

RESOLUTION OF THE
NAABIK'ÍYÁTÍ' COMMITTEE OF THE
22ND NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL

AN ACTION

RELATING TO NAA'BIK'ÍYÁTÍ' ; AUTHORIZING THE NAVAJO NATION HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION TO ASSIST THE NAVAJO NATION IN 2011-2012 CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE REDISTRICTING ACTIVITIES AND ENDORSING AND RECOMMENDING CERTAIN REDISTRICTING PLANS

BE IT ENACTED:

Section 1. Findings and Purposes

A. The Navajo Nation occupies lands in Arizona, New Mexico and Utah.

B. Navajo voters have a right to vote and participate in all state elections, including those in which federal positions are involved.

C. Navajos are citizens of the United States and citizens of various states. It is crucial that Navajo people are fairly and adequately represented in all legislative and Congressional redistricting plans.

D. Arizona, New Mexico and Utah, among other states, are currently addressing redistricting, including redistricting of Congressional and state legislative districts.

E. The Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission was established by the Navajo Nation Council for various purposes, including the protection of basic rights of Navajo people.

F. On March 31, 2011, the Speaker of the 22nd Navajo Nation Council recognized that race relations are primary factors in state efforts to redistrict congressional and legislative districts and accordingly directed the Navajo Nation Human Rights commission to take the lead on behalf of the Legislative Branch of the Navajo Government with regards to the redistricting activities in Arizona, New Mexico and Utah attached hereto as (Exhibit No. 1).

B. The Council Delegates shall guide the Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission in the development of maps, concepts, goals, and all other applicable standards for redistricting.

C. The Council Delegates' responsibility shall include appearing and providing testimony, on behalf of the Navajo Nation before governmental entities considering redistricting affecting Navajo people.

D. The Council Delegates shall seek the assistance of the Office of the Navajo Nation President/Vice President, the Office of the Speaker of the Navajo Nation, the Navajo Nation Department of Justice, the Navajo Election Administration and the Navajo Board of Election Supervisors on the collection of necessary data.

E. The Council Delegates' shall further provide monthly written reports on its activities to the Nábik'iyáti' Committee of the Navajo Nation Council.

Section 4. Endorsement and Recommendation

The Navajo Nation hereby endorses and recommends Redistricting Plans for:

- Arizona attached hereto as (Exhibit No. 3) - Congressional District 1 Navajo Nation Proposals 1 and 2, Legislative District 2 Navajo Nation Proposal; and
- New Mexico attached hereto as (Exhibit No. 4) - Congressional District 3 Navajo Nation Proposal and New Mexico Senate Districts (Exhibit No. 4-A); and
- Utah attached hereto as (Exhibit No. 5) - Congressional District 2 Proposal, and San Juan County Navajo Nation Proposal for adoption of and implementation by the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission, New Mexico Legislature and Utah Legislature.

Re: CALL TO ACTION: Stop Republican Gerrymandering Now!

From: **Matt Lyon** (mlyon@utdem.org)
Sent: Thu 9/29/11 2:45 PM
To: NEALHENDRICKSON33@HOTMAIL.COM

To view this email as a web page, go [here](#).

Dear Neal,

The facts demand that we prepare a law suit. Read the story [here](#).

Utah's Republican bosses must listen to the people of Utah and draw fair, common sense districts. And if they don't - we're getting ready to sue. **MAKE YOUR DONATION** in support of the Democratic Party today to show the Republicans WE ARE SERIOUS!

Despite cries from the people of Utah and thousands of supporters that signed our petition, the Republicans drawing gerrymandered maps that dilute YOUR VOICE and divide YOUR COMMUNITIES. Here is what you are saying:

"Politically motivated redistricting is the reason everyone is disgusted with politicians" - Sandy (Centerville, Utah)

"...this map clearly shows that integrity does not exist in Utah Republican politics..." - Kirk (Sunset, Utah)

"...Utahns need to take back their voice.... We are not being represented...." - Emma (Bountiful, Utah)

"I'm a disappointed Republican" - Raeann (Salt Lake City, Utah)

Our Chairman Jim Dabakis is fighting the fight! Listen to him take on the Redistricting Committee and their attorney [here](#). Your Democrats - Senators McAdams and Davis and Representatives King and Watkins - opposed the gerrymandered congressionalmap adopted by the committee. [Let them know YOU ARE PROUD OF THEM!](#)

Now, will you do your part? Join us today!

1. [Make a \\$250, \\$100, \\$50, \\$25, or \\$10 donation today!](#)
2. [Attend the Rally on Monday, October 3, 2011, at 11:30 p.m. at the State Capitol.](#)
3. [Forward this email to your friends.](#)

Thank you for joining the fight!

Matt Lyon

Executive Director

Utah State Democratic Party

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jim Dabakis** <chair@utdem.org>
 Date: Mon, Sep 26, 2011 at 2:32 PM
 Subject: CALL TO ACTION: Stop Republican Gerrymandering Now!
 To: NEALHENDRICKSON33@HOTMAIL.COM

UTAH DEMOCRATS

UTAH STATE DEMOCRATIC PARTY

TELL A FRIEND

DONATE

VOLUNTEER

Dear Neal,

I am outraged by the Republicans in the Utah Legislature. Before our very eyes, Republican Party bosses are drawing ridiculous districts to protect their incumbents, putting petty political interests ahead Utah citizens, and presenting terribly flawed maps that hurt all Utahans for the next TEN years.

DEMOCRATS WILL NOT stand silently and watch our freedoms be seriously eroded! Will you join us?

All over the state, Republican manipulators are damaging our democracy. Ogden and Weber County are fractured into four Senate districts. Tooele City and County are split to protect Republican incumbents. Summit County is yanked to all corners of the state to divide the Democratic stronghold. Southern Utah and rural residents **HAVE BEEN CLEAR** – they want a Southern Utah Congressional District – but **THEY ARE BEING IGNORED.**

Don't believe us? It's not just the Democratic Party that is outraged.

- The *Deseret News* recently called this year's redistricting, "**Legal, but not Ethical.**"
- The *Provo Daily Herald* attacked the proposed congressional maps, stating the "**justifications are really shaky**".
- *The Associated Press* described the process as akin to **The Godfather.**
- And even the **Tooele County Republican Chair stated** "To slap the people...with a map like this shows that the public has no place in the process".

