

House District	CURRENT			PROPOSED	
	Population	Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal
1	36851	-1	-0.003%	-12	-0.035%
3	36852	0	0.000%	12	0.033%
7	36855	3	0.008%	2	0.014%
8	36867	15	0.041%	-7	0.022%
10	36870	18	0.049%	-195	-0.480%
11	36871	19	0.052%	279	0.809%
12	36876	24	0.065%	-32	-0.022%
13	36859	7	0.019%	30	0.100%
16	36850	-2	-0.005%	-82	-0.228%
17	36871	19	0.052%	134	0.415%
18	36852	0	0.000%	-171	-0.464%
19	36874	22	0.060%	37	0.160%
21	36832	-20	-0.054%	6	-0.038%
24	36852	0	0.000%	24	0.065%
26	36850	-2	-0.005%	47	0.122%
27	36857	5	0.014%	87	0.250%
28	36864	12	0.033%	-24	-0.033%
29	36853	1	0.003%	5	0.016%
31	36852	1	0.003%	-77	-0.206%
33	36845	1	0.003%	30	0.084%
48	36842	1	0.003%	165	0.450%
53	36832	1	0.003%	-12	-0.030%
54	36837	1	0.003%	12	0.035%
55	36833	1	0.003%	43	0.119%
56	36852	1	0.003%	-94	-0.252%
57	36854	1	0.003%	120	0.328%
59	36844	1	0.003%	-120	-0.323%
60	36851	1	0.003%	-165	-0.445%
61	36853	1	0.003%	7	0.022%
62	36839	1	0.003%	-4	-0.008%
64	36846	1	0.003%	-12	-0.030%
66	36857	1	0.003%	18	0.052%
67	36859	1	0.003%	-6	-0.014%
68	36830	1	0.003%	-6	-0.014%
69	36830	1	0.003%	-43	-0.114%
71	36859	1	0.003%	20	0.057%
72	36846	1	0.003%	-16	-0.041%
74	36874	1	0.003%	-37	-0.098%
75	36874	1	0.003%	37	0.103%

School Board District	CURRENT				PROPOSED	
	Population	Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal	
1	184241	-18	-0.010%	-127	-0.079%	
2	184257	-2	-0.001%	127	0.068%	
4	184244	-15	-0.008%	74	0.032%	
5	184242	-17	-0.009%	-74	-0.049%	
6	184273	14	0.008%	-349	-0.182%	
7	184256	-3	-0.002%	107	0.056%	
8	184275	16	0.009%	155	0.093%	
9	184277	18	0.010%	20	0.021%	
10	184252	-7	-0.004%	71	0.035%	
11	184255	-4	-0.002%	109	0.057%	
12	184242	-17	-0.009%	-120	-0.074%	
13	184285	26	0.014%	7	0.018%	

School Board District	Population	CURRENT		PROPOSED	
		Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal
1	184241	-18	-0.010%	-127	-0.079%
2	184257	-2	-0.001%	127	0.068%
4	184244	-15	-0.008%	74	0.032%
5	184242	-17	-0.009%	-74	-0.049%
6	184273	14	0.008%	-349	-0.182%
7	184256	-3	-0.002%	107	0.056%
8	184275	16	0.009%	155	0.093%
9	184277	18	0.010%	20	0.021%
10	184252	-7	-0.004%	71	0.035%
11	184255	-4	-0.002%	109	0.057%
12	184242	-17	-0.009%	-120	-0.074%
13	184285	26	0.014%	7	0.018%

School Board District	Population	CURRENT		PROPOSED	
		Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal
1	184241	-18	-0.010%	-127	-0.079%
2	184257	-2	-0.001%	127	0.068%
4	184244	-15	-0.008%	74	0.032%
5	184242	-17	-0.009%	-74	-0.049%
6	184273	14	0.008%	-349	-0.182%
7	184256	-3	-0.002%	107	0.056%
8	184275	16	0.009%	155	0.093%
9	184277	18	0.010%	20	0.021%
10	184252	-7	-0.004%	71	0.035%
11	184255	-4	-0.002%	109	0.057%
12	184242	-17	-0.009%	-120	-0.074%
13	184285	26	0.014%	7	0.018%

House District	CURRENT			PROPOSED	
	Population	Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal
1	36851	-1	-0.003%	-50	-0.138%
3	36852	0	0.000%	50	0.136%
4	36844	-8	-0.022%	-10	-0.049%
5	36876	24	0.065%	10	0.092%
7	36855	3	0.008%	2	0.014%
8	36867	15	0.041%	-7	0.022%
10	36870	18	0.049%	-195	-0.480%
11	36871	19	0.052%	279	0.809%
12	36876	24	0.065%	-32	-0.022%
13	36859	7	0.019%	30	0.100%
16	36850	-2	-0.005%	-82	-0.228%
17	36871	19	0.052%	134	0.415%
18	36852	0	0.000%	-171	-0.464%
19	36874	22	0.060%	37	0.160%
21	36832	-20	-0.054%	6	-0.038%
24	36852	0	0.000%	24	0.065%
26	36850	-2	-0.005%	47	0.122%
27	36857	5	0.014%	87	0.250%
28	36864	12	0.033%	-24	-0.033%
29	36853	1	0.003%	5	0.016%
31	36852	0	0.000%	-77	-0.209%
33	36845	-7	-0.019%	30	0.062%
38	36847	-5	-0.014%	31	0.071%
48	36842	-10	-0.027%	165	0.421%
53	36832	-20	-0.054%	-12	-0.087%
54	36837	-15	-0.041%	12	-0.008%
55	36833	-19	-0.052%	43	0.065%
56	36852	0	0.000%	-94	-0.255%
57	36854	2	0.005%	120	0.331%
59	36844	-8	-0.022%	-120	-0.347%
60	36851	-1	-0.003%	-165	-0.450%
61	36853	1	0.003%	7	0.022%
62	36839	-13	-0.035%	-4	-0.046%
64	36846	-6	-0.016%	-12	-0.049%
66	36857	5	0.014%	18	0.062%
67	36859	7	0.019%	-6	0.003%
68	36830	-22	-0.060%	-6	-0.076%
69	36830	-22	-0.060%	-43	-0.176%
71	36859	7	0.019%	20	0.073%
72	36846	-6	-0.016%	-16	-0.060%
74	36874	22	0.060%	-37	-0.041%
75	36874	22	0.060%	37	0.160%

House District	CURRENT			PROPOSED	
	Population	Current Deviation from Ideal	% Deviation from Ideal	Proposed Change form current population (+/-)	Proposed % Deviation from Ideal
1	36851	-1	-0.003%	-50	-0.138%
3	36852	0	0.000%	50	0.136%
4	36844	-8	-0.022%	-10	-0.049%
5	36876	24	0.065%	10	0.092%
7	36855	3	0.008%	2	0.014%
8	36867	15	0.041%	-7	0.022%
10	36870	18	0.049%	-195	-0.480%
11	36871	19	0.052%	279	0.809%
12	36876	24	0.065%	-32	-0.022%
13	36859	7	0.019%	30	0.100%
16	36850	-2	-0.005%	-82	-0.228%
17	36871	19	0.052%	134	0.415%
18	36852	0	0.000%	-171	-0.464%
19	36874	22	0.060%	37	0.160%
21	36832	-20	-0.054%	6	-0.038%
24	36852	0	0.000%	24	0.065%
26	36850	-2	-0.005%	47	0.122%
27	36857	5	0.014%	87	0.250%
28	36864	12	0.033%	-24	-0.033%
29	36853	1	0.003%	5	0.016%
31	36852	0	0.000%	-77	-0.209%
33	36845	-7	-0.019%	30	0.062%
38	36847	-5	-0.014%	31	0.071%
48	36842	-10	-0.027%	165	0.421%
53	36832	-20	-0.054%	-12	-0.087%
54	36837	-15	-0.041%	12	-0.008%
55	36833	-19	-0.052%	43	0.065%
56	36852	0	0.000%	-94	-0.255%
57	36854	2	0.005%	120	0.331%
59	36844	-8	-0.022%	-120	-0.347%
60	36851	-1	-0.003%	-165	-0.450%
61	36853	1	0.003%	7	0.022%
62	36839	-13	-0.035%	-4	-0.046%
64	36846	-6	-0.016%	-12	-0.049%
66	36857	5	0.014%	18	0.062%
67	36859	7	0.019%	-6	0.003%
68	36830	-22	-0.060%	-6	-0.076%
69	36830	-22	-0.060%	-43	-0.176%
71	36859	7	0.019%	20	0.073%
72	36846	-6	-0.016%	-16	-0.060%
74	36874	22	0.060%	-37	-0.041%
75	36874	22	0.060%	37	0.160%

# H.B. 286

## *Technology - Transparency* Maps Cross Reference Utah House of Representatives

District adding to:	District removing from:	Bill Reference: 36-1-201.5 (5)	PDF Issue ID:	Proposed Change Number	More than one map (*)	Bisects Census Block(s)
1	29	a	8	4		
1	3	b	59	39		
3	1	c	60	40	*	
3	1	c	60	41	*	
4	5	d	53	36		
7	8	e	19	13	*	
11	10	f	28	21	*	
11	10	f	30	23	*	
11	10	f	31	24	*	
11	10	f	31	25	*	
11	12	g	26	19		
11	16	h	108	77	*	
11	16	h	5	1	*	
13	11	i	107	75		
17	18	j	7	2		
18	17	k	100	70		
18	19	l	96	69		
19	18	m	93	66	*	
20	19	n	95	68		
21	68	o	12	7		
24	28	p	129	93		
26	31	q	131	94		
27	56	r	69	46	*	
27	56	r	86	59	*	
27	6	s	70	49		
29	1	t	8	4		
29	8	u	19	12		
33	31	v	120	90		
34	43	w	119	86		
48	60	x	87	61		
54	53	y	35	29		
56	27	z	69	47		
57	27	aa	72	50		
59	61	bb	78	54		
60	48	cc	87	62		
61	64	dd	88	63		
62	75	ee	55	37		
66	64	ff	90	65		
67	66	gg	73	51	*	
67	66	gg	74	52	*	

