

**From:** Charlie Treft  
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy

---

It is with great displeasure that I have witnessed this year the destruction of Constitutional principles by the very people I elected to represent me. I was disgusted with how SB3002S19 and SB3002S20 were virtually shoved down our throats when we the voters said with one accord no to this map. I know several people who sent e-mails asking you to not vote for this map. Now we see that the party bosses in Salt Lake City told you what to do.

I have never felt as powerless as a voter as I have at this time. It as if my voice did not even matter to you. I have been betrayed by the people I voted for. This is just what they did in the old Soviet Union.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Treft

Layton, Utah

**From:** Charlie Trefth  
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy

---

I just watched the news and saw with surprise exactly what my government should not be doing. I wrote to you earlier asking for your support for the McAdams-Cox Redistricting map. Now I see what I have asked before to not be done just keep going on without regard to us, the voters. I beg of you stop this nonsense! The people of Utah are calling on you to submit equal representation without this political intrigue.

I propose the McAdams-Cox map for due consideration. It may not be perfect, but it is way better than anything you have so far put out there. I also ask you to do this in open session and not behind locked doors. It is time to move on.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Trefth

Layton, Utah

**From:** Jen  
**BC:** Derek Brown, Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/15/2011 5:51:25 PM  
**Subject:** US Congressional Map - Rep King/Garber Map  
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Dear Representative,

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have attended many of the public hearings on redistricting and have studied the maps. I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified by Representative Brian King).

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king>

Please vote for this compromise map

I know and greatly appreciate that you care about and work hard for the people of Utah.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Pathak

Member of Represent Me Utah!

**From:** gail gerlach  
**To:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/15/2011 10:25:22 PM  
**Subject:** redistricting  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

I urge you to vote for the Gerber Citizen Map because it appears to be the most equitable and closer to the redistricting committee's recommendation the legislature appointed and we all paid for.

For a while it seemed that the Legislature was serious about open meetings and listening to constituents but in the end that appears to have been a delusion, since the Senate then drew up the plan first described by Sen. Waddoups and the House in secret drafted their own "60-62% republican in every district," completely ignoring the citizens of Utah yet again. I strongly oppose both of those plans. You spent over a million dollars for advice; you should listen to it.

I agree with the Deseret News editorial a few days ago, gerrymandering may be legal by your own rules, but it is not ethical or moral or even necessary for you to retain a majority. It not only disadvantages the minority party, it also disadvantages the majority by protecting incumbents and protecting favorites. A healthy majority of people in Utah, republicans, democrats, libertarians, and independents wanted an *independent commission* because they did not trust the Legislature to do the right thing. Show us you can rise above partisanship.

Gail Gerlach

**From:** Charlie Trefth  
**To:** Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Brian Doughty, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky I King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butt Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Dunnigan, Jeremy Peterson, John Mathis, Jennifer Seelig, Kay McIlff, Keith Grover, Ken Ivory, Kraig Christensen, Lee Perry, Lynn Hemingway, Larry Wiley, Marie Poulson, Mark Wheatley, Melvin Brown

---

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Trefth

Layton, Utah.

**From:** Charlie Trefl  
**To:** Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Brian Doughty, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy

---

I am writing to you to express my disapproval of the two Congressional maps, the Sumsion 15 and 16 maps that are supposed to be proposed on Monday. I want to also urge you to back the McAdams/Cox modification of the hat and 3 strips as the best compromise. The people of Utah have spoken and this idea of a Do-nut hole district is not acceptable. Many of us are upset with the back room dealing and closed door meetings. I assure you that what is being shoved down our throats will not be forgotten.

I urge you to support the McAdams/Cox Modification.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely;

Charles P. Trefl

Layton, Utah.

**From:** Larry Meyers  
**To:** Larry Meyers  
**BC:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 9:02:11 AM  
**Subject:** Support SB 3002 and oppose last-minute redistricting changes  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Utah House members,

I am writing to encourage you to pass SB 3002, which was vetted by the Redistricting Committee and passed by the Utah Senate. SB 3002 brings a fair urban-rural balance to the redistricting process and treats southern Utah fairly.

Now is not the time to start over and try to pass a whole new map that has not been through the committee process and public scrutiny. If you do, it will lead to diminished public support for those who pushed the last-minute changes. It also appears to me that any last minute changes will be subject to a lawsuit on the grounds that they are based on partisan calculations, which is prohibited by the redistricting rules.