Fair minded people like you need to step up to this arrogant bullying! Today, we are taking the stand and we **URGENTLY** need people all over Utah to **BE BRAVE**, lend your voice to the cause, and join the fight!

We have no time to lose. Utah's State House, Senate, and Congressional Districts are being **GERRYMANDERED RIGHT NOW!** You can stand up to their abuse and **STAND WITH US** by doing the following:

1. **Tell EVERYONE** how shameless and **BLATANT** the Republican gerrymandering is. Tell your neighbors, tell your mailman, tell your clerk at the grocery store!
2. **Sign our LETTER OF PROTEST** and join us by standing with the people of Utah for **fairminded redistricting.** Then **FORWARD THIS EMAIL TO YOUR FRIENDS** by clicking [here](#). Proudly add your name so that **THIS TIME**, Republican bosses know we will not stand for this blatant political power brokering – not at the expense of our

representation, our communities, and our voice.

3. Attend the **OCTOBER 3, 2011 RALLY**. Good government groups from across the state are joining forces to oppose this corrupt process. Come to the State Capitol at 11:00 a.m. on OCTOBER 3, 2011, and let the Republicans know we will not stand silent as they blatantly disenfranchise Utahans.

4. **SEND YOUR STATE DEMOCRATIC PARTY A CONTRIBUTION NOW!** Or better yet, **SIGN ON AS A MONTHLY DONOR**. Putting a halt to this arrogant abuse of power is not cheap! The domination of our State Legislature by untouchable, closed-caucus Republican Leaders is damaging Utah's ability to create jobs, attract tourists, and create the quality of life we all desire.

We have a legion of fabulous volunteers that contribute their time, enthusiasm and effort. Rest assured, that they are certain every dollar you donate is stretched to its absolute limit. But make no mistake, **THIS BATTLE NEEDS CASH!**

.Any amount helps - from \$5 to \$1,000 – but **HELP US TODAY**. 100% of your donation will go to fight the fight – even if it means **TAKING THE REPUBLICANS TO COURT!** Utah Democrats do not have the long lists of lobbyists and special interests that bankroll Republicans. We **DEPEND** on your grassroots support. Without your help---we simply cannot fight our fight.

GERRYMANDERING IS HAPPENING NOW. It is **URGENT** that you act **IMMEDIATELY**. Tell your friends, come to the rally, **JOIN OUR EFFORT** and **CONTRIBUTE TODAY**.

Pass this message on so we can fight together!

Jim Dabakis
State Party Chair

Visit www.utahdemocrats.org • call us at 801.328.1212
Paid for by the Utah State Democratic Committee.



Learn more about the Utah Democratic Party at these social media sites:



This email was sent to: **NEALHENDRICKSON33@HOTMAIL.COM**

This email was sent by: Utah State Democratic Committee
825 N 300 W Ste C400 Salt Lake City, UT 84103



We respect your right to privacy - [view our policy](#)

[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [One-Click Unsubscribe](#)

Neal Hendrickson

From: Kelli Lundgren [kelli@xmission.com]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 10:27 AM
To: Hendrickson, Neal
Subject: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday

Dear Representative Hendrickson,

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king>

Please vote for this compromise map. I know you have worked very diligently for the people of Utah, and I thank you for it.

Sincerely,
Kelli Lundgren

Neal Hendrickson

From: Brent Palmer [brent@tuffgreenhouses.com]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 1:00 PM
To: Fisher, Julie; Daw, Bradley; Dee, Brad; Edwards, Becky; Galvez, Brad; Wright, Bill; Last, Brad; Lockhart, Becky; Wilson, Brad; King, Brian; Herrod, Chris; Oda, Curtis; Moss, Carol; Webb, Curt; Watkins, Christine; Wimmer, Carl; Butterfield, David; Clark, David; Brown, Derek; IPSON, Don; Litvack, David; Sagers, Doug; Pitcher, Dixon; Sanpei, Dean; Hutchings, Eric; Vickers, Evan; Gibson, Francis; Cox, Fred; Froerer, Gage; Hughes, Greg; Richardson, Holly; Anderson, Johnny; Fisher, Janice; Bird, Jim; Briscoe, Joel; Draxler, Jack; Dunnigan, Jim; Peterson, Jeremy; Mathis, John; Seelig, Jennifer; McIff, Kay; Grover, Keith; Ivory, Ken; Powell, Kraig; Sumsion, Kenneth; Christensen, LaVar; Perry, Lee; Hemingway, Lynn; Wiley, Larry; Poulson, Marie; Wheatley, Mark; Brown, Melvin; Newbold, Merlynn; Morley, Mike; Hendrickson, Neal; Arent, Patrice; Painter, Patrick; Ray, Paul; Houck, Rebecca; Greenwood, Richard; Menlove, Ronda; Barrus, Roger; Wilcox, Ryan; Duckworth, Susan; Eliason, Steve; Sandstrom, Stephen; Handy, Steve; Cosgrove, Tim; Kiser, Todd; Peterson, Val; Harper, Wayne; jim@jim4utah.com; mnoel@kanab.net; jbiskupski@utah.gov; Dougall, John
Subject: Congressional Maps

Dear Congressmen & Congresswomen,
Thank you so much for your willingness to serve this great state.

The matter before you dealing with the congressional mapping is one of great import that we will all have to live with for the next decade. Please allow any personal agenda or ego to remain on the sidelines for this decision.

As a resident of Southern Utah I am very concerned about the lack of attention rural Utah is getting in this process. The map submitted by the committee and approved by the Senate was about as fair as I have seen for So. Utah (SB 3002). Please do not allow politics to get in the way of seeing what is right for all members of all 4 districts. I understand that Speaker Lockhart has openly supported Sumison 15&16. To rural Utah these maps are unacceptable! We are sick and tired of having SLC decide who represents us, as evidenced by the overwhelming support for Morgan Philpot in the last election. Please do not support the Sumison maps as they are drawn!

Do what is right for Utah.