# H.B. 287

## Maps Cross Reference

### Utah State School Board

District adding to:	District removing from:	Bill Reference: 20A-14-101.5	PDF Issue ID:	Proposed Change Number	More than one map (*)	Bisects Census Block(s)
1	2	a	025	18		
2	1	b	017	10	*	
2	1	b	022	16	*	
4	2	c	028	22		
4	5	d	106	74		
6	8	e	118	85	*	
6	8	e	119	88	*	
7	12	f	035	30	*	
8	6	g	116	83		
9	13	h	088	64		
11	6	i	119	87		
12	9	j	077	53	*	*
12	13	j	078	55	*	
7	12	k	035	30	*	*
8	10	l	111	81	*	*
8	10	l	111	80	*	*
9	11	n	067	45		*
9	12	n	133	96		*
11	9	o	067	44		*

# H.B. 287

## Maps Cross Reference

### Utah State School Board

District adding to:	District removing from:	Bill Reference: 20A-14-101.5	PDF Issue ID:	Proposed Change Number	More than one map (*)	Bisects Census Block(s)
1	2	a	025	18		
2	1	b	017	10	*	
2	1	b	022	16	*	
4	2	c	028	22		
4	5	d	106	74		
6	8	e	118	85	*	
6	8	e	119	88	*	
7	12	f	035	30	*	
8	6	g	116	83		
9	13	h	088	64		
11	6	i	119	87		
12	9	j	077	53	*	*
12	13	j	078	55	*	
7	12	k	035	30	*	*
8	10	l	111	81	*	*
8	10	l	111	80	*	*
9	11	n	067	45		*
9	12	n	133	96		*
11	9	o	067	44		*

# H.B. 287

## Maps Cross Reference

### Utah State School Board

District adding to:	District removing from:	Bill Reference: 20A-14-101.5	PDF Issue ID:	Proposed Change Number	More than one map (*)	Bisects Census Block(s)
1	2	a	025	18		
2	1	b	017	10	*	
2	1	b	022	16	*	
4	2	c	028	22		
4	5	d	106	74		
6	8	e	118	85	*	
6	8	e	119	88	*	
7	12	f	035	30	*	
8	6	g	116	83		
9	13	h	088	64		
11	6	i	119	87		
12	9	j	077	53	*	*
12	13	j	078	55	*	
7	12	k	035	30	*	*
8	10	l	111	81	*	*
8	10	l	111	80	*	*
9	11	n	067	45		*
9	12	n	133	96		*
11	9	o	067	44		*

From: Keven Stratton, Sutherland Institute <kstratton@sutherlandinstitute.org>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; kstratton <kstratton@sutherlandinstitute.org>  
Date: 10/11/2011 2:35 PM  
Subject: Special invitation: Jim Ferrell seminar on civility

Having trouble viewing this email?

Click here

[http://campaign.r20.constantcontact.com/render?llr=ddhm8xcab&v=001BpBBz7jUJgB8vWtRE8cH-E9y5FI0LQuDtCJRg1vvrVmUs8ag7QRzxQ\\_jcKMYzsBM834CwX6mn18Xt4cBL1vPcm78\\_ThnN5ThwVdF3ugex59gLACa\\_CW3ciyTGURf64SS6XdROs9Szw%3D](http://campaign.r20.constantcontact.com/render?llr=ddhm8xcab&v=001BpBBz7jUJgB8vWtRE8cH-E9y5FI0LQuDtCJRg1vvrVmUs8ag7QRzxQ_jcKMYzsBM834CwX6mn18Xt4cBL1vPcm78_ThnN5ThwVdF3ugex59gLACa_CW3ciyTGURf64SS6XdROs9Szw%3D)

You may unsubscribe

<http://visitor.constantcontact.com/do?p=un&mse=001QCEETLfRg3biUF4iIR7zXIO1UIWhZnMU&t=001pj2nVqQP7wNXc2VGJq8yEQ%3D%3D&llr=ddhm8xcab>

if you no longer wish to receive our emails.

Dear Rep. Kenneth ,

On Thursday, Oct. 20, Sutherland will host our longtime friend Jim Ferrell from The Arbinger Institute [<http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0P-IGDFAxcJZg8mkLd9iOoQuGbB7IP6GI1tAuvWLHA2Yyj0irzYfDXWch5Pp23ZXxEaKpQ7fPfBzPxn3dFGAK-pXK0hGBY0d3L9Bj1RQhcC9xLDvtsUnhZ>] for our award-winning Transcend Series. You probably know him best from his popular book The Peacegiver [[http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0PeLGq7ZKHuU1YfnkCMcc3CsezF\\_eb4XXIfQHGDu6y0XOC7dHCVKH5y5krGiiRxuR9TRGRTmEoxjmnR\\_it9\\_svCghA98re80xoQXvr1Q8mmEN2vn90keG5MzHuiqeKkOKgxs\\_CqTduivZCXGJWE2v4Apb4y9DDpICJiNMTJRAS3DGXpFIORJxDe-CmT0DtGUKKZHF8p0wtuYzriWIMRebZf](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0PeLGq7ZKHuU1YfnkCMcc3CsezF_eb4XXIfQHGDu6y0XOC7dHCVKH5y5krGiiRxuR9TRGRTmEoxjmnR_it9_svCghA98re80xoQXvr1Q8mmEN2vn90keG5MzHuiqeKkOKgxs_CqTduivZCXGJWE2v4Apb4y9DDpICJiNMTJRAS3DGXpFIORJxDe-CmT0DtGUKKZHF8p0wtuYzriWIMRebZf)] or his best-seller Leadership and Self-Deception [[http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0PSLORrZq3gyw8jQBal8uZWWhGk\\_Ok5xb04EY9ZtFVsLCKNKmfpGYzV7ACcecfCt5D8w\\_1hIkz-IOT4QxSpUoUJxXevYzflvZ4F79UfUJHBsh82JQ-Zbcbw/6H7chJu4k=](http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0NhYRrQzfZ8TYAPjbKgpznn1_9JNYAZf8V3-ZS5aq3cTeAGICe5YKH4HkvgZ_jT-26TKpNLiCuaRGhnhw75vWnGiMHXL9MgwquCCPv-FCDW5Lv3QxulQuaKtddg8ojlWrdyffEpQsYwjZWKJYgk8K2TIH552ueZhT1VGeOcaPZxTw=]=]. Similar presentations [<a href=)] by Mr. Ferrell sell for up to \$600 per person, but we have space in this session - free - for the first 10 people who respond to this email.

This is an all-day session that goes from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. at Sutherland's offices (307 West 200 South, Suite 5005, in Salt Lake City).

Reserve your seats by contacting me via email [<mailto:kstratton@sutherlandinstitute.org>] or calling Lisa at 801-355-1272. When you call, please mention this email. Priority will be given to couples.

Cheers!

Keven Stratton

Grassroots Outreach

Sutherland Institute

P.S. Click here or see bottom of this email for more information about this session.

Redistricting: Why Utah

### Ought to Carve Up Salt Lake

Here is my advice to the state Legislature on redistricting: Carve up Salt Lake City and dilute the more liberal parts of Salt Lake County.

I say this as a conservative, as someone who works each and every day to protect the cause of freedom. I don't say this to disrespect or diminish in any way the personal political feelings of residents in those areas.

Read more... [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?lr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0MkzgdVbljSutXCn0TEmQ5Fte43Vz7UCmThm9MtK2ZrFchEfMFIZ7mLCgQwXHfkI4MMXhxAriOgtVwLS9-W0E9aYAUjcfSAIssBnOxAda1Rr1JLPh0xHKuMTtJVMghu27HSGRimV1iRYI86J-h7Z5poUwlpS0MQPawz0DISgxHbomWiMb0imaN71eG1ir996SZvLMrVqIQWjQ25qv0Xilj]

### Reality Squashes Farmer's Plan to Hire Local Labor

With unemployment hovering around 9 percent, some have argued that if we could just "deal with" (read: "round up and ship out" or "starve out") undocumented immigrants, there would be enough jobs to go around. After all, they're taking up jobs that citizens would be happy to do, right? Well, not exactly.

While enforcement-only measures are often touted as job-creating legislation, the reality is that there are jobs out there that most Americans simply will not do.

John Harold, a Colorado farmer, found this out the hard way.

Read more... [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?lr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0Q3z\_HctU8tFwyqn9rEvlCtW8oh\_FXO5d15KI8yJ5uodDSq0MMUA3GajQJE9bi4d5r1zcwZkYZtV8NDIiwbQPaasOGJBw860YYLC-jo5yc1clQgk-Q-yue0KWugGONKFsaoXamMdwSE10TANNSITP1RSDL\_3Ss7ZbxfhgLhxjw6RHuPFulHxmMJHJUjQ001\_iMlufK6Q1t1SPOfLrN4LNLWDsev-f5v5GxhvJbjeHBrHQ==]

### How Ogden (and Other Districts) Can Foster Excellence in Teaching

Imagine a high-performing teacher in Ogden School District. This teacher takes the time to work individually with her struggling students and lets them know she cares about them. She knows the material she teaches backward and forward and has shown an amazing capacity to teach it in ways that connect with and captivate her students.

Read more... [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?lr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0OpR1kWRVbismyEqki4voSH-b9tOzYvyks-SIH3llwAwa00FVCbX2U3cfnbS6-H\_spNMLEIZxeQrtbgTRW3lkcRIX0Y8MO7ZLnFFGiSP\_142iAvdJo4je6K6ew0kofPKegKzJb23CupzPsCkSC2Jb-BsHpeE\_LQKagy4H4IHkAdMqlk6Ca5RskCp-zZXIJMldso7yJ2wGBOdCFodjxvMWnt6kiCEDoPz75KgUJnL8GQ==]

### Utah's Fuel Act: Helping Gas Stations at Your Expense?

Many people - citizens and lawmakers - would think it ridiculous for government to prohibit R.C. Willey from giving away hot dogs and soda Saturday mornings as an enticement for passers-by to look at furniture.

For some reason, though, many of these same people do not object to a law that forbids gas stations from giving similar direct discounts to Utahns on gasoline.

Click below to learn more ...

