

Thank you for your service to the State of Utah.

Bill and Ada Cox, St. George

From: Brad Wilson
To: Connie Stewart
Date: 10/16/2011 7:44:07 PM
Subject: Re: NO last minute changes! - Pass SB 3002, which has passed the Utah Senate. (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Connie Stewart 10/16/11 19:43 >>>

Dear Legislators,

You are meeting this Monday, October 17, 2011 to finalize the redistricting map. The Utah Senate has passed the most equitable bill (redistricting plan).

Speaker Becky Lockhart is pushing two new plans to introduce tomorrow.

You made a decision, now is not the time to make any changes or wavier on that decision. It is a good redistricting plan.

(*Early last year the Utah State Legislature made "last minute changes" to adopt a bill regarding illegal immigration and found themselves in hot water, with a bill that the citizens of Utah were greatly dissatisfied with.)

I respectfully request that you move forward, no further considerations and adopt the plan as accepted by the Utah Senate, today, Monday, October 17, 2011.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart
354 South 500 East
Saint George, UT 84770-3718
Phone - 435-628-7932
Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

From: Brad Wilson
To: Connie Stewart
Date: 10/16/2011 7:58:07 PM
Subject: Re: Vote for SB 3002, as recommended by the redistricting committee and has passed the Utah Senate. (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Connie Stewart 10/16/11 19:57 >>>

Dear Legislator,

I would like the Utah State Legislature to not make last-minute changes and to proceed to pass the map that was supported by the redistricting committee. Reject any last minute attempts to water down rural Utah's influence.

Support SB 3002, which was recommended by the redistrict ion committee and has already passed the Utah Senate.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart

354 South 500 East

Saint George, UT 84770-3718

Phone - 435-628-7932

Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

From: Brad Wilson
To:
Date: 10/16/2011 8:59:47 PM
Subject: Re: Sacrificing the betterment of the people for the betterment of a politician (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> 10/16/11 20:59 >>>

A last-second plea to not consider political careers in drawing the boundaries. To the victor, goes the spoils, it is said, and you may be of a mind it is quite within your right.

Each person to his or her own opinion, and I certainly am wrong about enough in life, but, yes, to me, it strays from good ethics to allow politics and political careers to be a factor in the way the map is drawn. (Maps? I believe the only one left, is the congressional map. But, if the legislative maps have not been finalized, I am concerned about them, as well.)

To the victor goes the spoils? And, therefore, you are but claiming what is yours? Is this no worse of a thing than giving government posts to those of one's own party? It is a little worse. In this case, if you adjust the boundaries to increase the chances of your party, or the odds for a particular possible candidate, you must change them away from what is best for the public.

You must sacrifice the betterment of the public for the betterment of a politician.

Please, as you look at the six (or however many) choices, consider which of them have been drawn to forward a political career and opt not to support that plan. Say you cannot do such a thing, and do not do it.

-- John Jackson
of Sandy

To: Craig Shuler
Date: 10/16/2011 11:47:53 PM
Subject: Re: Republicans, consider McAdams/Cox, King S07 and King S12 (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> "Craig Shuler" 10/16/11 23:47 >>>

Republican House of Representative members,

I represent the 80 percent of Utahns and Americans—the independents, moderate Republicans, and moderate democrats—who want Republicans and Democrats in the legislature and congress to work together for the country and people rather than solely for their own partisan political objectives. If you are part of the 20 percent who doesn't care whether the parties work together, I disagree. If you care what the 80 percent think, read on. Otherwise please delete this e-mail now rather than have me waste your time.

1. Rep. Sumsion explained at the SLC library last week, that the Utah House boundaries, mostly developed by him, were done in an independent attitude (bi-partisan way). He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats were in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. If true, congratulations to him and the Utah House and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work on all the House maps.

2. The McAdams / Cox "Modification of Hat and 3 Stripes" is apparently the only bipartisan map published since your late September adjournment. It is not as good as Rep. King's maps but as Sen. McAdams said at the SLC library, this one which he was then working on might be the only one the your supermajority would consider as a compromise.