Thanks,
Brent Palmer
St. George
435-467-1977

Neal Hendrickson

From: johnjackson08@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:04 PM
To: Hutchings, Cory; Doughty, Brian; Moss, Carol; Litvack, David; Sagers, Doug; Cox, Fred; Anderson, Johnny; Fisher, Janice; Briscoe, Joel; Dunnigan, Jim; Seelig, Jennifer; Hemingway, Lynn; Wiley, Larry; Wheatley, Mark; Hendrickson, Neal; Arent, Patrice; Houck, Rebecca; Duckworth, Susan; King, Brian; repjohndougall@gmail.com
Subject: Don't sacrifice betterment of public for betterment of politician

A last-second plea to not consider political careers in drawing the boundaries. To the victor, goes the spoils, it is said, and you may be of a mind it is quite within your right.

Each person to his or her own opinion, and I certainly am wrong about enough in life, but, yes, to me, it strays from good ethics to allow politics and political careers to be a factor in the way the map is drawn. (Maps? I believe the only one left, is the congressional map. But, if the legislative maps have not been finalized, I am concerned about them, as well.)

To the victor goes the spoils? And, therefore, you are but claiming what is yours? Is this no more of a thing than giving government posts to those of one's own party? It is a little more. In this case, if you adjust the boundaries to increase the chances of your party, or the odds for a particular possible candidate, you must change them away from what is best for the public.

You must sacrifice the good of the public for the good of a politician.

I mean no offense, but we elect you to serve us, the people, not to serve will of fellow political aspirants.

Please, as you look at the six (or however many) choices, consider which of them have been drawn to forward a political career and opt not to support that plan.

-- John Jackson
of Sandy

Neal Hendrickson

From: Connie Stewart [stewart.connie@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 7:58 PM
Subject: Vote for SB 3002, as recommended by the redistricting committee and has passed the Utah Senate.

Dear Legislator,

I would like the Utah State Legislature to not make last-minute changes and to proceed to pass the map that was supported by the redistricting committee. Reject any last minute attempts to water down rural Utah's influence.

Support SB 3002, which was recommended by the redistriction committee and has already passed the Utah Senate.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart

354 South 500 East

Saint George, UT 84770-3718

Phone - 435-628-7932

Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

Neal Hendrickson

From: Connie Stewart [stewart.connie@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 7:44 PM
Subject: NO last minute changes! - Pass SB 3002, which has passed the Utah Senate.

Dear Legislators,

You are meeting this Monday, October 17, 2011 to finalize the redistricting map. The Utah Senate has passed the most equitable bill (redistricting plan).

Speaker Becky Lockhart is pushing two new plans to introduce tomorrow. You made a decision, now is not the time to make any changes or wavier on that decision. It is a good redistricting plan.

(*Early last year the Utah State Legislature made "last minute changes" to adopt a bill regarding illegal immigration and found themselves in hot water, with a bill that the citizens of Utah were greatly dissatisfied with.)

I respectfully request that you move forward, no further considerations and adopt the plan as accepted by the Utah Senate, today, Monday, October 17, 2011.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart
354 South 500 East
Saint George, UT 84770-3718
Phone - 435-628-7932
Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

Neal Hendrickson

From: ada cox [adacox@bajabb.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 7:36 PM
To: Fisher, Julie; Daw, Bradley; Dee, Brad; Edwards, Becky; Galvez, Brad; Wright, Bill; Last, Brad; Lockhart, Becky; Wilson, Brad; King, Brian; Herrod, Chris; Oda, Curtis; Moss, Carol; Webb, Curt; Watkins, Christine; Wimmer, Carl; Butterfield, David; Clark, David; Brown, Derek; IPSON, Don; Litvack, David; Sagers, Doug; Pitcher, Dixon; Sanpei, Dean; Hutchings, Eric; Vickers, Evan; Gibson, Francis; Cox, Fred; Froerer, Gage; Hughes, Greg; Richardson, Holly; Anderson, Johnny; Fisher, Janice; Bird, Jim; Briscoe, Joel; Draxler, Jack; Dunnigan, Jim; Peterson, Jeremy; Mathis, John; Seelig, Jennifer; McIff, Kay; Grover, Keith; Ivory, Ken; Powell, Kraig; Sumsion, Kenneth; Christensen, LaVar; Perry, Lee; Hemingway, Lynn; Wiley, Larry; Poulson, Marie; Wheatley, Mark; Brown, Melvin; Newbold, Merlynn; Morley, Mike; Hendrickson, Neal; Arent, Patrice; Painter, Patrick; Ray, Paul; Houck, Rebecca; Greenwood, Richard; Menlove, Ronda; Barrus, Roger; Wilcox, Ryan; Duckworth, Susan; Eliason, Steve; Sandstrom, Stephen; Handy, Steve; Cosgrove, Tim; Kiser, Todd; Peterson, Val; Harper, Wayne; jim@jim4utah.com; mnoel@kanab.net; jbiskupski@utah.gov; Dougall, John
Subject: Redistricting

We have studied the state redistricting issues, and SUPPORT SB 3002 that was passed by the Utah Senate. Please support this bill during the special session.

Thank you for your service to the State of Utah.

Bill and Ada Cox, St. George

Neal Hendrickson

From: Represent Me Utah [representmeutah@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 2:25 PM
To: Lockhart, Becky; Michael Waddoups
Subject: Please act with caution and deliberation on Monday.

Dear Representatives and Senators,

An article in the Deseret News (Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011) has us seriously concerned.

The general tone of the article is that the special session on Monday will be quick and that minds have already been made up. We would urge you to not act in haste. We know members are all aware that the Legislature is allowed up to thirty days to complete the redistricting task with a special session.

We recognize that the process of redistricting has been long and challenging, but to suggest that all discussion and examination of alternative maps is over seems premature. It's a disservice to the people of Utah, to not consider a map that will ensure the fairest representation.

The final map will serve the people of Utah for ten years. It deserves all the time and effort necessary to get the right map.

Represent Me Utah! Has been following the redistricting process since our first rally on a snowy day in April on the steps of the capitol. Our goal has been to raise public awareness of this important process, and we think we have achieved that goal. We have attended almost every Redistricting Committee meeting across the state and have kept the public updated. We have conducted many informal polls and surveys. In fact, we have a petition of over five thousand signatures from citizens concurring that they want to keep their communities together.

Again we implore you to act with caution and deliberation. Please be patience and tolerant in this last stretch of the process, especially when you hear the voices of a frustrated public. We have heard Speaker Lockhart eloquently state many times before, if the process is good, the product usually is also good. Please let the process continue without an unnecessary hurriedness.