Utah's fuel act: Helping gas stations at your expense? [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0MWifc2JFqAPT8G9qHfARV8LuFcJLOLZZlr\_\_IXiLww6N6HulhZqWtKNWIBYHeS\_FPWiIrdgt4bmT5Rt6n2MSjNjSi7C2ju4oBwU18DykNzaMOaKi6NXygZe3Uld1wETXrye7YqfBRiXprZXC5iCPR1kGrNMOw4SV0ZZqvF2D-TQ==]

Utah's fuel act: Helping gas stations at your expense?

Sutherland Seal [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0MHusQ7YHJQebOm3-vRO\_dwyRFqFfne-UXztSVuRK1ynqAbAzzzYNaL2plop8EVauH1wDOvisU6\_-JK5D5orjKXtlnA7inHo8bXLoOVaoxD9g==] Responsible Citizen Exchange Logo [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0OR1v\_XbL6-PsEoKL5RgY2Le\_u-JZLtD6Jtb0yf75lxVVO2IFh7kuQKfRgtAJcQ\_v2WNmOWLtj62XSs4DLyOBTnDoybRJS6uLSnPe1BIMqjRSR0UqKNzB7V8xkxgg4EMPU=]

Find us on Facebook [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0MGwtXOAgEKUxDYmP1hvdTnbt8R3VhUSQ\_pAMZL9f1FTprf7jVcsaS-gKay-RuwUF\_WvMvUv4ztt8rTGas0gXB56b3xSeu-RIYMCfuLkMHAUPx6kgOQZK\_Z8cuwt4d6pYY=] Follow us on Twitter [http://r20.rs6.net/tn.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&et=1108080610709&s=996&e=001DyQkYCBih0PIPCgDf\_1zmlYQG7w0LPEpxNcHFPBaSY2bypB54yAlfP6w79Y7bQifHaYthnEZ2iiG9eKt5MpFAO0wv45aa8Ho3j2DTyq m0OUrS1m1Syl\_hiyUrED0FHj\_] Forward this issue to a Friend [http://ui.constantcontact.com/sa/fwtf.jsp?m=1102459575395&a=1108080610709&ea=ksumsion%40utah.gov]

Crane Building  
307 West 200 South,  
Suite 5005  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
801-355-1272  
(Toll Free) 855-355-1272

PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY\*FAMILY\*RELIGION\*PRIVATE PROPERTY\*FREE  
MARKETS\*CHARITY\*LIMITED  
GOVERNMENT

Forward email  
http://ui.constantcontact.com/sa/fwtf.jsp?llr=ddhm8xcab&m=1102459575395&ea=ksumsion@utah.gov&a=1108080610709

This email was sent to ksumsion@utah.gov by kstratton@sutherlandinstitute.org.

Update Profile/Email Address  
http://visitor.constantcontact.com/do?p=oo&mse=001QCEETLfRg3biUF4iIR7zXIO1UIWhZnMU&t=001pj2nVqQP7wNXc2VGJq8yEQ%3D%3D&llr=ddhm8xcab

Instant removal with SafeUnsubscribe(TM)  
http://visitor.constantcontact.com/do?p=un&mse=001QCEETLfRg3biUF4iIR7zXIO1UIWhZnMU&t=001pj2nVqQP7wNXc2VGJq8yEQ%3D%3D&llr=ddhm8xcab

Privacy Policy:

<http://ui.constantcontact.com/roving/CCPrivacyPolicy.jsp>

Online Marketing by  
Constant Contact(R)  
[www.constantcontact.com](http://www.constantcontact.com)

Sutherland Institute | 307 W. 200 S. Suite 5005 | Salt Lake City | UT | 84101

From: Pat Cone <pat.cone@browning.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/12/2011 4:08 PM  
Subject: Utah Open Meetings Law

Mr Sumsion.

I'm a former commissioner in Summit County, Utah, and when I was in office I had 2 things in my coat pocket: the Constitution and the Utah Open Meetings Law.

I made sure that any time we went in to an executive session that it was for one of the reasons specified in the Law. Now I understand that the political caucus exclusion is something the legislature uses all the time to close their meetings, but then discuss public business.

I personally find that unethical and shameful. I'd love to hear your response and rationale.

Patrick Cone  
Oakley, Utah

435 640 0458

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: kelli <kelli@xmission.com>  
Date: 9/29/2011 8:16 PM  
Subject: Re: Christmas Cards

Kelli,

I have always been impressed with your sincerity. The House map is probably about 80% there. A few seats I would prefer to have been different but it is a political process. I think we did a much better job than the senate did on maps.

I know it frustrates you that my philosophy on congressional maps is so different. I will not elaborate here but I have a different view of the role of congressional seats within a federal system.

Thank you for being so involved.

Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Kelli Lundgren <kelli@xmission.com>" <kelli@xmission.com>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: Christmas Cards  
Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2011 2:36 pm

>>> "Kelli Lundgren <kelli@xmission.com>" <kelli@xmission.com> 2011-09-29T14:39:52.967274 >>>  
You know, you're really making it hard for us to be mad at you... but we are.

Thank you for all your hard work on this process. What a HUGE task.

Plan on a Christmas card from me.

Kelli  
RepresentMeUtah!

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: llonsberry <llonsberry@ksl.com>  
Date: 9/28/2011 8:51 AM  
Subject: Re: REDISTRICTING

Lee,  
What time? I have missed so much work doing this and have a lot on my table to do today before I miss another day tomorrow.

Does his show begin at 9. If it does tomorrow would be better as I will be at capitol for another meeting.  
Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Lee Lonsberry <llonsberry@ksl.com>" <llonsberry@ksl.com>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: REDISTRICTING  
Date: Wed, Sep 28, 2011 8:05 am

>>> "Lee Lonsberry <llonsberry@ksl.com>" <llonsberry@ksl.com> 2011-09-28T08:05:13.652832 >>>  
Sir,

I wonder if I could get you to come on with Doug Wright this morning (yeah, I work here now) and chat a little on the latest on redistricting.

All the best,

Lee Lonsberry  
The Doug Wright Show  
801 382 7842  
[cid:image001.png@01CC7DB5.524A85F0]

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: robpmoore <robpmoore@gmail.com>  
Date: 10/10/2011 9:19 PM  
Subject: Re: New Spice variations

Rob,  
I'm probably a mixed bag on the drug and alcohol issue. I would like to see Utah get out of the alcohol business. I'm not there on meth and other hard drugs of that nature. Too addictive and the carnage left behind falls back on society.

Spice, to some extent I really do not know much about it, so I don't perceive it to be in the same court as meth. Therefore, I see your financial point about cost and is there a better way to go after it, ie, education and save tax payor money.

I don't like govt control but I do think there are a few boundaries when the damage is to others is so great that I think it if appropriate for govt to get involved.

I realize that I'm probably inconsistent in this realm. I know I have to be careful with this argument because it is used all the time to start new govt programs because it will save the peoples money. Almost never is true because govt s can't control themselves.

Actually I don't mind your insights at all.  
Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: New Spice variations  
Date: Mon, Oct 10, 2011 12:40 pm

>>> "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com> 2011-10-10T12:40:28.040867 >>>  
Dear Representative Sumsion,

Thank you for your response to my email message.

Do you buy into any of the argument against government prohibition of Spice?

Am I making a reasonable argument that may persuade you to support removing a government prohibition (except for minors)? Just trying to get gauge your thoughts on this.

I really believe Utah will be better off with out the ban, and I know Rep. Anderson of Taylorsville agrees. It would be good for Utah to get a coalition of representatives to support this.

By the way, based on the new House district maps, it looks like you will be stuck with my constant input. I am assuming you live within the new District 56 boundaries?

Respectfully Yours,

Rob Moore  
Lehi, UT

On Sunday, October 9, 2011, Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:

>

> Thanks for her helping me be aware of what is going on.

> Ken

>

> Sent from myTouch 4G

>

> ----- Reply message -----

> From: "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>

> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>

> Subject: New Spice variations

> Date: Sun, Oct 9, 2011 3:09 pm

>

>

>

>

>>>> "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>

2011-10-09T15:09:17.558552 >>>

> Dear Representative Sumsion,

>

> See the article linked below from Ogden Standard-Examiner. New Spice strains are coming up that require new legislation to include them in the ban. Or, some are proposing a commission to ban new substances, with force of law, outside of the legislature.

>

> Can we use this, first, as an opportunity to revisit the usefulness of a ban in the first place?

>

> Problem: people are hurting themselves by ingesting a dangerous substance, called Spice.

>

> Legislative Solution: Ban legal access to the dangerous substance.

>

> Result: Producers create variations of substance that fall outside legislation and people continue to hurt themselves.

>

> Is this the best solution?

>

> Where is the justification for the ban? Is it moral to prohibit people from engaging in consensual activity?

>

> The primary problem with government prohibitions is they make things worse, not better.

>

> First, the demand to consume the illegal substance does not disappear. So, people 1) find alternatives (often more dangerous; e.g. from marijuana to Spice; what more dangerous substance comes after Spice?); 2) a black market is created for the illegal substance (more crime, more violence, and more dangerous alternatives; 1000's of people during prohibition died from tainted bootleg alcohol).

>

> A better solution:

>

> No, to prohibition.

>

> Yes, to education.

>

> Instead of putting more and more money into 1) enforcement and 2) prosecution ( are you aware of the \$100k+ it costs us to prove Spice use?), let's put that \$\$ into education programs as we've done with tobacco and alcohol. The purpose of this education is to 1) prevent usage in first place and 2) educate to avoid overdose when it is consumed (just like we do regarding alcohol use).

>

> Is this not a reasonable proposition?

>

> I've never used an illegal drug, and don't plan to change that record in the event that the legal status changes. Most people are like this, don't you think?

>

> Likewise, some people will use illegal drugs whether they are legal or not.

>

> Let's not limit everyone's freedom because some people choose to hurt themselves by certain activities they choose. Likewise, let's not continue to make everyone else (taxpayers) pay the cost of the minority that do use these substances.

>

> I'm concerned your colleagues in the legislature are being knee-jerk about "drugs" instead of having a rational evaluation of what Utah needs.

