

The following minutes will be approved at the Moab Redistricting meeting on July 9, 2011:

Minutes of the June 21, 2011 Redistricting meeting (Tooele)

Minutes of the June 22, 2011 Redistricting meeting (Provo)

(Draft - Awaiting Formal Approval)

**MINUTES OF THE
REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE**

Tuesday, June 21, 2011 – 6:00 p.m. – Deseret Peak Complex, Tooele Utah

Members Present:

Sen. Ralph Okerlund, Senate Chair
Rep. Kenneth W. Sumsion, House Chair
Sen. Gene Davis
Sen. Stuart C. Reid
Sen. Kevin T. Van Tassell
Rep. Melvin R. Brown
Rep. Gage Froerer
Rep. Francis D. Gibson
Rep. Neal B. Hendrickson
Rep. Don L. Ipson
Rep. Brian S. King
Rep. Todd E. Kiser
Rep. Merlynn T. Newbold

Rep. Christine F. Watkins
Rep. R. Curt Webb

Members Absent:

Sen. Benjamin M. McAdams
President Michael G. Waddoups
Speaker Rebecca D. Lockhart
Rep. Roger E. Barrus

Staff Present:

Mr. Leif Elder, Policy Analyst
Ms. Allison M. Nicholson, Policy Analyst
Mr. Joseph T. Wade, Policy Analyst
Mr. Eric Weeks, Deputy General Counsel
Ms. Kimberly A. Heiner, Legislative Secretary

Note: A list of others present, a copy of related materials, and an audio recording of the meeting can be found at www.le.utah.gov.

1. Committee Business

Chair Okerlund called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m. President Waddoups, Speaker Lockhart, Sen. McAdams, and Rep. Barrus were excused from the meeting.

Members of the Committee introduced themselves and indicated the area of the state that they represent.

MOTION: Sen. Davis moved to approve the June 10, 2011 Ephraim & Richfield minutes, the June 11, 2011 Cedar City and St. George minutes, and the June 15, 2011 Salt Lake City Library minutes. The motion passed unanimously with Rep. Gibson and Sen. Van Tassell absent for the vote.

2. Overview of Redistricting

Mr. Elder gave a presentation on what redistricting is, why it is done, and the redistricting principles that were adopted at the May 4, 2011 meeting. He also gave an overview of the redistricting public website RedistrictUtah.com and demonstrated the online redistricting tool that allows the public to create redistricting plans.

3. Public Comment and Committee Discussion About Redistricting

Chair Okerlund asked the audience to raise their hand if they had seen an advertisement on Facebook about this Redistricting meeting. Approximately 20 people raised their hand.

Rep. Sumsion presented “House Seat Shifts by Region” and explained the impacts of a shifting population.

Rep. Sumsion reminded the public that all of the maps presented are drafts and are subject to change from public input. He also emphasized that population numbers are driving the redistricting decisions.

Rep. Webb presented “Tooele House Plan - Webb” and explained his rationale for the boundaries he suggested. He responded to questions from the public and the Committee.

Rep. Webb also presented "U.S. Congressional Plan -- Webb Plan G" and explained his rationale. He said he was trying to create a map with both urban and rural congressional districts.

Chair Okerlund presented "U.S. Congressional Plan -- Okerlund Plan F" and explained his rationale. He said that he did not look at the current congressional seats in drawing this map and only looked at numbers to create competitive districts.

Rep. Douglas Sagers, House District 21, said that Tooele County has more in common with northern Utah than southern Utah. He said he does not like the doughnut hole approach for a congressional plan.

Ms. Colleen Johnson, Tooele County Commissioner, would like to see Grantsville and the rest of Tooele in the same district. She also thanked the Committee for holding this meeting in Tooele.

Ms. Marilyn Gillette, Tooele County Clerk, called in her comments and said that Tooele has had unprecedented growth but still has rural roots. She asked the Committee to keep this in mind when drawing boundaries.

Rep. Ronda Menlove, House District 1, said she appreciates the complexity of the task of Redistricting. She said she hoped the public would get on-line and give their input.

Mr. Brent Marshall, Grantsville City Mayor, asked to keep all of Grantsville in the same district and pick up the additional population in either Box Elder County or Magna. He said it was time to make Tooele County whole. He responded to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Patrick Dunlavy, Tooele City Mayor, thanked the Committee for coming to their city. He asked the Committee to keep Tooele County in the same Senate and House districts, and suggested moving the lines into Magna to pick up the additional population needed for these districts. He responded to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Michael Johnson, Tooele City Council Member, said that Tooele should have their own Senate and House seat with additional population picked up in Magna. He said Tooele had more in common with Magna than with Box Elder County.

Mr. Jerry Hurst, Tooele County Commissioner, said Tooele is a diverse county that is mostly rural but with some urbanism. He said Tooele fits in better with Box Elder County than with Utah County. He also said Tooele County needs stronger representation.

Mr. Merrill Nelson, Fair Boundaries Coalition & Utah Citizens Counsel, said Tooele County has not been treated fairly in past redistricting and believes that Tooele County should have local representation. He said he opposes political alignment with Box Elder County because there is no contiguous population or connecting highway. He responded to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Karl Swan, citizen, said that Tooele and Grantsville should be in the same districts because they have common interests. He said that Box Elder should not be in the same district as Tooele because they have little in common and no direct travel routes.

Mr. Gerald G. Hill, citizen, said he would like Grantsville and Tooele to be in the same boundaries. He said that Grantsville is more a part of Tooele County than Box Elder County.

Mr. Chris Sloan, Tooele County Republican Party Chair, said that he understands the ripple effect of balancing population in redistricting, but he said that Tooele took their turn being rippled in 2001. He added that it is now time for Tooele County to have their own representation.

Mr. David McCall, Tooele City Council Member, said that Tooele County was not dealt fairly with during the redistricting 10 years ago, but that this time the Committee has the opportunity to be fair. He said that Tooele County has more in common with the east than they do with the north. He asked the Committee to keep Tooele County together in one district.

Mr. Jeff McNeill, citizen, said he likes Senator Okerlund's map because he did not consider existing seats and looked at population. He said the political seat belongs to the citizens, not to individual representatives. He said the idea that rural areas should stay together is a good ideal, unless the rural areas are divided by a lake and a bombing range as Tooele and Box Elder Counties are.

Mr. John O'Donnell, citizen, said that Tooele County is united, and asked the Committee to keep the county in the same district.