Thank you for your public service,

Represent Me Utah!

Neal Hendrickson

From: Larry Meyers [dixierepublican@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 9:02 AM
To: Meyers, Larry
Subject: Support SB 3002 and oppose last-minute redistricting changes

Dear Utah House members,

I am writing to encourage you to pass SB 3002, which was vetted by the Redistricting Committee and passed by the Utah Senate. SB 3002 brings a fair urban-rural balance to the redistricting process and treats southern Utah fairly.

Now is not the time to start over and try to pass a whole new map that has not been through the committee process and public scrutiny. If you do, it will lead to diminished public support for those who pushed the last-minute changes. It also appears to me that any last minute changes will be subject to a lawsuit on the grounds that they are based on partisan calculations, which is prohibited by the redistricting rules.

Let's finish the process tomorrow by passing SB 3002!

Larry Meyers
Treasurer, Utah Republican Assembly

Neal Hendrickson

From: Carina Dillon [divacarina@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2011 11:33 AM
To: Sumsion, Kenneth; Ralph Okerlund
Cc: Lockhart, Becky; King, Brian; Webb, Curt; Watkins, Christine; IPSON, Don; Gibson, Francis; Froerer, Gage; Brown, Melvin; Newbold, Merlynn; Hendrickson, Neal; Barrus, Roger; Kiser, Todd; Ben McAdams; Gene Davis; Kevin VanTassell; Stuart Reid; Michael Waddoups
Subject: I support the King/Garber congressional map!

Dear Senators and Representatives:

I wish to express my support for the King/Garber congressional redistricting plan. It preserves the urban/rural district mix that the committee prefers, while keeping intact communities of interest. There are some very distinct differences among Northern, Western, Southern and Eastern Utah, and the King/Garber plan wisely allows those areas' specific interests and constituencies to be well-represented. As a resident of Tooele, I can safely say on behalf of my community that-- regardless of political affiliation-- we would prefer to be included in a congressional district with western Salt Lake County, which the King/Garber plan does.

I urge you to adopt the King/Garber map.

Respectfully,
Carina Dillon
308 Caldwell Dr.
Tooele, UT 84074

Neal Hendrickson

From: Paul Diegel [pdiegel@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 08, 2011 3:45 PM
To: Hendrickson, Neal
Subject: Redistricting feedback

I am writing to express my displeasure with the redistricting process so far. As an urban Utahn, it is important to me to have my community's voice heard. I want to have the ability to vote for candidates that represent me and my community, just as I think it is important to provide rural Utahns the same opportunity. Attempts to create contrived boundaries to break up my community and dilute urban Utah voices is wrong. Please don't give me the disingenuous argument that you are trying to blend urban and rural issues. Committee efforts to create "pizza slice" districts are intended to reduce democratic influence in Utah from little to none. The public is not fooled by that argument.

You can do better than this.

Paul Diegel
3665 S. Eastwood Dr.
SLC, UT 84109

Neal Hendrickson

From: Q Dang [qdang70@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2011 7:31 PM
To: Dang, Q
Subject: Please support the Garber Citizen Map (modified)

Dear Representative,

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king>

Please vote for this compromise map. I know you have worked very diligently for the people of Utah, and I thank you for it.

Sincerely,
Quang Dang

Neal Hendrickson

From: Charlie Treft [cptreft@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 11:59 PM
To: Daw, Bradley; Dee, Brad; Doughty, Brian; Edwards, Becky; Galvez, Brad; Wright, Bill; Last, Brad; Lockhart, Becky; Wilson, Brad; King, Brian; Herrod, Chris; Oda, Curtis; Moss, Carol; Webb, Curt; Watkins, Christine; Wimmer, Carl; Butterfield, David; Clark, David; Brown, Derek; IPSON, Don; Litvack, David; Sagers, Doug; Pitcher, Dixon; Sanpei, Dean; Hutchings, Eric; Vickers, Evan; Gibson, Francis; Cox, Fred; Froerer, Gage; Hughes, Greg; Richardson, Holly; Anderson, Johnny; Fisher, Janice; Bird, Jim; Briscoe, Joel; Draxler, Jack; Dunnigan, Jim; Peterson, Jeremy; Mathis, John; Seelig, Jennifer; McIff, Kay; Grover, Keith; Ivory, Ken; Powell, Kraig; Sumsion, Kenneth; Christensen, LaVar; Perry, Lee; Hemingway, Lynn; Wiley, Larry; Poulson, Marie; Wheatley, Mark; Brown, Melvin; Newbold, Merlynn; Morley, Mike; Hendrickson, Neal; Arent, Patrice; Painter, Patrick; Ray, Paul; Houck, Rebecca; Greenwood, Richard; Menlove, Ronda; Barrus, Roger; Wilcox, Ryan; Barlow, Stewart; Duckworth, Susan; Eliason, Steve; Sandstrom, Stephen; Handy, Steve; Cosgrove, Tim; Kiser, Todd; Peterson, Val; Harper, Wayne; jim@jim4utah.com; mnoel@kanab.net; Dougall, John; Treft, Charlie

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Treft

Layton, Utah.

Neal Hendrickson

From: Charlie Treft [cptreft@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2011 11:58 PM
To: Daw, Bradley; Dee, Brad; Doughty, Brian; Edwards, Becky; Galvez, Brad; Wright, Bill; Last, Brad; Lockhart, Becky; Wilson, Brad; King, Brian; Herrod, Chris; Oda, Curtis; Moss, Carol; Webb, Curt; Watkins, Christine; Wimmer, Carl; Butterfield, David; Clark, David; Brown, Derek; IPSON, Don; Litvack, David; Sagers, Doug; Pitcher, Dixon; Sanpei, Dean; Hutchings, Eric; Vickers, Evan; Gibson, Francis; Cox, Fred; Froerer, Gage; Hughes, Greg; Richardson, Holly; Anderson, Johnny; Fisher, Janice; Bird, Jim; Briscoe, Joel; Draxler, Jack; Dunnigan, Jim; Peterson, Jeremy; Mathis, John; Seelig, Jennifer; McIff, Kay; Grover, Keith; Ivory, Ken; Powell, Kraig; Sumsion, Kenneth; Christensen, LaVar; Perry, Lee; Hemingway, Lynn; Wiley, Larry; Poulson, Marie; Wheatley, Mark; Brown, Melvin; Newbold, Merlynn; Morley, Mike; Hendrickson, Neal; Arent, Patrice; Painter, Patrick; Ray, Paul; Houck, Rebecca; Greenwood, Richard; Menlove, Ronda; Barrus, Roger; Wilcox, Ryan; Barlow, Stewart; Duckworth, Susan; Eliason, Steve; Sandstrom, Stephen; Handy, Steve; Cosgrove, Tim; Kiser, Todd; Peterson, Val; Harper, Wayne; jim@jim4utah.com; mnoel@kanab.net; Dougall, John; Aaron Osmond; Casey Anderson; Treft, Charlie
Subject: Support the McAdams-Cox Modification

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Treft

Layton, Utah.