>

> Respectfully Yours,

>

> Rob Moore

> Lehi, UT

>

> Ben Winslow (@BenWinslow)

> 10/9/11 8:36 AM

>

> Original has about 30 pgs of chem names. RT @standardex: New Utah laws may be needed to deal with spice recipe changes: <http://t.co/FkDxdP6b>

>

> Shared via TweetCaster

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

-

Rob Moore

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: ssafiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
Date: 10/7/2011 8:11 AM  
Subject: Re: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah

Safi,  
Can you agree to put an end time on this meeting. Eight would be great. That would allow a few minutes to finish up after eight. Will that work?  
Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah  
Date: Thu, Oct 6, 2011 5:10 pm

>>> "Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org> 2011-10-06T17:11:05.648925 >>>  
Dear Rep Sumsion,

On behalf of the Salt Lake City Public Library I would like to invite you to come to our library to participate in a panel discussion about re-redistricting Utah on October 11 at 7:00 p.m. We would like to make it as civil discussion for the benefit of the community members and will remain neutral to the topic. Please let me know asap whether you will be interested to join this discussion.

Thank you.

Sincerely  
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: wendyhickman <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
Date: 10/6/2011 10:22 PM  
Subject: Re: Tooele

Yes they are.  
Thanks  
Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: Tooele  
Date: Thu, Oct 6, 2011 9:20 pm

>>> "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com> 2011-10-06T21:20:47.043042 >>>  
Thanks for the reply! Good luck with that redraw - sounds like things are pretty crazy.

Wendy

Sent from my iPod

On Oct 6, 2011, at 8:37 PM, "Kenneth Sumsion" <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:

>  
> Hi Wendy,  
> You are great. I do appreciate your detailed involvement. That has not been raised as an issue to this point. I don't know where we will end up after the house and senate couldn't agree.

>  
> The base map had it going south ward.

>  
> Usually the discussion is to keep all the military installations in one seat.

> Thanks

> Ken

>  
> Sent from myTouch 4G

>  
> ----- Reply message -----

> From: "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com>

> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>

> Subject: Tooele

> Date: Tue, Oct 4, 2011 8:25 am

>

>

>

>

>>>> "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com> 2011-10-04T08:25:51.808221  
>>>

> I was just reading through map redraws this morning. I have one huge concern with removing Tooele from Rep District 2. Given Bishop's previous dealings with Energy Solutions, I see it as very concerning that Bishop would assume representation of that area. I suggest that representation of that area specifically not be given to Bishop to ensure there is no conflict of interest.

>  
> Wendy Hickman  
>  
> Sent from my iPod  
>  
>

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: "Miller, Derek B." <DBMILLER@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/6/2011 8:48 PM  
Subject: Re: From the Governor's Office

Thank you.

I apologize for not getting back with you. I have had dozens and dozens of calls and emails. I did get your voicemail late yesterday.

I am interested to know if the Governor will veto any plan that is short of these expectations? Since Allie alluded to it at the end of our meeting?

We are now simply trying to find common ground with the Senate.

Rep Ken Sumsion

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Derek B. Miller" <DBMILLER@utah.gov>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: From the Governor's Office  
Date: Tue, Oct 4, 2011 8:43 am

>>> "Derek B. Miller" <DBMILLER@utah.gov> 2011-10-04T08:43:56.754843 >>>  
Representative Sumsion,

Thanks for your time yesterday. I left you a voice message last night, but recognizing that you are extremely busy I thought it would be more effective to send you this email - essentially to reiterate that the Governor is not pushing for ONE specific map over another. The reason I wanted to speak to you is because we continue to read articles and comments attributing the Governor's name to a specific map or indicating that the Governor is pushing for specific boundaries.

As you know from our meeting, that is not the case. The Governor recognizes that how the finished map is created and where the specific boundaries are drawn is the constitutional purview of the Legislature. We appreciate your help in communicating to members in your body that the Governor's desire is for an urban/rural mix in each of the four congressional districts so that Utah has as many voices as possible in DC advocating for both urban issues, as well as rural issues such as management of public lands and energy development. I am certain there are a number of maps that would meet this criteria and be acceptable to the Governor. I also spoke to Joe Pyrah last night asking that he reiterate the Governor's position with the Speaker.

Please call me if you would like to discuss further.

Thanks again.

Derek

P.S. Thanks for your hard work on this process through the Summer and congratulations on the overwhelming support on the Utah House map. The 74 out of 75 votes in favor was a resounding affirmation of the fair and balanced approach and outcome on this map!

Derek B. Miller  
Chief of Staff

Office of Governor Gary R. Herbert

**\*\*State agencies' hours of operations are Monday-Thursday 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and closed on Friday.\*\***

From: Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: wendyhickman <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
Date: 10/6/2011 8:37 PM  
Subject: Re: Tooele

Hi Wendy,

You are great. I do appreciate your detailed involvement. That has not been raised as an issue to this point. I don't know where we will end up after the house and senate couldn't agree.

The base map had it going south ward.

Usually the discussion is to keep all the military installations in one seat.

Thanks  
Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: Tooele  
Date: Tue, Oct 4, 2011 8:25 am

>>> "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com> 2011-10-04T08:25:51.808221 >>>  
I was just reading through map redraws this morning. I have one huge concern with removing Tooele from Rep District 2. Given Bishop's previous dealings with Energy Solutions, I see it as very concerning that Bishop would assume representation of that area. I suggest that representation of that area specifically not be given to Bishop to ensure there is no conflict of interest.

Wendy Hickman

Sent from my iPod

From: Kelli Lundgren <kelli@xmission.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 9/29/2011 2:40 PM  
Subject: Christmas Cards

You know, you're really making it hard for us to be mad at you... but we are.

Thank you for all your hard work on this process. What a HUGE task.

Plan on a Christmas card from me.

Kelli  
RepresentMeUtah!

From: Michael Jensen <michael@riverwalkmedia.com>  
To: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Hendrickson, Neal" <nhendrickson@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/3/2011 8:25 AM  
Subject: west Provo should be kept within the Provo/Orem senate district.

Please, Help.  
west Provo should be kept within the Provo/Orem senate district.  
Thanks  
Michael Jensen  
433 W 2150 S  
Provo, Ut 84601

From: Dave Iltis <dave.iltis@utah.edu>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/3/2011 9:04 AM  
Subject: Redistricting

Dear Mr. Waddoups and Mr. Sumsion,

You probably play poker with a marked set of cards. Your plan, and justification for it, are really disgusting.

You should choose to do the right thing, and create a fair redistricting proposal, not a sham.

You need to follow what most citizens have wanted, a 'doughnut hole' plan. You also need to start over with a non-partisan redistricting commission. What you have done is insulting to all Utahns.

I'm sure, however, that you are enjoying the eggs from the chicken coop.

Sincerely,

Dave Iltis  
1124 4th Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103-4123  
801-328-2066

From: Ellen Brady <ebrady@xmission.com>  
BC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/3/2011 10:40 AM  
Subject: Redistricting

Dear Utah Legislator:

I'm writing to protest the current redistricting plan. The notion that rural and urban districts should be 'blended' is absurd, as it results in districts that represent neither set of interests well. And in this case, it seems specially designed to silence the voice of the most populous area in the state -- Salt Lake County. As a resident of Salt Lake County, which is now split into four districts, I can assure you that I personally feel totally disenfranchised by the current plan. Specifically, I now reside in the newly formed District 4, drawn by all accounts to ensure the election of Rep Carl Wimmer to Congress. He does not and never will reflect my positions on issues that affect me personally or, more broadly, this country, Utah, or Salt Lake County. Representation is more than one-person-one vote. It also involves ensuring that areas of mutual interest are left intact such that they have a collective voice that can be heard. Salt Lake County will be deprived of that representation in Congress, should you adopt the plan before you.

Ellen

Ellen Brady

801-272-1590

From: Carole Peterson <carolepete1650n@yahoo.com>  
To: "Daw, Bradley" <bdaw@utah.gov>; "Dee, Brad" <bdee@utah.gov>; "Edwards, Becky" <beckyedwards@utah.gov>; "Galvez, Brad" <bgalvez@utah.gov>; "Wright, Bill" <billwright@utah.gov>; "Last, Brad" <blast@utah.gov>; "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "Wilson, Brad" <bradwilson@utah.gov>; "Herrod, Chris" <cherrod@utah.gov>; "Oda, Curtis" <coda@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Wimmer, Carl" <cwimmer@utah.gov>; "Butterfield, David" <dbutterfield@utah.gov>; "Clark, David" <dclark@utah.gov>; "Brown, Derek" <derekbrown@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Pitcher, Dixon" <dpitcher@utah.gov>; "Sanpei, Dean" <dsanpei@utah.gov>; "Hutchings, Eric" <ehutchings@utah.gov>; "Vickers, Evan" <evickers@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Cox, Fred" <fredcox@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Richardson, Holly" <hrichardson@utah.gov>; "Anderson, Johnny" <janderson34@utah.gov>; "Bird, Jim" <jbird@utah.gov>; "Draxler, Jack" <jdraxler@utah.gov>; "Dunnigan, Jim" <jdunnigan@utah.gov>; "Peterson, Jeremy" <jeremyapeterson@utah.gov>; "Nielson, Jim" <jimnielson@utah.gov>; "Mathis, John" <jmathis@utah.gov>; "McCliff, Kay" <kaymccliff@utah.gov>; "Grover, Keith" <keithgrover@utah.gov>; "Ivory, Ken" <kivory@utah.gov>; "Powell, Kraig" <kraigpowell@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Christensen, LaVar" <lavarchristensen@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Morley, Mike" <mikemorley@utah.gov>; "Painter, Patrick" <ppainter@utah.gov>; "Ray, Paul" <pray@utah.gov>; "Greenwood, Richard" <rgreenwood@utah.gov>; "Wilcox, Ryan" <ryanwilcox@utah.gov>; "Barlow, Stewart" <sbarlow@utah.gov>; "Eliason, Steve" <seliason@utah.gov>; "Sandstrom, Stephen" <ssandstrom@utah.gov>; "Handy, Steve" <stevehandy@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "Peterson, Val" <vpeterson@utah.gov>; "Harper, Wayne" <WHARPER@utah.gov>; repjohndougall@gmail.com <repjohndougall@gmail.com>; mnoel@kanab.net <mnoel@kanab.net>; leeperry@utah.gov <leeperry@utah.gov>; menlove@utah.gov <menlove@utah.gov>; "Peterson, Carole" <carolepete1650n@yahoo.com>  
Date: 10/3/2011 7:26 PM  
Subject: Reapportionment

Government of the people, by the people and for the people! What has happened to the members of the Utah State Legislature? The current lines drawn for reapportionment are strictly party driven. Stand up and move forward with fair boundaries. Stop gerrymandering!