Mr. Ken Froehle, Represent Me Utah, said that in his view, Magna does not want Tooele to be part of their district. He said he lives in smut and dirt caused by industry, but on Tooele's side of the mountain it is nice and clear and blue. He said he doesn't need a representative who lives in clear blue skies representing Magna.

Ms. E. Hazel Reed, Tooele City Democratic Party, asked to keep small towns together in redistricting.

Mr. Will Craig, citizen, said he understands that there is going to have to be some separation in redistricting, but asked that Tooele County be kept in one district. He said he is from Stansburry Park and that he feels more in common with Box Elder County than Salt Lake City.

Mr. Kendall Thomas, Stockton Council Member, said that he feels the Committee is trying to do the right thing in Tooele and asked to keep Tooele County in the same district. He said redistricting is not about politics and that the seats are about the people.

Mr. James Gowans, citizen, said he was on the redistricting committee ten years ago and thanked the Committee for coming to Tooele County. He is proud of the strong turn out at this committee meeting.

Rep. Gibson, said he appreciated the high turn out of Tooele citizens at the meeting.

4. Adjourn

MOTION: Rep. Hendrickson moved to adjourn. The motion passed unanimously.

Chair Okerlund adjourned the meeting at 8:23 p.m.

(Draft - Awaiting Formal Approval)

**MINUTES OF THE
REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE**

Wednesday, June 22, 2011 – 6:00 p.m. – Centennial Middle School, Provo, Utah

Members Present:

Sen. Ralph Okerlund, Senate Chair
Rep. Kenneth W. Sumsion, House Chair
Sen. Gene Davis
Sen. Benjamin M. McAdams
Sen. Kevin T. Van Tassell
President Michael G. Waddoups
Rep. Roger E. Barrus
Rep. Melvin R. Brown
Rep. Gage Froerer
Rep. Francis D. Gibson
Rep. Neal B. Hendrickson
Rep. Don L. Ipson
Rep. Brian S. King

Rep. Todd E. Kiser
Speaker Rebecca D. Lockhart
Rep. Merlynn T. Newbold
Sen. Stuart C. Reid
Rep. Christine F. Watkins
Rep. R. Curt Webb

Staff Present:

Mr. John Q. Cannon, Managing Policy Analyst
Mr. Joseph T. Wade, Policy Analyst
Mr. Benjamin N. Christensen, Policy Analyst
Mr. Christopher M. McClelland, Research Assistant
Ms. Angela Oakes Stallings, Associate General Counsel
Ms. Joy L. Miller, Legislative Secretary

Note: A list of others present, a copy of related materials, and an audio recording of the meeting can be found at www.leg.utah.gov.

1. Committee Business

Chair Sumsion called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Members of the Committee introduced themselves and indicated the areas of the state that they represent.

2. Overview of Redistricting

Mr. McClelland gave a presentation on what redistricting is, why it is done, and the redistricting principles that were adopted by the Committee at the May 4, 2011 meeting. He discussed the ideal populations for Senate and House districts and outlined the timeline for the redistricting process.

Mr. McClelland gave an overview of the redistricting public website, RedistrictUtah.com and demonstrated the online redistricting tool that allows the public to create redistricting plans. He explained that the plans created can be shared or submitted to the Legislature for review and will be posted on RedistrictUtah.com.

3. Public Comment and Committee Discussion About Redistricting

Sen. Okerlund discussed the 1% Senate plan submitted by President Waddoups. He noted that the plan will require some changes that will have a ripple effect on the other districts. He outlined those areas of the state that have experienced a significant increase in population growth.

Rep. Sumsion distributed his proposed U.S. Congressional Plan H and the Utah County House Plan and explained how he began the process of drawing these maps. He reiterated the requirement to use 2010 census data. Rep. Sumsion responded to questions from the public.

Sen. Curtis S. Bramble, State Senate District 16, referred to the proposed Senate plan and commented that approximately 25 percent of Utah County residents would be represented by senators from Sanpete,

Carbon, Tooele, or Salt Lake counties.

Mr. Wayne Andersen, Mayor, Spanish Fork City, read a resolution expressing concern that splitting Spanish Fork will eliminate the ability for anyone from Spanish Fork to be elected to the Senate and deprive Spanish Fork city of local representation. He discouraged the approval of Sen. Waddoups proposed Senate plan. Mr. Anderson read a second resolution supported by mayors of several southern Utah County cities recommending that south Utah County be part of one state Senate district.

Mr. Wilford Clyde, Mayor, Springville City, said that Springville also passed a similar resolution in its city council meeting.

Mr. Craig Coleman, Utah State Board of Education, District 3, indicated that there are 15 seats on the State Board of Education. He explained that the way his district is designed is not a natural juxtaposition of areas. He expressed the need for these districts to have natural boundaries.

Speaker Lockhart asked if the Redistricting Committee and the State Board of Education could discuss the number of school board seats and whether the number of seats should be changed. Chair Sumsion indicated that the Committee is looking into this issue.

Mr. Wade Jacoby, citizen, expressed concern with elected officials choosing who will vote for them. He stressed the need for an independent commission.

Speaker Lockhart pointed out that the Utah Constitution specifically requires the Legislature, not an independent commission, draw the lines. She indicated that the Legislature is accountable to the people.

Ms. Peggy Burdett, Orem City resident, recommended that, as much as possible, the Committee follow permanent boundaries that will not shift or change with the census. She expressed concern with how much Utah County was split in 2001, which she felt left disenfranchised voters who went 10 years without having the right legislator's name on their ballots.

Mr. Thayne Bailey, Orem City resident, said he would like to see Utah County better represented by not having Senate districts that include a lot of southern Utah and Utah County together.

Mr. Beau Sorenson, Legislative Chair, District 59, Orem City, stated he has submitted Senate, House, and congressional maps using this online tool. He asked the Committee to consider existing political subdivision boundaries such as counties, cities, school districts, and roads when developing district maps.

Mr. Blair Bateman, Utah County Democrats, said if government was truly representative of the people the priority would not be to disenfranchise groups of voters who would not be likely to vote for a candidate of a particular party. He expressed concern that legislators get to draw their own boundaries and choose the voters who are most likely to vote for them.

Rep. Gibson noted that there will be changes made to the maps that have been proposed. He pointed out how difficult and time consuming the redistricting process is and the importance of good candidates.