Let's finish the process tomorrow by passing SB 3002!

Larry Meyers  
Treasurer, Utah Republican Assembly

**From:** Represent Me Utah  
**To:** Becky Lockhart, Michael Waddoups  
**BC:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 2:25:02 PM  
**Subject:** Please act with caution and deliberation on Monday.  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Representatives and Senators,

An article in the Deseret News (Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011) has us seriously concerned.

The general tone of the article is that the special session on Monday will be quick and that minds have already been made up. We would urge you to not act in haste. We know members are all aware that the Legislature is allowed up to thirty days to complete the redistricting task with a special session.

We recognize that the process of redistricting has been long and challenging, but to suggest that all discussion and examination of alternative maps is over seems premature. It's a disservice to the people of Utah, to not consider a map that will ensure the fairest representation.

The final map will serve the people of Utah for ten years. It deserves all the time and effort necessary to get the right map.

Represent Me Utah! Has been following the redistricting process since our first rally on a snowy day in April on the steps of the capitol. Our goal has been to raise public awareness of this important process, and we think we have achieved that goal. We have attended almost every Redistricting Committee meeting across the state and have kept the public updated. We have conducted many informal polls and surveys. In fact, we have a petition of over five thousand signatures from citizens concurring that they want to keep their communities together.

Again we implore you to act with caution and deliberation. Please be patience and tolerant in this last stretch of the process, especially when you hear the voices of a frustrated public. We have heard Speaker Lockhart eloquently state many times before, if the process is good, the product usually is also good. Please let the process continue without an unnecessary hurriedness.

Thank you for your public service,

**From:** ada cox  
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy Peterson, John Mathis, Jennifer Seelig, Kay Mcclff, Keith Grover, Ken Ivory, Kraig Powell, Kenneth Sumsion, LaVar Christensen, Lee Perry, Lynn Hemingway, Larry Wiley, Marie Poulson, Mark Wheatley, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Mike Morley, Neal Hendrickson, Patrice Arent, Patrick Painter, Paul Ray, Rebecca Houck, Richard Greenwood, Ronda Menlove, Roger Barrus, Ryan Wilcox, Susan Duckworth, Steve Eliason, Stephen Sandstrom, Steve Handy, Tim Cosgrove, Todd Kiser, Val Peterson, Wayne Harper, , , ,  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 7:36:19 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

We have studied the state redistricting issues, and SUPPORT SB 3002 that was passed by the Utah Senate. Please support this bill during the special session.

Thank you for your service to the State of Utah.

Bill and Ada Cox, St. George

**From:** Connie Stewart  
**BC:** Derek Brown, Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 7:43:47 PM  
**Subject:** NO last minute changes! - Pass SB 3002, which has passed the Utah Senate.  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Legislators,

You are meeting this Monday, October 17, 2011 to finalize the redistricting map. The Utah Senate has passed the most equitable bill (redistricting plan).

Speaker Becky Lockhart is pushing two new plans to introduce tomorrow. You made a decision, now is not the time to make any changes or wavier on that decision. It is a good redistricting plan.

(\*Early last year the Utah State Legislature made "last minute changes" to adopt a bill regarding illegal immigration and found themselves in hot water, with a bill that the citizens of Utah were greatly dissatisfied with.)

I respectfully request that you move forward, no further considerations and adopt the plan as accepted by the Utah Senate, today, Monday, October 17, 2011.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart  
354 South 500 East  
Saint George, UT 84770-3718  
Phone - 435-628-7932  
Email - [stewart.connie@gmail.com](mailto:stewart.connie@gmail.com)

**From:** Connie Stewart  
**BC:** Derek Brown, Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 7:57:39 PM  
**Subject:** Vote for SB 3002, as recommended by the redistricting committee and has passed the Utah Senate.  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Legislator,

I would like the Utah State Legislature to not make last-minute changes and to proceed to pass the map that was supported by the redistricting committee. Reject any last minute attempts to water down rural Utah's influence.