3. By nearly any definition, your West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please try to convince each other to take a higher, less partisan road.

To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered.

THOSE PLANS ARE ALL EXTREMELY UNFAIR. If it were me, I would vote no on all of them this week, whether I were Republican, Democrat, or Independent. None of them make you look like statesmen and women.

4. Of all congress maps submitted in October by a legislator, only Rep. King's proposed Substitution 7 (the Garber Plan) meets the criteria that ought to be the only criteria for congressional boundaries other than equal population. Those criteria keep districts logical, compact, and keep most communities together. They also keep neighboring communities and counties together.

Rep. King's S12 looks like a fairly decent compromise between Garber's good points and replacing S3002's and Sumsion's West Valley-Provo doughnut hole with something more defensible. Would one of you, perhaps a Republican in central or southern Utah sponsor it with him as another bipartisan option?

5. The only map I like better than Rep. King's is Brandon Plewe's October 9th NSEW modification of King's S07. Two districts (north and south) would likely be permanently Republican for the ten years. The other two would likely be winnable by either party—the East / SLC district would likely be won by any strong Democratic candidate. The West / SL County south district would generally be won by any strong Republican candidate. But in both those districts our votes would at least matter.

I think it is important that Utah be represented in Congress by at least one Democrat and one Republican. Otherwise our state's voice will never matter with one or the other of the parties.

Plewe's NSEW map would split Salt Lake County north (SLC together) and south instead of east and west. Brandon's map, as he says,

a. Divides cities and counties as little as possible. Only 3 counties are divided (Davis, SL, Utah), and each is only divided between two districts. Only two cities are divided: Bountiful and Pleasant Grove.

b. Preserve rural regions: Northern Utah, Southern Utah, Uintah Basin

.....

c. Make districts as compact (near-circular) as possible.
Unfortunately, to keep SLC & WVC intact, I had to divide Salt Lake County into North and South”

I would add, because Brandon followed boundaries, there are also only three school districts that are divided between two districts—all in the largest districts in the state, Davis, Granite, and Alpine. Brandon accomplishes all this by throwing out the Redistricting committee’s fetish of 2010 population variations at plus or minus 1 or 2 people or less. With Brandon at plus or minus 77 people and .01 of 1 percent his differences are still well within statistical irrelevance. I am not a statistician, but I suspect anything up to .5 of one percent variance would be statistically irrelevant, legally supportable, and any concerns about it should be trumped by as an issue by communities of interest.

• Would one of you submit Brandon’s map with a democrat and have a vote on it so a vote is in the record?

I also left comments about most of the plans at the Redistrict Utah site. Sorry this is so long, but I have (perhaps naïve) hope that some of you will read and act on the above as talking points this week. Thanks for all you do.

Craig L. Shuler, CPA

home 801-572-9167

cell 801-599-7378

From: Brad Wilson
To: Brent Palmer
Date: 10/17/2011 1:00:52 PM
Subject: Re: Congressional Maps (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Brent Palmer 10/17/11 13:00 >>>

Dear Congressmen & Congresswomen,
Thank you so much for your willingness to serve this great state.

The matter before you dealing with the congressional mapping is one of great import that we will all have to live with for the next decade. Please allow any personal agenda or ego to remain on the sidelines for this decision.

As a resident of Southern Utah I am very concerned about the lack of attention rural Utah is getting in this process. The map submitted by the committee and approved by the Senate was about as fair as I have seen for So. Utah (SB 3002). Please do not allow politics to get in the way of seeing what is right for all members of all 4 districts. I understand that Speaker Lockhart has openly supported Sumison 15&16. *To rural Utah these maps are unacceptable! *We are sick and tired of having SLC decide who represents us, as evidenced by the overwhelming support for Morgan Philpot in the last election. Please do not support the Sumison maps as they are drawn!

Do what is right for Utah.