Mr. Will Matheson, Chair, Utah County Democratic Party, expressed the need for candidates to form a

relationship with the average voter rather than just with convention delegates. Mr. Matheson stated he would like to see a congressional map that provides for at least two districts with the most competitive number of votes for both parties. He noted that districts should be as compact as possible without mixing rural and urban interests.

Mr. Chris Beckstrom, student, Utah County, stated that the main goal should be to have fair representation for all constituents. He noted that the current one party rule in the state is not in the best interest of voters. He also expressed concern that many of the interests being listened to are those of convention delegates.

Ms. Linda Clark, Provo City resident, expressed the need for statesmanship not partisanship. She also stressed the need for strict anti-gerrymandering standards, including prompt judicial review, basic equality among districts, and districts that honor local political subdivision boundaries. She said districts should not be drawn for the purpose of any political party or person. She spoke in support of a bipartisan advisory redistricting commission with final approval by the Legislature and then the courts.

Mr. Casey Voeks, Lehi City resident, commented on the amount of time and effort that he and his brother put forth in developing a plan for state house of representatives, which keeps in mind the concepts of future growth. He said the plan submitted by Sen. Waddoups was not an accurate representation of those areas.

Mr. David Acheson, Chair, Utah County Republican Party, discussed the growth Utah County has experienced. He requested that Utah County receive five, and possibly six, Senate seats fully seated in Utah County. He also requested that Utah County receive 14 House seats.

Mr. Paul Fisher, Pleasant Grove resident, commented on the maps that have been proposed. He stressed the need to keep districts compact. He recommended that a constitutional amendment be adopted to make the residence requirement for candidates a little more flexible.

Mr. Federico Rodriguez, BYU Democrats, questioned what assurance he has as a local citizen that the Committee will listen to the interests of the minority parties in the state.

Chair Sumsion recommended that Mr. Rodriguez participate in the on-line redistricting process to help address the issues he has raised. He noted that part of the challenge is the quality of candidates.

Mr. Brian Wall, Mayor, Mapleton City, stated that Mapleton passed a resolution similar to those passed by Spanish Fork and Springville. He said they are looking for someone to champion an effort to determine if south Utah County could become a Senate district.

Ms. Lynn Sorenson, Provo City resident, and Democratic Party Chair, Legislative District 62, urged the Committee to keep communities of interest together. She asked the Committee to make every effort possible to respect existing city and county boundaries and keep the focus on people choosing their leaders rather than leaders choosing people.

Mr. Caleb Christian, Utah Valley University graduate of political science, asked what the logic was in having one pure urban congressional district. He noted that republicans are not as unified or uniform as some believe.

Chair Sumsion responded that the urban congressional district was presented as requested by other citizens and was put out for discussion.

Mr. Jacob Anderegy, Republican Chair, Lehi Precinct 19, acknowledged how difficult the redistricting process is and that not everyone will be happy with what is decided. He expressed his disapproval for Senate districts 13 and 23 as proposed. He asked the Committee to morally do its very best and try to keep representation local and fair.

Ms. Karen Ifediba, citizen, expressed her disappointment with Mapleton, Springville, and Spanish Fork being split. She asked that these areas remain together as south Utah County.

Ms. Adrielle Herring, Utah County Republican Party, expressed concern that populations in Utah County will continue to be split off in order to keep seats in other counties. She said she wanted to be represented in Utah County by those who live in Utah County.

Mr. Brian Chapman, Spanish Fork resident, said the current Senate district 27 already includes a large geographic area and that adding to the area would make it even more difficult for someone to represent the people effectively. He indicated that representation should occur in a more effective and realistic manner.

Mr. Paul Thompson, Utah Citizens Council, indicated that the council has worked on a set of principles and maps that they will submit to the website. He said they focused on keeping communities of interest together and encouraged the Committee to do the same.

Ms. Natalie Brooks, Provo City resident, expressed the need to maintain a competitive atmosphere to have high quality candidates. She noted that a candidate is already determined by the primary election. She asked that the Committee look past the upcoming election and incumbents and think of the future.

Ms. Jackie Wilson, state delegate from Alpine, commented that elected officials are responsible to represent the people. The redistricting process should not be done in a manner that would benefit a particular candidate or current legislator.

Chair Sumsion and Sen. Okerlund expressed appreciation to the public for their ideas and suggestions to help with the redistricting process.

4. Adjourn

MOTION: Rep. Ipson moved to adjourn. The motion passed unanimously.

Chair Sumsion adjourned the meeting at 8:10 p.m.

*Secret Ballot
Census*

STATE HOUSE BOUNDARY AMENDMENTS

2012 GENERAL SESSION

STATE OF UTAH

Chief Sponsor: Kenneth W. Sumsion

Senate Sponsor: _____

LONG TITLE

General Description:

This bill makes minor adjustments to State House district boundaries to reconcile United States Census data with state maps and to resolve certain election administration issues.

Highlighted Provisions:

This bill:

- ▶ defines terms;
- ▶ makes changes to State House district boundaries to reconcile United States Census data with state maps;
- ▶ makes changes to State House district boundaries to resolve certain election administration issues; and
- ▶ makes technical corrections.

Money Appropriated in this Bill:

None

Other Special Clauses:

This bill provides an immediate effective date.

Utah Code Sections Affected:

AMENDS:

36-1-201.5, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 6

36-1-202, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 6



28 36-1-202.2, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 6
29 36-1-204, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 6

30

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:

32

Section 1. Section 36-1-201.5 is amended to read:

33

36-1-201.5. Utah House of Representatives -- House district boundaries.

34

(1) As used in this section:

35

(a) "County boundary" means the county boundary's location in the database as of

36

January 1, 2010.

37

(b) "Database" means the State Geographic Information Database created in Section

38

63F-1-507.

39

(c) "Local school district boundary" means the local school district boundary's location

40

in the database as of January 1, 2010.

41

(d) "Municipal boundary" means the municipal boundary's location in the database as

42

of January 1, 2010.

43

~~[(+)]~~ (2) The Utah House of Representatives shall consist of 75 members, with one

44

member to be elected from each Utah House of Representative district.

45

~~[(2) The]~~ (3) Except as modified by Subsection (5), the Legislature adopts the official

46

census population figures and maps of the Bureau of the Census of the United States

47

Department of Commerce developed in connection with the taking of the 2010 national

48

decennial census as the official data for establishing House district boundaries.