Remember who elects you--WE THE PEOPLE!

Carole E. Peterson

From: Craig Shuler <shulercl@gmail.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/3/2011 8:35 PM  
Subject: No to illogical Congress boundaries

Representative Kenneth Sumsion

Thanks to all the redistricting committee's hard work. The more you look at the maps, the more you realize what a hard job it is.

But please vote no on the SB3002 gerrymander. The past week's secret meetings are shameful! It is apparently gerrymandered for no HONEST reason.

North, south, east and west parts of the state should each be kept together. The committee did OK by northern Utah and Uintah Valley (except Summit Co), but nowhere else.

Central, southern, and SE Utah should be with Provo, not with SLC and Bountiful and Tooele!

It looks like any logical boundaries will divide Utah and Davis Counties into a two districts, but they shouldn't be the SB3002 boundaries. Salt Lake City and South Davis County should be with north (or west) Salt Lake County, not with St. George, and Monticello.

The West Jordan / Riverton / Saratoga / Payson district has most of growth areas the next 10 years and will leave their citizens severely underrepresented by 2021.

Payson should be with Provo not with West Valley!

I think you need to go back to the Congress Garber D Plan or something else that keeps districts in each of the four directions and splits my Salt Lake County in no more than two districts.

Craig L. Shuler, CPA

Sandy, Utah

home 801-572-9167

cell 801-599-7378



From: Cathey Lukes <calukes@comcast.net>  
To: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/4/2011 12:26 PM  
Subject: Redistricting

I am a 57 year old woman living in Sugarhouse. I pay taxes, own my home, and a single parent of a 25 year recent Westminster College graduate (now a manager at Walmart). I never collected one dime of child support nor did I want any. I was and still capable of supporting my child. I am white and Catholic. Before you think "liberal" think again.

I am disgusted with the arrogance of the final maps presented. The absolute disregard for the public's input during all of the meetings held throughout the summer went completely unheard. I have NOTHING in common with people in rural areas and they have NOTHING in common with me. Your heavy hand in every aspect of life in Utah is repugnant. You all claim to be members of the LDS Church. You have NOTHING in common with present day Christians. Do you think Jesus would be proud of what you are doing? I think NOT!

In any other state I would be considered either Independent or Republican. In Utah, I lean more towards the Democrats because they have compassion, respect, and more integrity than the ultra fair right Republicans in this state. It scares me so much because the way you Republicans rule it reminds me of communism. As in a dictatorship, where you command how people vote, act, live, and love.

Please do the right thing for every Utah citizen!

Thank you,

Catherine A. Lukes  
1853 South 600 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
801.649.6000

From: Cathey Lukes <calukes@comcast.net>  
To: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <sc Reid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/4/2011 11:59 AM  
Subject: Redistricting

I am a 57 year old woman living in Sugarhouse. I pay taxes, own my home, and a single parent of a 25 year recent Westminster College graduate (now a manager at Walmart). I never collected one dime of child support nor did I want any. I was and still capable of supporting my child. I am white and Catholic. Before you think "liberal" think again.

I am disgusted with the arrogance of the final maps presented. The absolute disregard for the public's input during all of the meetings held throughout the summer went completely unheard. I have NOTHING in common with people in rural areas and they have NOTHING in common with me. Your heavy hand in every aspect of life in Utah is repugnant. You all claim to be members of the LDS Church. You have NOTHING in common with present day Christians. Do you think Jesus would be proud of what you are doing? I think NOT!

In any other state I would be considered either Independent or Republican. In Utah, I lean more towards the Democrats because they have compassion, respect, and more integrity than the ultra fair right Republicans in this state. It scares me so much because the way you Republicans rule it reminds me of communism. As in a dictatorship, where you command how people vote, act, live, and love.

Please do the right thing for every Utah citizen!

Thank you,

Catherine A. Lukes  
1853 South 600 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105  
801.649.6000

From: Kim Higginson <kdhigginson@gmail.com>  
To: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/4/2011 10:46 AM  
Subject: Ipson Map

Due to the last minute notice, I can't make it to any public hearings today, but I want to make it known that I do not approve of the congressional map presented by Rep. Ipson. I believe it's an attempt to meet the Governor's own political agenda, and that to me is wrong. At the very least, I feel that two districts should be urban and two mainly rural. The federal land issue is a poor excuse for a map like this, and in my opinion is a special interest.

As Terry Mundorff so eloquently stated in this morning's Tribune: "Article I, Section 3 provides for at-large elected officials whose purpose it is to represent the state as a whole. They are called senators, not representatives".

Kim Higginson  
1178 E 6600 S #7  
Salt Lake City, UT 84121  
801-560-9445

From: Derek B. Miller <DBMILLER@utah.gov>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/4/2011 8:44 AM  
Subject: From the Governor's Office

Representative Sumsion,

Thanks for your time yesterday. I left you a voice message last night, but recognizing that you are extremely busy I thought it would be more effective to send you this email - essentially to reiterate that the Governor is not pushing for ONE specific map over another. The reason I wanted to speak to you is because we continue to read articles and comments attributing the Governor's name to a specific map or indicating that the Governor is pushing for specific boundaries.

As you know from our meeting, that is not the case. The Governor recognizes that how the finished map is created and where the specific boundaries are drawn is the constitutional purview of the Legislature. We appreciate your help in communicating to members in your body that the Governor's desire is for an urban/rural mix in each of the four congressional districts so that Utah has as many voices as possible in DC advocating for both urban issues, as well as rural issues such as management of public lands and energy development. I am certain there are a number of maps that would meet this criteria and be acceptable to the Governor. I also spoke to Joe Pyrah last night asking that he reiterate the Governor's position with the Speaker.

Please call me if you would like to discuss further.

Thanks again.

Derek

P.S. Thanks for your hard work on this process through the Summer and congratulations on the overwhelming support on the Utah House map. The 74 out of 75 votes in favor was a resounding affirmation of the fair and balanced approach and outcome on this map!

Derek B. Miller  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Governor Gary R. Herbert

\*\*State agencies' hours of operations are Monday-Thursday 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and closed on Friday.\*\*

From: Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/4/2011 8:27 AM  
Subject: Tooele

I was just reading through map redraws this morning. I have one huge concern with removing Tooele from Rep District 2. Given Bishop's previous dealings with Energy Solutions, I see it as very concerning that Bishop would assume representation of that area. I suggest that representation of that area specifically not be given to Bishop to ensure there is no conflict of interest.

Wendy Hickman

Sent from my iPod

From: cameron robinson <buhlrob@yahoo.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; mmadsen@utahsenate.org  
<mmadsen@utahsenate.org>; "robinson, cameron" <buhlrob@yahoo.com>  
Date: 10/4/2011 5:30 PM  
Subject: Constituent: Congressional Redistricting Vote

Hello,

As my legislative representatives, I'm writing to urge you to reconsider the "Garber CDs D" congressional map in the redistricting meetings to be held tonight. I have been following the redistricting process for some time now and have looked at the various maps and public input and this one is by far the simplest, most partisan free, and maintains the 1 person 1 vote philosophy which drives the process.

Representative Sumsion, I was very pleased to see that you were chosen to head up the committee from the beginning, as I have long held you to be extremely fair and principled. I appreciate the hard work that has gone into this process, and the many late nights you have spent traveling and creating these maps. As this process heads to a close I feel I can still call you fair and principled, despite much of the rhetoric bandied about in the media.

I know there are many considerations made in this process, and many influences at play. As a concerned and involved citizen I would urge you both to lobby and vote for the "Garber CDs D" congressional map. It is fair, it keeps communities whole, and it simply makes the most sense of all the maps which have been presented.

Thank you,

Cameron Robinson  
Eagle Mountain  
801-960-0894

From: Tania Knauer <knauers@msn.com>  
To: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/4/2011 7:25 PM  
Subject: Redistricting

Dear Redistricting Commission;

I did a redistricting experiment with my 13 year old. I gave her a map of Utah (with cities and counties included), a box of crayons and a set of rules:1) Keep counties together 2) If a county is too big to fit in one district, then keep the cities together3) If a city is too big, keep a school district/neighborhood together Using Cerulean, Cadet Blue, Carnation Pink, Mango Tango....as well as the population sizes for house and senate districts, she created some maps that were not only pretty, but much better than the maps created by legislators, their lawyers and hundreds of thousands of tax payer dollars. Maybe because she has no idea where any legislator lives or whether a district should be colored in red or blue, maybe that's why her maps were fair....I don't know, you decide.

Sad really, creating fair maps is not rocket science, it's cartography and a lack of political bias. People who are worried about their own re-election should not be drawing their own voting district. To say this is government 101 is an understatement of Founding Father proportions. Shame on all of you for prioritizing political gain over democracy. Shame on all of you for wasting tax payer money. Shame on all of you for trouncing around the state giving people the impression that you were listening to them. Shame on all of you for once again making the Utah redistricting process a mockery of Democracy. Shame on all of you for putting together a committee that was so biased from the start, it was a comical joke (15-5 really?). Shame on all of you for putting together a set of rules and guidelines so vague that they mean nothing. Shame on all of you for not being willing to put any academics, metrics or a scorecard into this process (other than population deviation).

Here we are in the eleventh hour bickering over maps that don't take into consideration even one of the maps citizens painstakingly put together or any of the multitude of comments from citizens all over the state "keep our communities together" - all this bickering has only to do with securing incumbency - rewarding loyalty, penalizing others. Pathetic.....

The Congressional map is terrible and the State Senate map is worse. Maybe someone will get an epiphany and realize what we need to do is to start over and let some experts do this - how about an independent commission?