Neal Hendrickson

From: gail gerlach [ggerlach@mac.com]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 9:34 PM
To: Hendrickson, Neal
Subject: redistricting

I urge you to vote against the Senate pizza plan, as well as the plan secretly drawn by Lockhart and associates. I think it is wrong to legitimize unethical practices as well as wasteful practices. Taxpayers paid a million dollars for a redistricting committee which was apparently used as a smoke screen to Republican gerrymandering plans. The arrogance of that party is astounding.

The maps are difficult to read on the computer but the looks of Gerber Citizen Map and the comments about it appear to me to be the best choice.

Gail Gerlach

Neal Hendrickson

From: Leonard Plaizier [leonard.plaizier@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 4:28 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted Plan ID 68408 "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" - With LINK

Please consider the "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" plan (ID 68408).
A Compromise Plan Like No Other!

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-plaizier-fair-4-utah-counties-and-cities>

Thank you
Leonard

Neal Hendrickson

From: alanc@a-c-e-inc.net
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 1:08 PM
To: Hendrickson, Neal; alanc@a-c-e-inc.net
Subject: redistricting
Attachments: image001.gif; image002.gif

Please map the new districts with a mix of the people of the state of Utah for these reasons.

- 1 It benefits all Utahns to have four Congressmen with a stake in Salt Lake County instead of just one.
- 2 It benefits all Utahns to have four Congressmen who are advocates for rural Utah, particularly our public lands and natural resources instead of just one.
- 3 It benefits all Utahns to have four equally competitive Congressional Districts where a good candidate from either party has a chance to win.
- 4 We will maximize the quality of our candidates from both parties if we make all four districts as equal and as competitive as possible.
- 5 It is both equitable and wise to establish four, equal 60-40 districts rather than any one district made too heavily Republican or Democrat.
- 6) We are not interested in electing Congressmen who bring home the bacon for a specific community of interest, Republican or Democrat. We want Congressmen who will fight for a national balanced budget and preservation of American liberty, not pork.
- 7) The Democrats are going to file suit regardless of which map the Legislature adopts. It is irresponsible for a heavily Republican legislature to cede Democrats advantages that are literally harmful to our country and state and then take a PR whipping anyway.

Alan Christensen, an&la, aicp 
S.L. Co. 801.484.5333; Ut. Co. 801.766.5565; Fx 801.766.0303
The A.C.E. in lAndscapEs...

Neal Hendrickson

From: Leonard Plaizier [leonard.plaizier@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 12:23 PM
To: Lockhart, Becky; King, Brian; Watkins, Christine; IPSON, Don; Sumsion, Kenneth; Hendrickson, Neal; Barrus, Roger; Duckworth, Susan; Ben McAdams; Kevin VanTassell; Ralph OKerlund; Stuart Reid; Michael Waddoups
Cc: Dee, Brad; Edwards, Becky; Oda, Curtis; Litvack, David; Cox, Fred; Hughes, Greg; Briscoe, Joel; Nielson, Jim; Seelig, Jennifer; Brown, Melvin; Ray, Paul; Houck, Rebecca; Menlove, Ronda; Wilcox, Ryan; Barlow, Stewart; Handy, Steve; Harper, Wayne; Utah, Redistricting; Dan Liljenquist; Gene Davis; Jerry Stevenson; Karen Morgan; Pat Jones; Ross Romero; Scott Jenkins; Steve Urquhart; Wayne Niederhauser; repjohndougall@gmail.com; Aaron Osmond
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted Plan ID 68408 "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" - A Compromise Plan Like No Other!
Attachments: State_Map_-_Fair_4_Utah_Counties_and_Cites.png; Salt_Lake_County_Map_-_Fair_4_Utah_Counties_and_Cites.png; Northern_Utah_Map_-_Fair_4_Utah_Counties_and_Cites.png; Districts_Split_in_Salt_Lake_County_-_Fair_4_Utah_Counties_and_Cites.png; Fair_4_Utah_County_AssignedDistrictSplits.pdf; Southern_Utah_Map_-_Fair_4_Utah_Counties_and_Cites.png

Please consider the "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" plan (ID 68408).

My "Fair 4 Utah" plan was submitted to the Redistricting committee by Rep. Fred Cox at the Oct 7th meeting. This modified plan addresses many of the concerns.

This plan has key elements put together like no other plan. It is compromise plan fair 4 Utah.

The plan has been submitted but does not show on the redistrictUtah.com site. In place of a online link, images are attached.

In the Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities plan:

- All of the counties are whole except Salt Lake.
- The Eastern, Southern, and Western Counties are grouped the same as the Rep. Sumsion map that passed the Senate. (If there is a better grouping, I can still make it work with Counties and Salt Lake county Cities together.)
- Salt Lake county is mostly split into two districts 84% with a small portion in the other two districts.
- All of the cities in Salt Lake county are whole except West Valley City and three others (South Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, and Herriman) with minor splits. As an example Salt Lake City is all in one district except about 100 people.
- In Salt Lake County the cities are grouped based on their similar shared communities.
- District 2, 3, and 4 are at the target mean of 690,971. District 1 is one over at 690,972.
-

In Salt Lake County		
District 1	93,813	9%
District 2	539,727	52%
District 3	67,995	7%
District 4	328,120	32%
Total	1,029,655	100%

Good congressional districts are so important.
Thank you for your efforts and time!