49

~~[(3)]~~ (4) (a) ~~[The]~~ Except as modified by Subsection (5), the Legislature enacts the

50

numbers and boundaries of the House districts designated by the House block assignment file

51

that is the electronic component of the bill that enacts this section.

52

(b) That House block assignment file, and the legislative boundaries generated from

53

that block assignment file together with the modifications made by Subsection (5), may be

54

accessed via the Utah Legislature's website.

55

(5) (a) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House

56

District 1 and removed from House District 29: 490039608021025, 490039608021026, and

57

490039608021027.

58

(b) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District 1

59 and removed from House District 3: 490050003001047, 490050003001048,
60 490050003001049, and 490050003001050.

61 (c) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District 3
62 and removed from House District 1: 490050003001046, 490050003001056,
63 490050003001057, 490050003001058, 490050003001059, 490050003001061,
64 490050003001063, and 490050003002005.

65 (d) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District 4
66 and removed from House District 5: 490050012013039 and 490050012013040.

67 (e) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District 7
68 and removed from House District 8: 490572103042017 and 490572103042018.

69 (f) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
70 11 and removed from House District 10: 490572105112040, 490572105112042,
71 490572105112043, 490572105123002, 490572109005003, 490572112011013,
72 490572112011014, 490572112011015, 490572112011016, 490572112011018,
73 490572112011023, 490572112011024, and 490572112012011.

74 (g) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
75 11 and removed from House District 12: 490572105121012, 490572105121013,
76 490572105121014, and 490572107011001.

77 (h) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
78 11 and removed from House District 16: 490111251032073, 490111251032074,
79 490111251032075, 490111252002084, 490111252002254, 490111252002256,
80 490111252002258, 490111252002259, 490111252002274, 490111252002275,
81 490111252002276, 490111252002277, 490111252002278, 490111252002288,
82 490111252002289, and 490111252002307.

83 (i) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
84 13 and removed from House District 11: 490111252002104, 490111252002105,
85 490111252002106, 490111252002115, 490111252002116, 490111252002117,
86 490111252002118, 490111252002119, 490111252002120, 490111252002121,
87 490111252002186, 490111253011000, 490111253011001, 490111253011002,
88 490111253012000, 490111253012001, 490111253013000, 490111253013001,
89 490111253013032, 490111253014000, 490111253014001, 490111253014002, and

90 490111253014022.

91 (j) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
92 17 and removed from House District 18: 490111251022075, 490111251022076,
93 490111261051020, 490111262032003, 490111262032013, and 490111262032014.

94 (k) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
95 18 and removed from House District 17: 490111262022001, 490111262022003,
96 490111262022004, 490111262032011, and 490111262032044.

97 (l) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 18
98 and removed from House District 19: 490111266002006.

99 (m) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 19
100 and removed from House District 18: 490111265002014.

101 (n) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
102 19 and removed from House District 20: 490111267001006, 490111267001008,
103 490111267002007, 490111267002023, 490111269016000, 490111269016001,
104 490111269016002, 490111269016008, 490111270043000, 490111270043001, and
105 490111270043010.

106 (o) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 21
107 and removed from House District 68: 490451307012018.

108 (p) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 24
109 and removed from House District 28: 490351148003023.

110 (q) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
111 26 and removed from House District 31: 490351133051011, 490351133051011, and
112 490351133051011.

113 (r) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
114 27 and removed from House District 56: 490490002051025, 490490102172032,
115 490490102172033, and 490490102183020.

116 (s) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
117 27 and removed from House District 6: 490351128201063, 490490102122001,
118 490490102122009, 490490102122010, 490490102122011, 490490102122097,
119 490490102122107, 490490102122108, 490490102122115, 490490102122117,
120 490490102122122, 490490102122123, 490490102122124, 490490102171057, and

121 490490102171059.

122 (t) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
123 29 and removed from House District 1: 490039605002094, 490039605002100,
124 490039605002101, 490039605002102, and 490039605002103.

125 (u) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
126 29 and removed from House District 8: 490572103024007 and 490572103041048.

127 (v) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 33
128 and removed from House District 31: 490351135091002.

129 (w) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
130 34 and removed from House District 43: 490351142001003 and 490351142001004.

131 (x) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
132 48 and removed from House District 60: 490490014022000 and 490490014022001.

133 (y) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 54
134 and removed from House District 53: 490439643061158.

135 (z) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
136 56 and removed from House District 27: 490490102172007, 490490102172009,
137 490490102172023, 490490102172024, 490490102172025, 490490102172026,
138 490490102172027, 490490102172028, 490490102172029, 490490102172036,
139 490490102172038, 490490102172039, 490490102172066, and 490490102173014.

140 (aa) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 57
141 and removed from House District 27: 490490102101066.

142 (bb) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into House District 59
143 and removed from House District 61: 490490022011099.

144 (cc) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
145 60 and removed from House District 48: 490490013001010, 490490013001012,
146 490490013001013, 490490013001014, 490490013001016, 490490013001017,
147 490490013001018, 490490013001020, 490490013001021, 490490014012012,
148 490490014012013, and 490490014012014.

149 (dd) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
150 61 and removed from House District 64: 490490022073011, 490490022073012,
151 490490022073016, and 490490022073017.

152 (ee) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
153 62 and removed from House District 75: 490532712001032 and 490532712001033.

154 (ff) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
155 66 and removed from House District 64: 490490105032030, 490490105032033,
156 490490105032034, 490490105032035, 490490105032036, 490490105032037, and
157 490490105032062.

158 (gg) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
159 67 and removed from House District 66: 490490104112001, 490490104112002,
160 490490104112006, 490490104112007, 490490104112015, and 490490104113267.

161 (hh) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
162 68 and removed from House District 21: 490451307032023, 490451307032030,
163 490451307033696, and 490451307033697.

164 (ii) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
165 71 and removed from House District 62: 490532708011188, 490532708011193,
166 490532708011194, 490532708011208, 490532717021058, 490532717021059,
167 490532717021060, 490532717021061, 490532717021065, 490532717021066,
168 490532717021067, and 490532717021077.

169 (jj) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into House District
170 71 and removed from House District 72: 490211102001068, 490211102001069,
171 490211102001104, 490211102003259, 490211102003270, and 490211102003276.

172 (kk) The boundary between House District 7 and House District 8 is changed to follow
173 the Harrisville municipal boundary from the point where the municipal boundaries of Pleasant
174 View, North Ogden, and Harrisville intersect, generally south to the intersection of the
175 Harrisville municipal boundary with 2150 North street in North Ogden east along 2150 North
176 Street to the existing House boundary.