Disgusted with the Utah legislature, Tania Knauer Summit County resident - the only small rural county that legislators can't seem to keep together

From: Fred Cox <fredcox@utah.gov>  
To: leonard.plaizier <leonard.plaizier@gmail.com>  
BC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/5/2011 12:17 PM  
Subject: Re: Congress Map Z

Leonard,

Thank You for submitting it to the public website. I like the overall idea of not splitting counties. The good news is the committee will be looking at maps on Friday. I think it is worth looking at.

Who knows if yours will be the winner. I am not sure about dividing some of the southern counties that want to be together.

Rep. Fred Cox

>>> Leonard Plaizier 10/05/11 11:20 AM >>>

Rep. Fred Cox

I think your congressional map Z in on the right track.

I live in South Davis county. Please do not split Davis county. We have enough North South Davis county issue.

One way to kept all but Salt Lake county together is by moving Cache and Rich counties from district 1 into 2 and putting all of Davis, Morgan and some of Salt Lake county into district 1 from 2.

Please review my Fair 4 Utah plan. (Image attached).

Fair 4 Utah plan is

Fair for Counties - All counties but Salt Lake are only in one district. Fair for urban and non-urban areas - All districts have include Salt Lake county and small counties Fair for geographic areas - Each district is in one of the 4 corners of the state. The backbone of the state splits districts 3 and 4. Fair for all Utahans - It is easy to see that the map is Fair to all (No special interests). The districts line follow county lines. In Salt Lake City county, the lines follow major roads and rivers. Fair for Utah - The districts are balanced. None of the districts stick-out as something different.

Thank you

Leonard

Leonard Plaizier  
3420 S Lexington Drive  
Bountiful, Utah 84010

801-292-3604  
Leonard.Plaizier@gmail.com

From: Fred Cox <fredcox@utah.gov>  
To: leonard.plaizier <leonard.plaizier@gmail.com>  
BC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/5/2011 9:09 PM  
Subject: Re: Congress Map Z

Leonard,

I spent too much time on your map trying to not divide cities instead of following major roads. I have it down to a little of Taylorsville, Sandy and left your split of Salt Lake City alone with a minor tweak to balance it.

As you had before only one county is split in the entire state. That was pretty impressive. I am not sure Salt Lake City will like it, but it is likely to be divided somewhere.

I am down to 12 people off but don't have any more time now. I haven't submitted it yet. It is public. Districts 1 and 2 are at zero off.

It would need to be a 3 way trade or divide a 4th city to finish as all need to be at zero but one district at 1.

I have tried the 3 way trade more than once, but you have to use the ID button to see the block total and I am hoping to get someone using the workstation software to help.

Your lines are pretty using roads, but there is some advantage of using city boundaries. I am not sure which the committee would like or if they like it at all.

I think it is one of the best public maps submitted, but that is just my opinion.

Rep. Fred C. Cox

PS, my version of the map is under utah\_1 for the map:  
Fair 4 Utah - Leonard S. Plazier - Rep. Cox Modified

---

Leonard,

Thank You for submitting it to the public website. I like the overall idea of not splitting counties. The good news is the committee will be looking at maps on Friday. I think it is worth looking at.

Who knows if yours will be the winner. I am not sure about dividing some of the southern counties that want to be together.

Rep. Fred Cox

>>> Leonard Plaizier 10/05/11 11:20 AM >>>  
Rep. Fred Cox

I think your congressional map Z in on the right track.

I live in South Davis county. Please do not split Davis county. We have enough North South Davis county issue.

One way to kept all but Salt Lake county together is by moving Cache and Rich counties from district 1 into 2 and putting all of Davis, Morgan and some of Salt Lake county into district 1 from 2.

Please review my Fair 4 Utah plan. (Image attached).

Fair 4 Utah plan is

Fair for Counties - All counties but Salt Lake are only in one district. Fair for urban and non-urban areas - All districts have include Salt Lake county and small counties Fair for geographic areas - Each district is in one of the 4 corners of the state. The backbone of the state splits districts 3 and 4. Fair for all Utahans - It is easy to

see that the map is Fair to all(No special interests). The districts line follow county lines. In Salt Lake City county, the lines follow major roads and rivers. Fair for Utah - The districts are balanced. None of the districts stick-out as something different.

Thank you

Leonard

Leonard Plaizier  
3420 S Lexington Drive  
Bountiful, Utah 84010

801-292-3604  
Leonard.Plaizier@gmail.com

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/6/2011 5:11 PM  
Subject: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah

Dear Rep Sumsion,

On behalf of the Salt Lake City Public Library I would like to invite you to come to our library to participate in a panel discussion about re-redistricting Utah on October 11 at 7:00 p.m. We would like to make it as civil discussion for the benefit of the community members and will remain neutral to the topic. Please let me know asap whether you will be interested to join this discussion.

Thank you.

Sincerely  
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Carina Dillon <divacarina@msn.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>  
CC: "Lockhart, Becky" <blockhart@utah.gov>; "King, Brian" <BRIANSKING@utah.gov>; "Webb, Curt" <CURTWEBB@utah.gov>; "Watkins, Christine" <CWATKINS@utah.gov>; "IPSON, Don" <dipson@utah.gov>; "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Newbold, Merlynn" <MERLYNNNEWBOLD@utah.gov>; "Hendrickson, Neal" <nhendrickson@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "Kiser, Todd" <toddkiser@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/10/2011 11:33 AM  
Subject: I support the King/Garber congressional map!

Dear Senators and Representatives:

I wish to express my support for the King/Garber congressional redistricting plan. It preserves the urban/rural district mix that the committee prefers, while keeping intact communities of interest. There are some very distinct differences among Northern, Western, Southern and Eastern Utah, and the King/Garber plan wisely allows those areas' specific interests and constituencies to be well-represented. As a resident of Tooele, I can safely say on behalf of my community that-- regardless of political affiliation-- we would prefer to be included in a congressional district with western Salt Lake County, which the King/Garber plan does.

I urge you to adopt the King/Garber map.

Respectfully,  
Carina Dillon  
308 Caldwell Dr.  
Tooele, UT 84074

From: Paul Diegel <pdiegel@comcast.net>  
To: "Gibson, Francis" <FGIBSON@utah.gov>; "Froerer, Gage" <gfroerer@utah.gov>; "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "Brown, Melvin" <MELBROWN@utah.gov>; "Barrus, Roger" <ROGERBARRUS@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Davis, Gene" <gdavis@utahsenate.org>; "VanTassell, Kevin" <kvantassell@utahsenate.org>; "Okerlund, Ralph" <rokerlund@utahsenate.org>; "Reid, Stuart" <screid@utahsenate.org>; "Waddoups, Michael" <waddoups@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/8/2011 3:42 PM  
Subject: Redistricting feedback

I am writing to express my displeasure with the redistricting process so far. As an urban Utahn, it is important to me to have my community's voice heard. I want to have the ability to vote for candidates that represent me and my community, just as I think it is important to provide rural Utahns the same opportunity. Attempts to create contrived boundaries to break up my community and dilute urban Utah voices is wrong. Please don't give me the disingenuous argument that you are trying to blend urban and rural issues. Committee efforts to create "pizza slice" districts are intended to reduce democratic influence in Utah from little to none. The public is not fooled by that argument.

You can do better than this.

Paul Diegel  
3665 S. Eastwood Dr.  
SLC, UT 84109

From: jljosette@q.com <jljosette@q.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/8/2011 12:29 PM  
Subject: Redistricting

I think map #7 by Brian King is the best choice by far. This is my vote.

From: W. Preston Green <wmprestongreen@gmail.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/8/2011 12:11 PM  
Subject: redistricting for utah

Honorable Mr. Sumsion

I am writing to express my support for Mr. King's approach to redrawing the congressional districts in Utah in a logical, reasonable manner that reflects the need for all of Utah's citizens to have a voice in the United States Congress. My preference is for Mr. King's substitute #5 or #8, the maps are not of high enough quality to allow me to tell the difference between the two. From the beginning, I have favored, as does the Daily Herald, the idea of a simple map: Utah Valley as one district, Salt Lake as another, and Davis/Weber as a third. Most importantly, this gives rural Utah its own full-time representative in Congress. The idea that an urban-rural mix gives more voice to the rural citizens is ridiculous.

As an alternative, King #7 also makes the most sense. The only weakness is the division of Pleasant Grove, but this could be fixed by a population swap with district four. The rural areas of either district could be used in the swap.

The other maps are obvious gerrymandering. That stinks. If it is the goal to silence all other voices in the state except those of the Urban Republicans, then these maps accomplish their purpose. Salt Lake County is being silenced by chopping it up in as many pieces as possible, so no representative will need to listen to them to gain re-election. The rural voice is likewise silenced. And the extreme right wing will be able to control everything through closed partisan politics. This is your idea of representative government?

I am very disappointed in the actions of the redistricting committee. All show, no substance. Are you people so involved in self-deception that you cannot see the reality of what you are doing? Mr. Kane laments that the Utah's delegation would be fractured. It's SUPPOSED to be fractured, with each representative reflecting the views of a different area of Utah. Senators have the duty to represent the state as a whole. Utah's citizenry is not monolithic, its Congressional delegation should reflect that.

Another legislator lamented that the Democrat's noise about the process was making people want to be less involved in Utah politics. Again, another self-deception: it is the closed system that you, the current officeholders offer, where the extreme right gets the voice (note the Open Government Law and the actions on immigration at the Republican Convention) and makes Utah look like a laughingstock in the national and international arena. Could we engineer a government where the more moderate voices control as they represent the true majority of Utahns.

As a new member of your district, \*I would urge you to put aside your personal and supposed Party agenda, and do what is best for Utah and its people\*. Use your position and influence to get reasonable districts that allow everyone to have a voice in Congress and the legislature. I think my greatest fears are that these shenanigans will reflect unfavorably on Utah and the Church.

For Utah: Do you think that representatives from clearly gerrymandered districts have the same credibility as those who won in real competition? Especially if they are in the minority party. Utah as a whole could

marginalized in such a Congress.