Leonard

Leonard S. Plaizier
Bountiful, Utah
House 20
Senate 23
No organization only myself
Home 801-292-3604
Leonard.Plaizier@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Redistrict Utah** <redistrictutah@utah.gov>
Date: Thu, Oct 13, 2011 at 11:30 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
To: Leonard.Plaizier@gmail.com

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 13, 2011 at 11:29 PM

Contact Information

Name: Leonard S Plaizier
Username: lplaizier
Organization: None - only myself
Email address: Leonard.Plaizier@gmail.com

Plan Information

Plan name: Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities
Description: In the Fair 4 Utah plan all of the counties are whole except Salt Lake.

- Salt Lake county is mostly split into two districts with a small portion in the other two districts.
- All of the cities in Salt Lake county are whole except West Valley City and three others (South Salt Lake, Salt Lake City, and Herriman) with minor splits. As an example Salt Lake City is all in one district except about 100 people.
- In Salt Lake County the cities are grouped based on location.
- District 2, 3, and 4 are at the target mean of 690,971. District 1 is one over at 690,972.
- The Eastern, Southern, and Western Counties are grouped the same as the Rep. Sumsion map that passed the Senate.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
Date: 10/10/2011 12:40 PM
Subject: Re: New Spice variations

Dear Representative Sumsion,

Thank you for your response to my email message.

Do you buy into any of the argument against government prohibition of Spice?

Am I making a reasonable argument that may persuade you to support removing a government prohibition (except for minors)? Just trying to get gauge your thoughts on this.

I really believe Utah will be better off with out the ban, and I know Rep. Anderson of Taylorsville agrees. It would be good for Utah to get a coalition of representatives to support this.

By the way, based on the new House district maps, it looks like you will be stuck with my constant input. I am assuming you live within the new District 56 boundaries?

Respectfully Yours,

Rob Moore
Lehi, UT

On Sunday, October 9, 2011, Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:

>
> Thanks for her helping me be aware of what is going on.
> Ken
>
> Sent from myTouch 4G
>
> ----- Reply message -----
> From: "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>
> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
> Subject: New Spice variations
> Date: Sun, Oct 9, 2011 3:09 pm
>
>
>
>>>> "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>
>>>> 2011-10-09T15:09:17.558552 >>>
> Dear Representative Sumsion,
>
> See the article linked below from Ogden Standard-Examiner. New Spice strains are coming up that require new legislation to include them in the ban. Or, some are proposing a commission to ban new substances, with force of law, outside of the legislature.
>
> Can we use this, first, as an opportunity to revisit the usefulness of a ban in the first place?
>
> Problem: people are hurting themselves by ingesting a dangerous substance,

called Spice.

>

> Legislative Solution: Ban legal access to the dangerous substance.

>

> Result: Producers create variations of substance that fall outside legislation and people continue to hurt themselves.

>

> Is this the best solution?

>

> Where is the justification for the ban? Is it moral to prohibit people from engaging in consensual activity?

>

> The primary problem with government prohibitions is they make things worse, not better.

>

> First, the demand to consume the illegal substance does not disappear. So, people 1) find alternatives (often more dangerous; e.g. from marijuana to Spice; what more dangerous substance comes after Spice?); 2) a black market is created for the illegal substance (more crime, more violence, and more dangerous alternatives; 1000's of people during prohibition died from tainted bootleg alcohol).

>

> A better solution:

>

> No, to prohibition.

>

> Yes, to education.

>

> Instead of putting more and more money into 1) enforcement and 2) prosecution (are you aware of the \$100k+ it costs us to prove Spice use?), let's put that \$\$ into education programs as we've done with tobacco and alcohol. The purpose of this education is to 1) prevent usage in first place and 2) educate to avoid overdose when it is consumed (just like we do regarding alcohol use).

>

> Is this not a reasonable proposition?

>

> I've never used an illegal drug, and don't plan to change that record in the event that the legal status changes. Most people are like this, don't you think?

>

> Likewise, some people will use illegal drugs whether they are legal or not.

>

> Let's not limit everyone's freedom because some people choose to hurt themselves by certain activities they choose. Likewise, let's not continue to make everyone else (taxpayers) pay the cost of the minority that do use these substances.

>

> I'm concerned your colleagues in the legislature are being knee-jerk about "drugs" instead of having a rational evaluation of what Utah needs.

>

> Respectfully Yours,

>

> Rob Moore

> Lehi, UT

>

> Ben Winslow (@BenWinslow)

> 10/9/11 8:36 AM

>

> Original has about 30 pgs of chem names. RT @standardex: New Utah laws may
be needed to deal with spice recipe changes: <http://t.co/FkDxdP6b>

>

> Shared via TweetCaster

>

>

>

>

>

--

Rob Moore

From: Tim Chambless <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Safiullah, Safi" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>; kelli <kelli@xmission.com>
CC: "Campos, Aleko" <acampos@slcpl.org>
Date: 10/11/2011 1:29 PM
Subject: RE: Re-districting Utah

Safi,

Hi. I will be there by 6:30 p.m.

Thanks,

Tim

From: Safi Safiullah [ssafiullah@slcpl.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 12:30 PM
To: Tim Chambless; bmcadams; kelli; ksumsion
Cc: Aleko Campos
Subject: Re-districting Utah

Dear all:

I will appreciate it if you would arrive at the City Library's Auditorium (210 E. 400 South) by 6:45 p.m. for tonight's event on Re-Redistricting Utah. Please let me ASAP if you will need any technical/AV support during the lecture time. Please bring your own laptop if you need one (preferably PC).

Dr. Tim Chambless will be the program moderator. Dr. Chambless will be introducing you--you are welcome to send your bio (short) to him.

Thank you.
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead
Exploring New Ideas
Salt Lake City Public Library
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Phone: 801-322-8136
Fax: 801-322-8191
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Chambless, Tim" <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>; kelli <kelli@xmission.com>
CC: "Campos, Aleko" <acampos@slcpl.org>
Date: 10/11/2011 12:30 PM
Subject: Re-districting Utah

Dear all:

I will appreciate it if you would arrive at the City Library's Auditorium (210 E. 400 South) by 6:45 p.m. for tonight's event on Re-Redistricting Utah. Please let me ASAP if you will need any technical/AV support during the lecture time. Please bring your own laptop if you need one (preferably PC).

Dr. Tim Chambless will be the program moderator. Dr. Chambless will be introducing you--you are welcome to send your bio (short) to him.