177 (ll) The boundary between House District 10 and House District 11 is changed to
178 follow the South Ogden municipal boundary from the intersection of the established House
179 district boundary with Combe Road, along the South Ogden municipal boundary generally east,
180 south, west, and north to the intersection of the South Ogden municipal boundary with Wasatch
181 Drive.

182 (mm) The boundary between House District 11 and House District 16 is changed to

183 follow the South Weber municipal boundary from the northernmost intersection of the
184 established House district boundary for House District 16 with State Highway 89, along the
185 South Weber municipal boundary generally east then south and then west to the intersection of
186 the House district boundary with the Layton municipal boundary.

187 (nn) The boundary between House District 18 and House District 19 is changed to
188 follow Interstate 15 from the intersection of Pages Lane in Bountiful with Interstate 15, north to
189 the intersection of Interstate 15 with the Bountiful municipal boundary, then along the
190 Bountiful municipal boundary generally north, then east, then south to the intersection of the
191 Bountiful municipal boundary with Pages Lane.

192 (oo) The boundary between House District 53 and House District 54 is changed to
193 follow the Summit County Service Area Number 3 boundary from the intersection of the
194 House district boundary with Summit County Service Area Number 3, generally east, then
195 north, then east, then projecting the same service area boundary east to intersect with Interstate
196 80.

197 (pp) The boundary between House District 55 and House District 69 is changed to
198 follow the Roosevelt municipal boundary from the northernmost point where Roosevelt City
199 shares a boundary with Duchesne County, then along the Roosevelt municipal boundary
200 generally west, north, and south to the intersection of the Roosevelt municipal boundary with
201 2000 South Street.

202 (qq) The boundary between House District 57 and House District 59 is changed to
203 follow the Pleasant Grove municipal boundary from the southernmost intersection of the House
204 district boundary with State Street, generally east to the intersection of the Pleasant Grove
205 municipal boundary with 1500 East Street in Pleasant Grove.

206 (rr) The boundary between House District 63 and House District 64 is changed to
207 follow Summit Drive from the intersection of Summit Drive and 1420 East Street, generally
208 east, then north to the intersection of Summit Drive and Ridge Drive, then due east to the
209 United States Forest Service boundary, then north to the established House boundary.

210 (ss) The boundary between House District 71 and House District 72 is changed to
211 follow the Parowan municipal boundary so that all of Parowan City is in House District 71.

212 (tt) The boundary between House District 74 and House District 75 is changed to
213 follow Sunset Boulevard west from the westernmost intersection of Sunset Boulevard and the

214 established House boundary, west to the easternmost intersection of Sunset Boulevard with the
215 St. George municipal boundary, then along the St. George municipal boundary generally south,
216 then west, and then east to the intersection of the St. George municipal boundary with Indian
217 Hills Drive.

218 Section 2. Section **36-1-202** is amended to read:

219 **36-1-202. House districts -- Filing -- Legal boundaries.**

220 (1) (a) The Legislature shall file a copy of the House block assignment file enacted by
221 the Legislature with the lieutenant governor's office.

222 (b) ~~[The]~~ Except as provided in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5) the legal boundaries of House
223 districts are contained in the House block assignment file on file with the lieutenant governor's
224 office.

225 (2) (a) The lieutenant governor shall:

226 (i) generate maps of each House district from the House block assignment file and the
227 modifications to boundaries made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5); and

228 (ii) ensure that those maps are available for viewing on the lieutenant governor's
229 website.

230 (b) If there is any inconsistency between the maps ~~[and]~~, the House block assignment
231 file, and the modifications made to boundaries in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5), the House block
232 assignment file, as adjusted by the modifications to boundaries made in Subsection
233 36-1-201.5(5), [is] are controlling.

234 Section 3. Section **36-1-202.2** is amended to read:

235 **36-1-202.2. County clerk, Automated Geographic Reference Center, and**
236 **lieutenant governor responsibilities -- Maps and voting precinct boundaries.**

237 (1) As used in this section, "redistricting boundary data" means the House block
238 assignment file and any modifications made to the House district boundaries by Subsection
239 36-1-201.5(5).

240 ~~[(1)]~~ (2) Each county clerk shall obtain a copy of the ~~[House block assignment file]~~
241 redistricting boundary data for the clerk's county from the lieutenant governor's office.

242 ~~[(2)]~~ (3) (a) A county clerk may create one or more county maps that identify the
243 boundaries of House districts as generated from the ~~[House block assignment file]~~ redistricting
244 boundary data.

245 (b) Before publishing or distributing any map or data created by the county clerk that
246 identifies the boundaries of House districts within the county, the clerk shall submit the county
247 map and data to the lieutenant governor and to the Automated Geographic Reference Center for
248 review.

249 (c) Within 30 days after receipt of a county map and data from a county clerk, the
250 Automated Geographic Reference Center shall:

251 (i) review the county map and data to evaluate if the county map and data accurately
252 reflect the boundaries of House districts established by the Legislature in the [~~House block~~
253 ~~assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data;

254 (ii) determine whether the county map and data are correct or incorrect; and

255 (iii) communicate those findings to the lieutenant governor.

256 (d) The lieutenant governor shall either notify the county clerk that the county map and
257 data are correct or notify the county clerk that the county map and data are incorrect.

258 (e) If the county clerk receives notice from the lieutenant governor that the county map
259 and data submitted are incorrect, the county clerk shall:

260 (i) make the corrections necessary to conform the county map and data to the [~~House~~
261 ~~block assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data; and

262 (ii) resubmit the corrected county map and data to the lieutenant governor and to the
263 Automated Geographic Reference Center for a new review under this Subsection [(2)] (3).

264 [(3)] (4) (a) Subject to the requirements of this Subsection [(3)] (4), each county clerk
265 shall establish voting precincts and polling places within each House district according to the
266 procedures and requirements of Section 20A-5-303.

267 (b) Within five working days after approval of voting precincts and polling places by
268 the county legislative body as required by Section 20A-5-303, each county clerk shall submit a
269 voting precinct map identifying the boundaries of each voting precinct within the county to the
270 lieutenant governor and to the Automated Geographic Reference Center for review.