For the church: Whether we like it or not, Utah is inextricably connected to the church. Do you not think that the opponents to the church would not seize on such a show of dishonesty to discredit the church. As recently noted in the news, the Southern Baptist Convention, the largest protestant denomination in the country still considers the church a non-Christian cult. Do you not think that the dishonest, and thereby non-Christian, actions of the Utah Legislature, the majority of whom are LDS, would not re-enforce that perception that we are just a cult seeking after personal power and gain?

So again, I would ask you to reconsider, and help establish a government that Utah and its citizens can be proud of.

William Green

From: Jljosette@q.com <Jljosette@q.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/7/2011 9:57 PM  
Subject: Redistricting for Federal House of Representatives

I am a republican voter who, according to the new redistricting maps will be in your district after the shift this winter. I would normally vote Republican, however with the decisions you have made in the redistricting process, I have begun to notice a few things. The way that the redistricting is being done obviously unfairly to maintain Republican majorities in all of the districts, and giving the rural areas of Utah no voice because of always being overruled by the majority of the more populated areas makes me gag. You said that the way the people voted would have nothing to do with the redistricting process. It would be on population, etc. You have shown yourself to be a manipulator and a liar. I would never vote for a person with either of these qualities, and would campaign for your opponent. Please reconsider your choices and vote the way that the honest people you represent would vote. Putting two of our current representatives in the same district that is a republican majority is unfair to the people who voted for Jim Matheson. There is a rumor that if that happens Mr. Matheson may run for governor. He would have my vote if only because I am currently embarrassed to be a Utah Republican.

Loretta Johnson  
American Fork, Utah

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: maryann <maryann@betterutah.org>; azeigler <azeigler@xmission.com>  
CC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>  
Date: 10/7/2011 3:15 PM  
Subject: Fwd: Redistricting Utah PDF

Dear all  
Here is the pdf copy of the flier, please post and distribute.  
Thanks.  
Safi

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Darlene Pulver" <dpulver@slcpl.org>  
To: "Safi Safiullah" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
Cc: "Communications Staff" <comm\_staff@slcpl.org>  
Sent: Friday, October 7, 2011 1:23:29 PM GMT -07:00 US/Canada Mountain  
Subject: Redistricting Utah PDF

Safi -

Here is the PDF I am making copies and will place in the boxes on Level 5 and deliveries to branches with in the half hour.

Darlene Pulver  
The City Library  
801.524.8229  
www.slcpl.org

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Ellen Brady <ebrady@xmission.com>  
BC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/7/2011 1:43 PM  
Subject: Redistricting

Dear Representative or Senator:

I am pleased that the legislature has 'stepped back to take another look' relative to redistricting, as it was clear from the map under consideration at that time did not represent the concerns of many Utah citizens. That is, the map did not keep communities of interest intact in any meaningful sense of the word. Specifically, the 'pizza slice' approach to Salt Lake County completely disenfranchised that population by carving it into four pieces and linking three to rural areas with enough population to overpower the urban voice.

Unfortunately, it appears that the 'revised' plan, developed behind closed doors and without public input only worsens the situation by linking the new District 4 to rural areas as well. This approach serves no one well -- urban or rural -- and represents a total travesty that can only be described as blatant gerrymandering for partisan gain. The comments of Becky Lockhart and others chastising questioners who dared suggest that this approach disenfranchised voters and weakened democracy by replying that 'this is a Republic' (don't you know stupid) and 'no Republican or Democrat' will be deprived of a vote under this plan' only underscores the blatant disregard of this legislature for those you are supposed to represent and a lack of understanding of many prior court rulings that underscore the importance of preserving communities of interest in order to protect the ability of those groups to be effectively heard. Additionally, claims that you 'listened to the public' have been nullified by your subsequent redrawing of the lines behind closed doors and the destruction by some members of email communications relevant to the process. Prior to this, efforts to silence the voice of Utahns by creating impossibly high barriers to citizen petitions only further underscores this disregard. The voices of the many thousands who signed a petition asking for an independent redistricting commission have been ignored. I am confident that, had the bar not been set quite so high and had online signatures been acceptable, the initiative would have been on the ballot and would have passed.

Please astonish me and truly step back far enough to consider and implement a plan that does not disenfranchise the urban majority population of this state. We will be heard -- if not now, at the ballot box come November.

Ellen

Ellen Brady

801-272-1590

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; maryann <maryann@betterutah.org>; "Parker, Rochelle M." <rochelle.m.parker@hinckley.utah.edu>; "Chambless, Tim" <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>; "Staff, All" <all\_staff@slcpl.org>; "Committee, Exploring New Ideas" <exploring\_new\_ideas\_comm@slcpl.org>; azeigler <azeigler@xmission.com>  
Date: 10/7/2011 12:27 PM  
Subject: Fwd: FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: City Library to Host Redistricting Discussion

Dear all:  
Please forward this message to your friends, family and to the public.  
Thank you.  
Safi

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Julianne Hancock" <jhancock@slcpl.org>  
To: "Julianne Hancock" <jhancock@slcpl.org>  
Sent: Friday, October 7, 2011 12:18:07 PM GMT -07:00 US/Canada Mountain  
Subject: FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: City Library to Host Redistricting Discussion

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Media contact:

Julianne Hancock

M: 801.819.3763

E: jhancock@slcpl.org

City Library to Host Redistricting Discussion

Maryann Martindale, Senator Ben McAdams, Rep Ken Sumsion to discuss redistricting process

October 7, 2011 ? SALT LAKE CITY ? The City Library will host a discussion about the hotly debated redistricting process on October 11 at 7:00 p.m. Redistricting Utah: A Community Process will be moderated by Dr. Tim Mark Chambless, Associate Professor, Department of Political Science at the Hinckley Institute of Politics, University of Utah. The speakers will be Maryann Martindale, Executive Director, Alliance for a Better UTAH; Senator Benjamin M. McAdams (D), Minority Caucus Manager of the Utah State Senate and member of the 2011 Redistricting Committee; and Representative Kenneth W. Sumsion (R), Chair of the 2011 Redistricting Committee.

Every ten years, governments in Utah redraw their district boundaries based on census reports and reapportionment results. Redistricting impacts the boundaries for U.S. House of Representatives, the State House of Representatives, Utah Senate, and the Utah State Board of Education. As local, state, and national elections approach in November, drawing appropriate district boundaries can have big impacts on who is representing whom in several levels of government.

As Utah's Legislative Redistricting Committee submitted their plan of redistricting to the legislators, a community debate arose about the redistricting process.

The public is invited to attend and become a part of this important dialogue.

Redistricting Utah is sponsored by Alliance for a Better UTAH; the League of Women Voters of Utah; the Hinckley Institute of Politics, University of Utah; and The City Library.

---

JULIANNE HANCOCK

Communications Manager | Salt Lake City Public Library  
p: 801.524.8219 | m: 801.819.3763 | jhancock@slcpl.org

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/7/2011 11:09 AM  
Subject: Re: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah

Good Morning Ken,

Yes, we will end by 8:00 p.m. There will be a QA session from 7:50 p.m. for 10 or a few more minutes.

Thank you.

Safi

----- Original Message -----

From: "Kenneth Sumsion" <ksumsion@utah.gov>  
To: ssafiullah@slcpl.org  
Sent: Friday, October 7, 2011 8:11:43 AM GMT -07:00 US/Canada Mountain  
Subject: Re: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah

Safi,

Can you agree to put an end time on this meeting. Eight would be great. That would allow a few minutes to finish up after eight. Will that work?

Ken

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----

From: "Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: Panel Discussion--Re-districting Utah  
Date: Thu, Oct 6, 2011 5:10 pm

>>> "Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org> 2011-10-06T17:11:05.648925 >>>

Dear Rep Sumsion,

On behalf of the Salt Lake City Public Library I would like to invite you to come to our library to participate in a panel discussion about re-redistricting Utah on October 11 at 7:00 p.m. We would like to make it as civil discussion for the benefit of the community members and will remain neutral to the topic. Please let me know asap whether you will be interested to join this discussion.

Thank you.

Sincerely  
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah  
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead  
Exploring New Ideas  
Salt Lake City Public Library  
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Phone: 801-322-8136  
Fax: 801-322-8191  
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Derek B. Miller <DBMILLER@utah.gov>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/7/2011 9:58 AM  
Subject: Re: From the Governor's Office

Thank you Representative. I can't even imagine the volume of email and phone calls you are dealing with, so the delayed response is perfectly understandable.

Regarding your question about the what the Governor would or would not support, I would simply reiterate that he is not focused on any particular map or any particular boundaries but rather the principle that each congressional district should have an urban/rural mix so that each member of Congress is attentive to the needs of both constituencies. Given these broad parameters and the support that has been expressed by many members of both the House and Senate for the same approach we are confident that a veto would not be an issue.

Again, thanks for your leadership in the process. We understand that there is substantive disagreement between the House and Senate at this point on the congressional map. If there is anything our office can do to help in the resolution, please don't hesitate to let us know.

Best,

Derek

Derek B. Miller  
Chief of Staff  
Governor Gary R. Herbert  
State of Utah  
>>> Kenneth Sumsion 10/6/2011 8:48 PM >>>

Thank you.  
I apologize for not getting back with you. I have had dozens and dozens of calls and emails. I did get your voicemail late yesterday.

I am interested to know if the Governor will veto any plan that is short of these expectations? Since Allie alluded to it at the end of our meeting?

We are now simply trying to find common ground with the Senate.

Rep Ken Sumsion

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----  
From: "Derek B. Miller" <DBMILLER@utah.gov>  
To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Subject: From the Governor's Office  
Date: Tue, Oct 4, 2011 8:43 am

>>> "Derek B. Miller" <DBMILLER@utah.gov> 2011-10-04T08:43:56.754843 >>>  
Representative Sumsion,

Thanks for your time yesterday. I left you a voice message last night, but recognizing that you are extremely busy I thought it would be more effective to send you this email - essentially to reiterate that the Governor is not pushing for ONE specific map over another. The reason I wanted to speak to you is because we continue to read articles and comments attributing the Governor's name to a specific map or indicating that the Governor is pushing for specific boundaries.