Thanks,

Brent Palmer
St. George
435-467-1977

From: Brad Wilson
To: Charlie Trefl
Date: 10/17/2011 8:09:43 PM
Subject: Re: Congressional Redistricting (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Charlie Trefl 10/17/11 20:09 >>>

I just watched the news and saw with surprise exactly what my government should not be doing. I wrote to you earlier asking for your support for the McAdams-Cox Redistricting map. Now I see what I have asked before to not be done just keep going on without regard to us, the voters. I beg of you stop this nonsense! The people of Utah are calling on you to submit equal representation without this political intrigue.

I propose the McAdams-Cox map for due consideration. It may not be perfect, but it is way better than anything you have so far put out there. I also ask you to do this in open session and not behind locked doors. It is time to move on.

Sincerely;
Charles P. Trefl
Layton, Utah

From: Brad Wilson
To: Leonard Plaizier
Date: 10/18/2011 3:00:49 PM
Subject: Re: Congressional Redistricting (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Leonard Plaizier 10/18/11 14:59 >>>

Dear Davis County Utah State Legislators,

A special thank you to Rep. Edwards and Rep. Wilson for voting NO on congressional boundaries bill 19SSB 3002 on Monday night 10/17/2011.

I have been involved in the process of drawing congressional maps for the last several months. Until last night I thought that is was a good process. As I listened to the House discuss substitute 19 map, I was hoping that a representative from Davis county would stand up and say

1. We have had a good fair process. Let's not stop now. We have had less than a hour to review this map. The public has not had a chance to comment. After all this time and work we can give it one more day. We will have to live with the results for ten years. [This map was not online long enough for the newspapers to get a copy for the Tuesday morning paper.]
2. This map has not been drawn with the same quality as the other maps. More cities are split up than in most maps. The sponsor did not know that Salt Lake City was split until it was pointed out to him on the floor. If we are going to do it let's do it right.
3. Davis county must remain whole. There is no North Davis or South Davis but only one Davis county. You may want to balance Salt Lake City with Davis, alright; but Davis can not be split up!

Davis as the 3rd largest county should be a leader in the state. Davis is the largest county is any district map when it is kept whole. My splitting up Davis into two districts, Davis is not the big fish in the pond but just another fish. In new district 1, Weber is the largest county with Davis second. In new district 2, Salt Lake is the largest county, with Washington second and Davis third.

I heard a meeting of the redistricting committee where the public was requesting that Davis county be kept whole. Rep. Barrus told them that they were wrong that it would be better if Davis was split right down the middle. That is not right. Just ask Salt Lake and Utah counties. They know how important it is to be the largest county in a district. Ten years is a long time to be separated.

The district split detail data is below.

What a sad finish to a long well ran race.

Leonard Plaizier

H20 S23

P.S. Rep. Edwards, you are the only Davis legislator who has ever responded to one of my emails. Thanks you!

Utah Congressional District Splits

Passed Utah Senate and House on October 17, 2011

Total Population

District 1

Box Elder County 49,975

Cache County 112,656

Daggett County 1,059

* Davis County 196,793

Duchesne County 18,607

Morgan County 9,469

Rich County 2,264

Summit County 36,324

Uintah County 32,588

Weber County 231,236

District 1 Total 690,971

District 2

Beaver County 6,629

* Davis County 109,686

Garfield County 5,172

Iron County 46,163

* Juab County 880

Kane County 7,125

Millard County 12,503

Piute County 1,556

* Salt Lake County 264,896

* Sanpete County 16,448

Sevier County 20,802

Tooele County 58,218

Washington County 138,115

Wayne County 2,778

District 2 Total 690,971

District 3

Carbon County 21,403

Emery County 10,976

Grand County 9,225

* Salt Lake County 177,165

San Juan County 14,746

* Utah County 433,927

Wasatch County 23,530

District 3 Total 690,972

District 4

* Juab County 9,366

* Salt Lake County 587,594

* Sanpete County 11,374

* Utah County 82,637

District 4 Total 690,971

* indicates split

User: lplaizier Date: Tue Oct 18 10:28:35 GMT-0600 2011

Plan: Congress - SB3002S19 Plan No.: 70021

From: Brad Wilson
To: Charlie Tref
Date: 10/18/2011 4:27:56 PM
Subject: Re: Disappointment (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Charlie Tref 10/18/11 16:27 >>>