271 (c) Within 30 days after receipt of a voting precinct map from a county clerk, the
272 Automated Geographic Reference Center shall:

273 (i) review the voting precinct map to evaluate if the county map accurately reflects the
274 boundaries of House districts established by the Legislature in the [~~House block assignment~~
275 ~~file~~] redistricting boundary data;

276 (ii) determine whether the voting precinct map is correct or incorrect; and
277 (iii) communicate those findings to the lieutenant governor.
278 (d) The lieutenant governor shall either notify the county clerk that the voting precinct
279 map is correct or notify the county clerk that the voting precinct map is incorrect.
280 (e) If the county clerk receives notice from the lieutenant governor that the voting
281 precinct map is incorrect, the county clerk shall:
282 (i) make the corrections necessary to conform the voting precinct map to the [House
283 ~~block assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data; and
284 (ii) resubmit the corrected voting precinct map to the lieutenant governor and to the
285 Automated Geographic Reference Center for a new review under this Subsection [(3)] (4).
286 Section 4. Section 36-1-204 is amended to read:
287 **36-1-204. Uncertain boundaries -- How resolved.**
288 (1) As used in this section[, "affected"]:
289 (a) "Affected party" means:
290 [(a)] (i) a representative whose Utah House of Representatives district boundary is
291 uncertain because the [~~boundary~~] feature used to establish the district boundary in the House
292 block assignment file [~~used to establish the House district boundary~~] or in any modifications to
293 the boundary made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5) has been removed, modified, or is unable to be
294 identified or who is uncertain about whether or not the representative or another person resides
295 in a particular House district;
296 [(b)] (ii) a candidate for representative whose House district boundary is uncertain
297 because the [~~boundary~~] feature used to establish the district boundary in the House block
298 assignment file [~~used to establish the district boundary~~] or in any modifications to the boundary
299 made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5) has been removed, modified, or is unable to be identified or
300 who is uncertain about whether or not the candidate or another person resides in a particular
301 House district; or
302 [(c)] (iii) a person who is uncertain about which House district contains the person's
303 residence because the [~~boundary~~] feature used to establish the district boundary in the House
304 block assignment file [~~used to establish the House district boundary~~] or in any modifications to
305 the boundary made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5) has been removed, modified, or is unable to be
306 identified.

307 (b) "Feature" means a geographic or other identifiable tangible or intangible object
308 such as a road or political subdivision boundary that is used to establish a House district
309 boundary.

310 (2) (a) An affected party may file a written request petitioning the lieutenant governor
311 to determine:

- 312 (i) the precise location of the House district boundary;
- 313 (ii) the number of the House district in which a person resides; or
- 314 (iii) both Subsections (2)(a)(i) and (ii).

315 (b) In order to make the determination required by Subsection (2)(a), the lieutenant
316 governor shall review:

- 317 (i) the House block assignment file;
- 318 (ii) the modifications to the boundary made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5); and [~~obtain~~
319 ~~and review~~]
- 320 (iii) other relevant data such as aerial photographs, aerial maps, or other data about the
321 area.

322 (c) Within five days of receipt of the request, the lieutenant governor shall:

- 323 (i) review the House block assignment file[~~obtain and~~];
- 324 (ii) review the modifications to the boundary made in Subsection 36-1-201.5(5);
- 325 (iii) review any relevant data[~~;~~]; and
- 326 (iv) make a determination.

327 (d) When the lieutenant governor determines the location of the House district
328 boundary, the lieutenant governor shall:

- 329 (i) prepare a certification identifying the appropriate House district boundary and
330 attaching a map, if necessary; and
- 331 (ii) send a copy of the certification to:
 - 332 (A) the affected party;
 - 333 (B) the county clerk of the affected county; and
 - 334 (C) the Automated Geographic Reference Center created under Section 63F-1-506.

335 (e) If the lieutenant governor determines the number of the House district in which a
336 particular person resides, the lieutenant governor shall send a letter identifying that district by
337 number to:

- 338 (i) the person;
- 339 (ii) the affected party who filed the petition, if different than the person whose House
- 340 district number was identified; and
- 341 (iii) the county clerk of the affected county.

342 Section 5. **Effective date.**

343 If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this bill takes effect
344 upon approval by the governor, or the day following the constitutional time limit of Utah
345 Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's signature, or in the case of a veto,
346 the date of veto override.

Legislative Review Note
as of 1-24-12 7:18 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

1 **STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION BOUNDARY AMENDMENTS**

2 2012 GENERAL SESSION

3 STATE OF UTAH

4 **Chief Sponsor: Kenneth W. Sumsion**

5 Senate Sponsor: _____

6
7 **LONG TITLE**

8 **General Description:**

9 This bill makes minor adjustments to State Board of Education district boundaries to
10 reconcile United States Census data with state maps and to resolve certain election
11 administration issues.

12 **Highlighted Provisions:**

13 This bill:

- 14 ▶ defines terms;
- 15 ▶ makes changes to State Board of Education district boundaries to reconcile United
16 States Census data with state maps;
- 17 ▶ makes changes to State Board of Education district boundaries to resolve certain
18 election administration issues; and
- 19 ▶ makes technical corrections.

20 **Money Appropriated in this Bill:**

21 None

22 **Other Special Clauses:**

23 This bill provides an immediate effective date.

24 **Utah Code Sections Affected:**

25 AMENDS:

26 **20A-14-101.5**, as enacted by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 3

27 **20A-14-102**, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 3



28 **20A-14-102.2**, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 3
29 **20A-14-102.3**, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2011, Third Special Session, Chapter 3

30

31 *Be it enacted by the Legislature of the state of Utah:*

32

Section 1. Section **20A-14-101.5** is amended to read:

33

**20A-14-101.5. State Board of Education -- Number of members -- State Board of
34 Education district boundaries.**

35

(1) As used in this section:

36

(a) "County boundary" means the county boundary's location in the database as of

37

January 1, 2010.

38

(b) "Database" means the State Geographic Information Database created in Section

39

63F-1-507.

40

(c) "Local school district boundary" means the local school district boundary's location

41

in the database as of January 1, 2010.

42

(d) "Municipal boundary" means the municipal boundary's location in the database as

43

of January 1, 2010.

44

~~[(+)]~~ (2) The State Board of Education shall consist of 15 members, with one member

45

to be elected from each State Board of Education district.

46

~~[(2) The]~~ (3) Except as modified in Subsection (5), the Legislature adopts the official

47

census population figures and maps of the Bureau of the Census of the United States

48

Department of Commerce developed in connection with the taking of the 2010 national

49

decennial census as the official data for establishing State Board of Education district

50

boundaries.