As you know from our meeting, that is not the case. The Governor recognizes that how the finished map is created and where the specific boundaries are drawn is the constitutional purview of the Legislature. We appreciate your help in communicating to members in your body that the Governor's desire is for an urban/rural mix in each of the four congressional districts so that Utah has as many voices as possible in DC advocating for both urban issues, as well as rural issues such as management of public lands and energy development. I am certain there are a number of maps that would meet this criteria and be acceptable to the Governor. I also spoke to Joe Pyrah last night asking that he reiterate the Governor's position with the Speaker.

Please call me if you would like to discuss further.

Thanks again.

Derek

P.S. Thanks for your hard work on this process through the Summer and congratulations on the overwhelming support on the Utah House map. The 74 out of 75 votes in favor was a resounding affirmation of the fair and balanced approach and outcome on this map!

Derek B. Miller  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Governor Gary R. Herbert

\*\*State agencies' hours of operations are Monday-Thursday 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and closed on Friday.\*\*

From: Debbie Swenson <dbswen@gmail.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/6/2011 10:55 PM  
Subject: State School Board map

Rep. Sumsion,

I noticed that you submitted a new State School Board map on RedistrictUtah today. I have contacted my Representative (Mike Morley) and Rep. Francis Gibson a few times (even before the passage of the School Board map) about a problem in my community with the School Board map. I live in Salem Utah. Not a large city. I noticed that the State school board map that was adopted takes a small jut out of Salem and puts it into a different district. It seems that with as large as the districts are, the few people who are affected wouldn't unbalance the districts if they were left with the rest of the city. I spent time submitting a map, but for some reason it has never come up on the website, even though I got a confirmation email. I am unable to attend the meeting tomorrow (Friday) and will not be available but would hope that this small change won't add to the headaches that you are obviously dealing with already. Good luck in your meeting.

Sincerely,

Debbie Swenson

From: Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
Date: 10/6/2011 9:22 PM  
Subject: Re: Tooele

Thanks for the reply! Good luck with that redraw - sounds like things are pretty crazy.

Wendy

Sent from my iPod

On Oct 6, 2011, at 8:37 PM, "Kenneth Sumsion" <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:

>  
> Hi Wendy,  
> You are great. I do appreciate your detailed involvement. That has not been raised as an issue to this point. I don't know where we will end up after the house and senate couldn't agree.  
>  
> The base map had it going south ward.  
>  
> Usually the discussion is to keep all the military installations in one seat.  
> Thanks  
> Ken  
>  
> Sent from myTouch 4G  
>  
> ----- Reply message -----  
> From: "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com>  
> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>  
> Subject: Tooele  
> Date: Tue, Oct 4, 2011 8:25 am  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>>>> "Wendy <wendyhickman@gmail.com>" <wendyhickman@gmail.com> 2011-10-04T08:25:51.808221  
>>>>  
> I was just reading through map redraws this morning. I have one huge concern with removing Tooele from Rep District 2. Given Bishop's previous dealings with Energy Solutions, I see it as very concerning that Bishop would assume representation of that area. I suggest that representation of that area specifically not be given to Bishop to ensure there is no conflict of interest.  
>  
> Wendy Hickman  
>  
> Sent from my iPod  
>  
>

## Utah House Districts

District	2010 Population	Ideal	Difference	Percent	Incumbent
1	34,122	36,852	-2,730	-7.4%	Menlove, Ronda Rudd
2	34,283	36,852	-2,569	-7.0%	Perry, Lee B.
3	38,268	36,852	1,416	3.8%	Draxler, Jack R.
4	28,046	36,852	-8,806	-23.9%	Butterfield, David G.
5	43,319	36,852	6,467	17.5%	Webb, R. Curt
6	46,570	36,852	9,718	26.4%	Galvez, Brad J.
7	35,074	36,852	-1,778	-4.8%	Wilcox, Ryan D.
8	32,064	36,852	-4,788	-13.0%	Froerer, Gage
9	29,905	36,852	-6,947	-18.9%	Peterson, Jeremy A.
10	33,766	36,852	-3,086	-8.4%	Pitcher, Dixon M.
11	31,070	36,852	-5,782	-15.7%	Dee, Brad L.
12	32,845	36,852	-4,007	-10.9%	Greenwood, Richard A.
13	50,040	36,852	13,188	35.8%	Ray, Paul
14	34,254	36,852	-2,598	-7.0%	Oda, Curtis
15	48,703	36,852	11,851	32.2%	Wilson, Brad R.
16	31,401	36,852	-5,451	-14.8%	Handy, Stephen G.
17	31,571	36,852	-5,281	-14.3%	Fisher, Julie
18	39,762	36,852	2,910	7.9%	Barrus, Roger E.
19	29,561	36,852	-7,291	-19.8%	Nielson, Jim
20	38,155	36,852	1,303	3.5%	Edwards, Rebecca P.
21	44,298	36,852	7,446	20.2%	Sagers, Douglas
22	40,669	36,852	3,817	10.4%	Duckworth, Susan
23	31,676	36,852	-5,176	-14.0%	Seelig, Jennifer M.
24	32,363	36,852	-4,489	-12.2%	Chavez-Houck, Rebecca
25	34,235	36,852	-2,617	-7.1%	Briscoe, Joel K.
26	33,477	36,852	-3,375	-9.2%	Litvack, David
27	49,237	36,852	12,385	33.6%	Dougall, John
28	29,137	36,852	-7,715	-20.9%	King, Brian S.
29	36,489	36,852	-363	-1.0%	Fisher, Janice M.
30	29,672	36,852	-7,180	-19.5%	Biskupski, Jackie
31	31,667	36,852	-5,185	-14.1%	Wiley, Larry B.
32	30,028	36,852	-6,824	-18.5%	Cox, Fred C.
33	33,619	36,852	-3,233	-8.8%	Hendrickson, Neal B.
34	30,269	36,852	-6,583	-17.9%	Anderson, Johnny
35	32,134	36,852	-4,718	-12.8%	Wheatley, Mark A.
36	29,535	36,852	-7,317	-19.9%	Arent, Patrice M.
37	30,555	36,852	-6,297	-17.1%	Moss, Carol Spackman
38	35,392	36,852	-1,460	-4.0%	Hutchings, Eric K.
39	29,830	36,852	-7,022	-19.1%	Dunnigan, James A.
40	29,726	36,852	-7,126	-19.3%	Hemingway, Lynn N.
41	36,962	36,852	110	0.3%	Kiser, Todd E.
42	51,909	36,852	15,057	40.9%	Bird, Jim
43	30,573	36,852	-6,279	-17.0%	Harper, Wayne A.
44	30,598	36,852	-6,254	-17.0%	Cosgrove, Tim M.

## Utah Senate Districts

District	2010 Population	Ideal	Difference	Percent	Incumbent
1	87,412	95,306	-7,894	-8.3%	Robles, Luz
2	82,957	95,306	-12,349	-13.0%	McAdams, Benjamin M.
3	84,154	95,306	-11,152	-11.7%	Davis, Gene
4	76,928	95,306	-18,378	-19.3%	Jones, Patricia W.
5	79,775	95,306	-15,531	-16.3%	Mayne, Karen
6	94,697	95,306	-609	-0.6%	Waddoups, Michael G.
7	79,585	95,306	-15,721	-16.5%	Romero, Ross I.
8	74,996	95,306	-20,310	-21.3%	Morgan, Karen W.
9	76,707	95,306	-18,599	-19.5%	Niederhauser, Wayne L.
10	122,731	95,306	27,425	28.8%	Buttars, D. Chris
11	137,882	95,306	42,576	44.7%	Stephenson, Howard A.
12	103,070	95,306	7,764	8.1%	Thatcher, Daniel W.
13	150,707	95,306	55,401	58.1%	Madsen, Mark B.
14	98,499	95,306	3,193	3.3%	Valentine, John L.
15	84,373	95,306	-10,933	-11.5%	Dayton, Margaret
16	82,618	95,306	-12,688	-13.3%	Bramble, Curtis S.
17	102,922	95,306	7,616	8.0%	Knudson, Peter C.
18	79,560	95,306	-15,746	-16.5%	Reid, Stuart C.
19	91,855	95,306	-3,451	-3.6%	Christensen, Allen M.
20	97,406	95,306	2,100	2.2%	Jenkins, Scott K.
21	112,079	95,306	16,773	17.6%	Stevenson, Jerry W.
22	89,061	95,306	-6,245	-6.6%	Adams, J. Stuart
23	87,939	95,306	-7,367	-7.7%	Liljenquist, Daniel R.
24	85,639	95,306	-9,667	-10.1%	Okerlund, Ralph
25	89,262	95,306	-6,044	-6.3%	Hillyard, Lyle W.
26	101,392	95,306	6,086	6.4%	Van Tassell, Kevin T.
27	93,972	95,306	-1,334	-1.4%	Hinkins, David P.
28	94,905	95,306	-401	-0.4%	Stowell, Dennis E.
29	120,802	95,306	25,496	26.8%	Urquhart, Stephen H.

Total                    2,763,885

## Utah State Board of Education

District	2010 Population	Ideal	Difference	Percent	Incumbent
1	186,287	184,259	2,028	1.1%	Tami W. Pyfer
2	177,563	184,259	-6,696	-3.6%	Keith M. Buswell
3	267,024	184,259	82,765	44.9%	Craig E. Coleman
4	185,800	184,259	1,541	0.8%	David L. Thomas
5	174,352	184,259	-9,907	-5.4%	Kim R. Burningham
6	169,752	184,259	-14,507	-7.9%	Michael G. Jensen
7	151,020	184,259	-33,239	-18.0%	Leslie B. Castle
8	157,006	184,259	-27,253	-14.8%	Janet A. Cannon
9	162,182	184,259	-22,077	-12.0%	Joel Coleman
10	153,605	184,259	-30,654	-16.6%	Laurel Brown
11	172,138	184,259	-12,121	-6.6%	David L. Crandall
12	258,890	184,259	74,631	40.5%	Carol Murphy
13	161,630	184,259	-22,629	-12.3%	C. Mark Openshaw
14	173,859	184,259	-10,400	-5.6%	Dixie Allen
15	212,777	184,259	28,518	15.5%	Debra G. Roberts
Total	2,763,885				