Thank you.
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead
Exploring New Ideas
Salt Lake City Public Library
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Phone: 801-322-8136
Fax: 801-322-8191
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
Date: 10/10/2011 10:44 PM
Subject: Re: New Spice variations

Ken,

I agree with you on alcohol. The state ought to get out of the retail side, at least.

On drugs, I'm talking Spice. Not ready to talk hard drugs like meth. My inclination is to agree with you on that.

Regarding Spice, what's interesting is all the law enforcement folks and healthcare folks who wanted the state prohibition also didn't know much about it. This came out in the committee hearing. What they knew is that 1) it's like marijuana, 2) people were using it as a legal alternative to marijuana, 3) it seems to be more dangerous to the user than marijuana, and 4) there were a number of anecdotes of people misusing it and being admitted to the hospital because of it.

So, first off, what is the state interest in prohibiting the public from using this substance? What is the justification for denying people their right to buy and consume thus substance?

As far as I can tell, the only justification that proponents provided is that people are harming themselves by using it.

Is it right for the state to say, "no, you will hurt yourself if you use this so we are taking away your right to choose it by enacting a prohibition on it"?

Then, there are enormous state costs to enforce the prohibition.

Here is what is ironic, though. Spice exists because we have a prohibition on marijuana. We banned spice because of anecdotes of people being hospitalized because of it's usage. Have you ever heard of anyone being hospitalized because of marijuana usage?

Rob

On Oct 10, 2011, at 9:19 PM, "Kenneth Sumsion" <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:

>

> Rob,

> I'm probably a mixed bag on the drug and alcohol issue. I would like to see Utah get out of the alcohol business. I'm not there on meth and other hard drugs of that nature. Too addictive and the carnage left behind falls back on society.

>

> Spice, to some extent I really do not know much about it, so I don't perceive it to be in the same court as meth. Therefore, I see your financial point about cost and is there a better way to go after it, ie, education and save tax payor money.

>

> I don't like govt control but I do think there are a few boundaries when the damage to others is so great that I think it if appropriate for govt to get involved.

>

> I realize that I'm probably inconsistent in this realm. I know I have to be careful with this argument because it is used all the time to start new govt programs because it will save the people's money. Almost never is true because govt's can't control themselves.

>

> Actually I don't mind your insights at all.

> Ken

>
> Sent from myTouch 4G
>
> ----- Reply message -----
> From: "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>
> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
> Subject: New Spice variations
> Date: Mon, Oct 10, 2011 12:40 pm
>
>
>
>
>>>> "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com> 2011-10-10T12:40:28.040867 >>>
> Dear Representative Sumsion,
>
> Thank you for your response to my email message.
>
> Do you buy into any of the argument against government prohibition of Spice?
>
> Am I making a reasonable argument that may persuade you to support removing
> a government prohibition (except for minors)? Just trying to get gauge your
> thoughts on this.
>
> I really believe Utah will be better off with out the ban, and I know Rep.
> Anderson of Taylorsville agrees. It would be good for Utah to get a
> coalition of representatives to support this.
>
> By the way, based on the new House district maps, it looks like you will be
> stuck with my constant input. I am assuming you live within the new District
> 56 boundaries?
>
> Respectfully Yours,
>
> Rob Moore
> Lehi, UT
>
>
>
> On Sunday, October 9, 2011, Kenneth Sumsion <ksumsion@utah.gov> wrote:
>>
>> Thanks for her helping me be aware of what is going on.
>> Ken
>>
>> Sent from myTouch 4G
>>
>> ----- Reply message -----
>> From: "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>
>> To: "Kenneth Sumsion" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
>> Subject: New Spice variations
>> Date: Sun, Oct 9, 2011 3:09 pm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> "Rob Moore <robpmoore@gmail.com>" <robpmoore@gmail.com>
>> 2011-10-09T15:09:17.558552 >>>
>> Dear Representative Sumsion,
>>
>> See the article linked below from Ogden Standard-Examiner. New Spice
> strains are coming up that require new legislation to include them in the

> ban. Or, some are proposing a commission to ban new substances, with force
> of law, outside of the legislature.
>>
>> Can we use this, first, as an opportunity to revisit the usefulness of a
> ban in the first place?
>>
>> Problem: people are hurting themselves by ingesting a dangerous substance,
> called Spice.
>>
>> Legislative Solution: Ban legal access to the dangerous substance.
>>
>> Result: Producers create variations of substance that fall outside
> legislation and people continue to hurt themselves.
>>
>> Is this the best solution?
>>
>> Where is the justification for the ban? Is it moral to prohibit people
> from engaging in consensual activity?
>>
>> The primary problem with government prohibitions is they make things
> worse, not better.
>>
>> First, the demand to consume the illegal substance does not disappear. So,
> people 1) find alternatives (often more dangerous; e.g. from marijuana to
> Spice; what more dangerous substance comes after Spice?); 2) a black market
> is created for the illegal substance (more crime, more violence, and more
> dangerous alternatives; 1000's of people during prohibition died from
> tainted bootleg alcohol).
>>
>> A better solution:
>>
>> No, to prohibition.
>>
>> Yes, to education.
>>
>> Instead of putting more and more money into 1) enforcement and 2)
> prosecution (are you aware of the \$100k+ it costs us to prove Spice use?),
> let's put that \$\$ into education programs as we've done with tobacco and
> alcohol. The purpose of this education is to 1) prevent usage in first place
> and 2) educate to avoid overdose when it is consumed (just like we do
> regarding alcohol use).
>>
>> Is this not a reasonable proposition?
>>
>> I've never used an illegal drug, and don't plan to change that record in
> the event that the legal status changes. Most people are like this, don't
> you think?
>>
>> Likewise, some people will use illegal drugs whether they are legal or
> not.
>>
>> Let's not limit everyone's freedom because some people choose to hurt
> themselves by certain activities they choose. Likewise, let's not continue
> to make everyone else (taxpayers) pay the cost of the minority that do use
> these substances.
>>
>> I'm concerned your colleagues in the legislature are being knee-jerk about
> "drugs" instead of having a rational evaluation of what Utah needs.
>>
>> Respectfully Yours,

>>
>> Rob Moore
>> Lehi, UT
>>
>> Ben Winslow (@BenWinslow)
>> 10/9/11 8:36 AM
>>
>> Original has about 30 pgs of chem names. RT @standardex: New Utah laws may
> be needed to deal with spice recipe changes: <http://t.co/FkDxdP6b>
>>
>> Shared via TweetCaster
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> --
> Rob Moore
>