It is with great displeasure that I have witnessed this year the destruction of Constitutional principles by the very people I elected to represent me. I was disgusted with how SB3002S19 and SB3002S20 were virtually shoved down our throats when we the voters said with one accord no to this map. I know several people who sent e-mails asking you to not vote for this map. Now we see that the party bosses in Salt Lake City told you what to do.

I have never felt as powerless as a voter as I have at this time. It as if my voice did not even matter to you. I have been betrayed by the people I voted for. This is just what they did in the old Soviet Union.

Sincerely;
Charles P.
Tref
Layton, Utah

From: Brad Wilson
To: Peggy Burdett
Date: 10/18/2011 7:03:44 PM
Subject: Re: Utah Legislature commits crime against humanity (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> Peggy Burdett <2010.delegate@gmail.com> 10/18/11 19:03 >>>

http://www.heraldextra.com/news/opinion/article_d4150f15-ac14-50ac-9c3e-50e43ae71db9.html

IN OUR VIEW

Opinion: Utah legislature commits crime against humanity

Posted: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 11:45 am

[image: Congressional District Map]

The Utah Legislature has committed a crime against humanity.

On Monday night, both houses adopted a map for Utah's representatives to Congress that would border on the laughable if it didn't hurt so many communities.

The view from space may look reasonable, but when you get down to street-level details, the map is awful. Many cities have been illogically split, including Lehi, Springville, Payson, Spanish Fork, Santaquin, Park City and many others.

Sanpete County was divided so that Mt. Pleasant is in the new Fourth District and Ephraim and Manti are in the Second. Eagle Mountain was joined far north to West Jordan.

And these are just a few examples of the idiocy. We wouldn't wonder but that a majority of the legislature might have passed through Provo on Sunday to take advantage of the new beer sales, except that Utah beer would not explain this. They must have been drinking something harder.

Anybody who thinks that communities of interest and intact communities do not belong in the redistricting process should think again. These are the very fiber of our political system.

To divide the state's natural communities in this way -- largely motivated by a desire to give advantage to one political party -- is enough to bring James Madison howling from the grave. The House voted 50-19; the Senate

20-5.

The people of Utah ought to rise up and wring legislators' necks like Thanksgiving turkeys. This is a colossal foul-up, which is what always happens when you undertake a major task like redistricting with impure motives. The urge to gerrymander won out over statesmanship. It's a low point for the state of Utah.

Now we're going to have to listen to lawmakers defend themselves in the most syrupy, persuasive voices they can muster. We already have a headache.

One sign of how bad things had gotten with the process was Monday's lame attempt by the Dave Clark Five to carve out a district seemingly custom-made for somebody from Santa Clara to win a seat in Congress. That proposal would have split Provo at Center Street, with Clark's hometown of Spanish Fork falling in with St. George.

Fortunately, the Senate was having none of that, but what it adopted was just as bad.

The outcome is a slap in the face to the people of Utah. The process was little more than a charade that excluded legitimate voices -- not only Democrats but a majority of Utahns who are unaffiliated with any party. There have been too many secrets, too much decision-making behind the fig leaf of Republican caucuses. The doors were closed too often.

Republicans have been blinded to their duty and even to good sense. Closed meetings make for closed minds, as proved by this outcome, which can only be described as farce. (Well, that's not the only description, but we can print it.)

The GOP should have brought Democrats to the table and invited them to have a real voice. That's essential, and what was offered was not sufficient. Steamrollers are always unhealthy. After all, this was not a moral issue but one of fairness.

Genuine bipartisanship in this case would have helped the Republicans in the long run. Trying to gerrymander districts to benefit selected members (Wimmer for Congress, anyone?) will only blacken the party's reputation, which has already taken some hits this year.