51

~~[(3)]~~ (4) (a) ~~[The]~~ Except as modified in Subsection (5), the Legislature enacts the

52

numbers and boundaries of the State Board of Education districts designated in the Board block

53

assignment file that is the electronic component of the bill that enacts this section.

54

(b) That Board block assignment file, and the State Board of Education district

55

boundaries generated from that Board block assignment file, together with modifications made

56

by Subsection (5), may be accessed via the Utah Legislature's website.

57

(5) (a) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board

58

of Education District 1 and removed from State Board of Education District 2:

59 490572105053022, 490572105053023, 490572105053032, and 490572105053033.

60 (b) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

61 Education District 2 and removed from State Board of Education District 1:

62 490572104031002, 490572104031003, 490572104031008, 490572104031009,

63 490572104031010, 490572104031014, 490572104042050, 490572104042060, and

64 490572104042061.

65 (c) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

66 Education District 4 and removed from State Board of Education District 2:

67 490572105112040, 490572105112042, 490572105112043, and 490572105123002.

68 (d) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

69 Education District 4 and removed from State Board of Education District 5: 490111251021028

70 and 490111251021047.

71 (e) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

72 Education District 6 and removed from State Board of Education District 8: 490351135331025

73 and 490351135331026.

74 (f) The following census block from the 2010 Census is placed into State Board of

75 Education District 7 and removed from State Board of Education District 12:

76 490439643061158.

77 (g) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

78 Education District 8 and removed from State Board of Education District 6:

79 490351135331027, 490351135331032, 490351135331033, 490351135331034, and

80 490351135331035.

81 (h) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

82 Education District 9 and removed from State Board of Education District 13:

83 490490022071013, 490490022073011, 490490022073012, 490490022073016,

84 490490022073017, and 490490101131460.

85 (i) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

86 Education District 11 and removed from State Board of Education District 6:

87 490351142001009, 490351142001010, 490351142001011, 490351142001012,

88 490351142001020, and 490351142001021.

89 (j) The following census blocks from the 2010 Census are placed into State Board of

90 Education District 12 and removed from State Board of Education District 9:
91 490490022011002, 490490022011003, 490490022011099, and 490490101122121.

92 (k) The boundary between State Board of Education District 7 and State Board of
93 Education District 12 is changed to follow the local school district boundary from the
94 intersection of the local school district boundary with the shared boundary between Morgan
95 County and Summit County, then along the same local school district boundary generally south
96 and east to the intersection of the State Board of Education district boundary with the boundary
97 of Summit County Service Area 3, then projecting the same service area boundary east to
98 intersect with Interstate 80.

99 (l) The boundary between State Board of Education District 8 and State Board of
100 Education District 10 is changed to follow 900 East Street south from the intersection of I-215
101 with 900 East street, south to the intersection of 900 East Street with the Midvale municipal
102 boundary, then along the Midvale municipal boundary generally west to the intersection of
103 established State Board of Educations Districts 8, 10, and 11.

104 (m) The boundary between State Board of Education District 8 and State Board of
105 Education District 11 is changed to follow the Midvale municipal boundary from the
106 intersection of established State Board of Education Districts 8, 10, and 11, generally west to
107 the intersection of Midvale municipal boundary with Interstate 15.

108 (n) The boundary of State Board of Education District 9 is changed to follow the Lehi
109 City municipal boundary so that all of Lehi City is within State Board of Education District 9.

110 (o) The boundary between State Board of Education District 9 and State Board of
111 Education District 12 is changed to follow the Pleasant Grove municipal boundary from the
112 southernmost intersection of the Pleasant Grove municipal boundary with State Street generally
113 east to the intersection of the Pleasant Grove municipal boundary with the Murdock Canal.

114 (p) The boundary of State Board of Education District 11 is changed to follow State
115 Highway 73 from the easternmost point where State Highway 73 intersects with the established
116 boundary between State Board of Education Districts 9 and 11, east to the intersection of State
117 Highway 73 with State Highway 68, then north to the southernmost intersection of State
118 Highway 68 with the Lehi municipal boundary, then following the Lehi municipal boundary
119 north to the next point where the Lehi municipal boundary intersects with State Highway 68,
120 then following the Lehi municipal boundary generally east, north, and west to the next

121 intersection of the Lehi municipal boundary with State Highway 68.

122 Section 2. Section **20A-14-102** is amended to read:

123 **20A-14-102. State Board of Education districts.**

124 (1) (a) The Legislature shall file a copy of the Board block assignment file enacted by
125 the Legislature with the lieutenant governor's office.

126 (b) ~~[The]~~ Except as provided in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5), the legal boundaries of
127 State Board of Education districts are contained in the Board block assignment file on file with
128 the lieutenant governor's office.

129 (2) (a) The lieutenant governor shall:

130 (i) generate maps of each State Board of Education district from the Board block
131 assignment file and modifications to boundaries made in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5); and

132 (ii) ensure that those maps are available for viewing on the lieutenant governor's
133 website.

134 (b) If there is any inconsistency between the maps ~~[and]~~, the Board block assignment
135 file, and the modifications made to boundaries in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5), the Board block
136 assignment file ~~[is]~~ as adjusted by the modifications to boundaries made in Subsection
137 20A-14-101.5(5), are controlling.

138 Section 3. Section **20A-14-102.2** is amended to read:

139 **20A-14-102.2. Uncertain boundaries -- How resolved.**

140 (1) As used in this section~~[-,"affected"]~~:

141 (a) "Affected party" means:

142 ~~[(a)]~~ (i) a state school board member whose State Board of Education district boundary
143 is uncertain because the ~~[boundary]~~ feature used to establish the district boundary in the Board
144 block assignment file ~~[used to establish the district boundary]~~ or in any modifications to the
145 boundary made in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5) has been removed, modified, or is unable to be
146 identified or who is uncertain about whether or not the member or another person resides in a
147 particular State Board of Education district;

148 ~~[(b)]~~ (ii) a candidate for state school board whose State Board of Education district
149 boundary is uncertain because the ~~[boundary]~~ feature used to establish the district boundary in
150 the Board block assignment file ~~[used to establish the district boundary]~~ or in any modification
151 to the boundary made in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5) has been removed, modified, or is unable

152 to be identified or who is uncertain about whether or not the candidate or another person
153 resides in a particular State Board of Education district; or

154 ~~[(c)]~~ (iii) a person who is uncertain about which State Board of Education district
155 contains the person's residence because the ~~[boundary]~~ feature used to establish the district
156 boundary in the Board block assignment file ~~[used to establish the State Board of Education~~
157 ~~district boundary has]~~ or in any modification to the boundary made in Subsection
158 20A-14-101.5(5) been removed, modified, or is unable to be identified.