From: Safi Safiullah <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>
To: "Chambless, Tim" <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>
CC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>; "McAdams, Ben" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>; "Campos, Aleko" <acampos@slcpl.org>; kelli <kelli@xmission.com>
Date: 10/11/2011 1:38 PM
Subject: Re: Re-districting Utah

Tim,
See you at 6:30 p.m.
Thank you.
Safi

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tim Chambless" <tchambless@poli-sci.utah.edu>
To: "Safi Safiullah" <ssafiullah@slcpl.org>, "bmcadams" <bmcadams@utahsenate.org>, "kelli" <kelli@xmission.com>, "ksumsion" <ksumsion@utah.gov>
Cc: "Aleko Campos" <acampos@slcpl.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 1:29:56 PM GMT -07:00 US/Canada Mountain
Subject: RE: Re-districting Utah

Safi,

Hi. I will be there by 6:30 p.m.

Thanks,

Tim

From: Safi Safiullah [ssafiullah@slcpl.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2011 12:30 PM
To: Tim Chambless; bmcadams; kelli; ksumsion
Cc: Aleko Campos
Subject: Re-districting Utah

Dear all:

I will appreciate it if you would arrive at the City Library's Auditorium (210 E. 400 South) by 6:45 p.m. for tonight's event on Re-Rdistricting Utah. Please let me ASAP if you will need any technical/AV support during the lecture time. Please bring your own laptop if you need one (preferably PC).

Dr. Tim Chambless will be the program moderator. Dr. Chambless will be introducing you--you are welcome to send your bio (short) to him.

Thank you.
Safi

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead
Exploring New Ideas
Salt Lake City Public Library
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Phone: 801-322-8136

Fax: 801-322-8191
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

--

Safi S. M. Safiullah
Program Coordinator/Outcome Lead
Exploring New Ideas
Salt Lake City Public Library
210 East 400 South (3rd Floor)
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Phone: 801-322-8136
Fax: 801-322-8191
ssafiullah@slcpl.org

From: Billy Hesterman <BHesterman@heraldextra.com>
To: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
Date: 10/12/2011 11:11 AM
Subject: New Maps

Rep. Sumsion -

I'm hoping to talk to you about the new maps that were put on the Redistricting website today and get an update on where the Legislature is on the process. Do you have a few minutes today?

Billy Hesterman
Daily Herald Government & Politics Reporter
O: 801-344-2559 C: 801-633-3663
WWW.HERALDEXTRA.COM

From: Rob Bishop <bishop.project@gmail.com>
BC: "Sumsion, Kenneth" <KSUMSION@utah.gov>
Date: 10/12/2011 10:40 PM
Subject: Some thoughts on redistricting from Congressman Bishop

Dear Representative:

The redistricting process in the Utah Legislature is messy and cumbersome. No one ever likes the lines drawn. A redistricting process done by a so-called independent redistricting commission would be messy and cumbersome. No one would ever like the lines drawn.

The difference between the two options is that the Legislature ? no matter how many caucus meetings they may hold ? must ultimately make an open presentation and hold an open vote. They must explain their decisions and be accountable at the next election. Redistricting commissions don't. They may make a public presentation, but have no requirement for explanation nor in any way are they accountable to voters.

Where non-elected redistricting commissions work, it is often due to a quirk of personalities, such as in Washington State where the leading Republican and Democratic members have served for multiple cycles and try hard not to upset the status quo. In Arizona, their commission produced a very partisan and highly criticized map. Even the inappropriately self-named ?Fair Boundaries? organization in Utah used the former director of the Democratic Party who produced ? surprise ? boundaries favorable to Democrats. A commission is far from a panacea, independent, or non-partisan.

The basic problem with a non-elected redistricting commission is the base philosophy. The Progressive Era in American history provided a philosophical change of attitude toward government. The Founders had faith in lay government ? man?s ability to govern himself. The Progressive Era argued for governing of the people by experts whose work would seemingly be based on science. (Of course, this was the Era when Communism was also the daring, new government philosophy, but I digress.) Resorting to so-called experts has produced massive national bureaucracies such as the EPA (always right even when state DEQ scientists prove their data flawed), the SEC (experts about Wall Street), the Federal Reserve Board (experts about money), and the NLRB (experts about keeping jobs from going to South Carolina), and so on and so forth.

For some it is easy to demagogue the Legislature, but given the option I much prefer to have districts altered by someone in a public position on the House and Senate Floors - even if I don't fully agree with the alteration - rather than by the all-powerful wizard behind the curtain.

Allow me to comment on three related but tangential subjects:

A) ?Community of interest? is basically a sham phrase used to hide real desires. It has been charged by some, for example, that St. George has nothing in common with Salt Lake City therefore they should not be in the same district. Actually, St. George doesn't really have that much in common with Provo or Ogden or Logan or even Cedar City; but St. George has to be with someone. One public witness at a Redistricting Committee Meeting seemed to claim that maybe places like St. George and Moab and Park City should be together because they all attract tourists - cute, but not a reason for drawing such lines.

Salt Lake City cannot even claim to be one ?community of interest.? Can one

with a straight face claim students at the U of U have the same interests as single family home owners in west Rose Park or Redwood Road; that Glendale is a mirror image of the east bench; that the community in the Avenues replicates occupants of buildings like the Eagle Gate downtown? Come on!

When one hollers ?community of interest? (or ?gerrymandering? for that matter), the shouter really just wants the fulcrum of power elsewhere ? and usually for partisan purposes.

B) The Legislature can?t pick future Congressmen by drawing lines and it?s not fair for some to try to claim that is what is happening. Look at the existing congressional boundaries: Box Elder is not the center of the 1st District; Matheson wins in the Republican 2nd District, and Chaffetz doesn?t even live in the 3rd District. Despite district lines, voters pick whom they choose.

C) There are maps I like, and a whole lot that are just butt ugly. Please be cautious.

Thank you for your efforts. Redistricting is always a time consuming task with major complaints and few compliments. I understand. I?ve been there and done that. Personally, I have major compliments for your efforts ? and just a few complaints. You should all be commended for your commitment to this process and for the accountability that comes with it.

Rob Bishop