They're slow learners, these Republicans. May James Madison haunt them all.

From: Brad Wilson
To: kate bradshaw
Date: 10/19/2011 10:22:24 AM
Subject: Re: Newsletter Draft (Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.)

Thank you for your email! I appreciate those engaged and willing to communicate with me. I receive a large volume of emails, but I will personally read your message and try to respond as quickly as possible.

Please be advised that anything that you send me is subject to G.R.A.M.A. and could be requested by the press or another individual.

Thank you! I look forward to communicating with you.

Representative Brad Wilson

>>> kate bradshaw 10/19/11 10:22 >>>

Hi Brad,

Now that the Congressional map is done, I've put your newsletter draft together. It is a little rough and I think we need to edit it down a bit or I worry people read it. At the same time, I also left some areas for you to fill in local line change impacts and a more detailed understanding of the lines in your district. Let me know if you have some time where we could look at it together.

Kate

--

Kate Bradshaw
Mobile: 801-599-9017

From: Carl Wimmer
To: cptreft@yahoo.com
Date: 10/17/2011 8:09 PM
Subject: Re: Congressional Redistricting

Thank you for taking the time to get in touch with me, I have a tremendous amount of respect for anyone who is willing to get engaged in the process of good government. Due to the overwhelming volume of emails that I receive I can promise you that I will personally read your message but it may take a little while for me to write a response back to you. If you are not one of my constituents I will read your message personally and I thank you for taking the time to communicate with me, but I will not be able to respond personally.

Best regards
Carl Wimmer

Subject: Re: Redistricting
Created By: cwimmer@utah.gov
Scheduled Date:
Creation Date: 10/17/2011 10:07 AM
From: Carl Wimmer

Recipient	Action	Date & Time	Comment
To: Ellen Brady (ebrady@xmission.com)	Pending		

From: Carl Wimmer
To: ebrady@xmission.com
Date: 10/17/2011 10:07 AM
Subject: Re: Redistricting

Thank you for taking the time to get in touch with me, I have a tremendous amount of respect for anyone who is willing to get engaged in the process of good government. Due to the overwhelming volume of emails that I receive I can promise you that I will personally read your message but it may take a little while for me to write a response back to you. If you are not one of my constituents I will read your message personally and I thank you for taking the time to communicate with me, but I will not be able to respond personally.

Best regards
Carl Wimmer

From: Carl Wimmer
To: garycwood@gmail.com
Date: 10/13/2011 3:19 PM
Subject: Re: Redistricting for Congress

Thank you for taking the time to get in touch with me, I have a tremendous amount of respect for anyone who is willing to get engaged in the process of good government. Due to the overwhelming volume of emails that I receive I can promise you that I will personally read your message but it may take a little while for me to write a response back to you. If you are not one of my constituents I will read your message personally and I thank you for taking the time to communicate with me, but I will not be able to respond personally.

Best regards
Carl Wimmer

From: Carl Wimmer
To: ggerlach@mac.com
Date: 10/15/2011 10:26 PM
Subject: Re: redistricting

Thank you for taking the time to get in touch with me, I have a tremendous amount of respect for anyone who is willing to get engaged in the process of good government. Due to the overwhelming volume of emails that I receive I can promise you that I will personally read your message but it may take a little while for me to write a response back to you. If you are not one of my constituents I will read your message personally and I thank you for taking the time to communicate with me, but I will not be able to respond personally.

Best regards
Carl Wimmer

Carl Wimmer - Reapportionment

From: Carole Peterson <carolepete1650n@yahoo.com>
To: "menlove@utah.gov" <menlove@utah.gov>, "leeperry@utah.go" <leeperry@utah...>
Date: 10/3/2011 7:26 PM
Subject: Reapportionment

Government of the people, by the people and for the people! What has happened to the members of the Utah State Legislature? The current lines drawn for reapportionment are strictly party driven. Stand up and move forward with fair boundaries. **Stop gerrymandering!**

Remember who elects you--WE THE PEOPLE!

Carole E. Peterson