159 (b) "Feature" means a geographic or other tangible or intangible mark such as a road or
160 political subdivision boundary that is used to establish a State Board of Education district
161 boundary.

162 (2) (a) An affected party may file a written request petitioning the lieutenant governor
163 to determine:

164 (i) the precise location of the State Board of Education district boundary;
165 (ii) the number of the State Board of Education district in which a person resides; or
166 (iii) both Subsections (2)(a)(i) and (ii).

167 (b) In order to make the determination required by Subsection (2)(a), the lieutenant
168 governor shall review:

169 (i) the Board block assignment file ~~[and obtain and review]~~;
170 (ii) the modifications to the boundary made in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5); and
171 (iii) other relevant data such as aerial photographs, aerial maps, or other data about the
172 area.

173 (c) Within five days of receipt of the request, the lieutenant governor shall:

174 (i) review the Board block assignment file~~[- obtain and]~~;
175 (ii) review the modifications to the boundary made in Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5);
176 (iii) review any relevant data~~[-]~~; and
177 (iv) make a determination.

178 (d) If the lieutenant governor determines the precise location of the State Board of
179 Education district boundary, the lieutenant governor shall:

180 (i) prepare a certification identifying the appropriate State Board of Education district
181 boundary and attaching a map, if necessary; and
182 (ii) send a copy of the certification to:

183 (A) the affected party;
184 (B) the county clerk of the affected county; and
185 (C) the Automated Geographic Reference Center created under Section 63F-1-506.
186 (e) If the lieutenant governor determines the number of the State Board of Education
187 district in which a particular person resides, the lieutenant governor shall send a letter
188 identifying that district by number to:

189 (i) the person;
190 (ii) the affected party who filed the petition, if different than the person whose State
191 Board of Education district number was identified; and
192 (iii) the county clerk of the affected county.

193 Section 4. Section **20A-14-102.3** is amended to read:

194 **20A-14-102.3. County clerk, Automated Geographic Reference Center, and**
195 **lieutenant governor responsibilities -- Maps and voting precinct boundaries.**

196 (1) As used in this section, "redistricting boundary data" means the State Board of
197 Education block assignment file and any modifications made to the State Board of Education
198 district boundaries by Subsection 20A-14-101.5(5).

199 ~~(1)~~ (2) Each county clerk shall obtain a copy of the ~~[Board block assignment file]~~
200 redistricting boundary data for the clerk's county from the lieutenant governor's office.

201 ~~(2)~~ (3) (a) A county clerk may create one or more county maps that identify the
202 boundaries of State Board of Education districts as generated from the ~~[Board block~~
203 ~~assignment file]~~ redistricting boundary data.

204 (b) Before publishing or distributing any map or data created by the county clerk that
205 identifies the boundaries of State Board of Education districts within the county, the clerk shall
206 submit the county map and data to the lieutenant governor and to the Automated Geographic
207 Reference Center for review.

208 (c) Within 30 days after receipt of a county map and data from a county clerk, the
209 Automated Geographic Reference Center shall:

210 (i) review the county map and data to evaluate if the county map and data accurately
211 reflect the boundaries of State Board of Education districts established by the Legislature in the
212 ~~[Board block assignment file]~~ redistricting boundary data;

213 (ii) determine whether the county map and data are correct or incorrect; and

- 214 (iii) communicate those findings to the lieutenant governor.
- 215 (d) The lieutenant governor shall either notify the county clerk that the county map and
- 216 data are correct or inform the county clerk that the county map and data are incorrect.
- 217 (e) If the county clerk receives notice from the lieutenant governor that the county map
- 218 and data submitted are incorrect, the county clerk shall:
 - 219 (i) make the corrections necessary to conform the county map and data to the [~~Board~~
 - 220 ~~block assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data; and
 - 221 (ii) resubmit the corrected county map and data to the lieutenant governor for a new
 - 222 review under this Subsection [~~(2)~~] (3).
- 223 [~~(3)~~] (4) (a) Subject to the requirements of this Subsection [~~(3)~~] (4), each county clerk
- 224 shall establish voting precincts and polling places within each State Board of Education district
- 225 according to the procedures and requirements of Section 20A-5-303.
- 226 (b) Within five working days after approval of voting precincts and polling places by
- 227 the county legislative body as required by Section 20A-5-303, each county clerk shall submit a
- 228 voting precinct map identifying the boundaries of each voting precinct within the county to the
- 229 lieutenant governor and to the Automated Geographic Reference Center for review.
- 230 (c) Within 30 days after receipt of a voting precinct map from a county clerk, the
- 231 Automated Geographic Reference Center shall:
 - 232 (i) review the voting precinct map to evaluate if the voting precinct map accurately
 - 233 reflects the boundaries of State Board of Education districts established by the Legislature in
 - 234 the [~~Board block assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data;
 - 235 (ii) determine whether the voting precinct map is correct or incorrect; and
 - 236 (iii) communicate those findings to the lieutenant governor.
- 237 (d) The lieutenant governor shall either notify the county clerk that the voting precinct
- 238 map is correct or notify the county clerk that the voting precinct map is incorrect.
- 239 (e) If the county clerk receives notice from the lieutenant governor that the voting
- 240 precinct map is incorrect, the county clerk shall:
 - 241 (i) make the corrections necessary to conform the voting precinct map to the [~~Board~~
 - 242 ~~block assignment file~~] redistricting boundary data; and
 - 243 (ii) resubmit the corrected voting precinct map to the lieutenant governor and to the
 - 244 Automated Geographic Reference Center for a new review under this Subsection [~~(3)~~] (4).

01-24-12 7:21 AM

H.B. 287

245 Section 5. **Effective date.**
246 If approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house, this bill takes effect
247 upon approval by the governor, or the day following the constitutional time limit of Utah
248 Constitution Article VII, Section 8, without the governor's signature, or in the case of a veto,
249 the date of veto override.

Legislative Review Note
as of 1-24-12 7:19 AM

Office of Legislative Research and General Counsel