Support SB 3002, which was recommended by the redistriction committee and has already passed the Utah Senate.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart

354 South 500 East

Saint George, UT 84770-3718

Phone - 435-628-7932

Email - [stewart.connie@gmail.com](mailto:stewart.connie@gmail.com)

**From:**  
**To:** Carl Wimmer, Derek Brown, Greg Hughes, Jim Bird, John Mathis, Ken Ivory, Kraig Powell, Kenneth Sumsion, LaVar Christensen, Marie Poulson, Melvin Brown, Steve Eliason, Todd Kiser, Wayne Harper, ,  
**Date:** 10/16/2011 10:19:48 PM  
**Subject:** Please don't sacrifice betterment of people for betterment of politician  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

A last-second plea to not consider political careers in drawing the boundaries. To the victor, goes the spoils, it is said, and you may be of a mind it is quite within your right.

Each person to his or her own opinion, and I certainly am wrong about enough in life, but, yes, to me, it is wrong to allow politics and political careers to be a factor in the way the map is drawn. (Should that be maps, plural? I don't think so as I believe the only one left, is the congressional map.)

To the victor goes the spoils? And, therefore, you are but claiming what is yours? Is this no worse than giving government posts to those of one's own party? It is a little more. In this case, if you adjust the boundaries to increase the chances of your party, or the odds for a particular possible candidate, you must change them away from what is best for the public.

You must sacrifice the good of the public for the good of a politician.

I mean no offense, but we elect you to serve us, the people, not yourselves, and to serve us, not your fellow politicians who may want the lines drawn to their favor.

Please, as you look at the six (or however many) choices, consider which of them have been drawn to forward political careers and refuse to support those plans.

-- John Jackson  
of Sandy

Republican House of Representative members,

I represent the 80 percent of Utahns and Americans—the independents, moderate Republicans, and moderate democrats—who want Republicans and Democrats in the legislature and congress to work together for the country and people rather than solely for their own partisan political objectives. If you are part of the 20 percent who doesn't care whether the parties work together, I disagree. If you care what the 80 percent think, read on. Otherwise please delete this e-mail now rather than have me waste your time.

1. Rep. Sumsion explained at the SLC library last week, that the Utah House boundaries, mostly developed by him, were done in an independent attitude (bi-partisan way). He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats were in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. If true, congratulations to him and the Utah House and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work on all the House maps.
2. The McAdams / Cox "Modification of Hat and 3 Stripes" is apparently the only bipartisan map published since your late September adjournment. It is not as good as Rep. King's maps but as Sen. McAdams said at the SLC library, this one which he was then working on might be the only one the your supermajority would consider as a compromise.
3. By nearly any definition, your West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please try to convince each other to take a higher, less partisan road.

To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered.

THOSE PLANS ARE ALL EXTREMELY UNFAIR. If it were me, I would vote no on all of them this week, whether I were Republican, Democrat, or Independent. None of them make you look like statesmen and women.

4. Of all congress maps submitted in October by a legislator, only Rep. King's proposed Substitution 7 (the Garber Plan) meets the criteria that ought to be the only criteria for congressional boundaries other than equal population. Those criteria keep districts logical, compact, and keep most communities together. They also keep neighboring communities and counties together.

Rep. King's S12 looks like a fairly decent compromise between Garber's good points and replacing S3002's and Sumsion's West Valley-Provo doughnut hole with something more defensible. Would one of you, perhaps a Republican in central or southern Utah sponsor it with him as another bipartisan option?

5. The only map I like better than Rep. King's is Brandon Plewe's October 9<sup>th</sup> NSEW modification of King's S07. Two districts (north and south) would likely be permanently Republican for the ten years. The other two would likely be winnable by either party—the East / SLC district would likely be won by any strong Democratic candidate. The West / SL County south district would generally be won by any strong Republican candidate. But in both those districts our votes would at least matter.

I think it is important that Utah be represented in Congress by at least one Democrat and one Republican. Otherwise our state's voice will never matter with one or the other of the parties.

Plewe's NSEW map would split Salt Lake County north (SLC together) and south instead of east and west. Brandon's map, as he says,

- a. Divides cities and counties as little as possible. Only 3 counties are divided (Davis, SL, Utah), and each is only divided between two districts. Only two cities are divided: Bountiful and Pleasant Grove.
- b. Preserve rural regions: Northern Utah, Southern Utah, Uintah Basin
- c. Make districts as compact (near-circular) as possible. Unfortunately, to keep SLC & WVC intact, I had to divide Salt Lake County into North and South"

I would add, because Brandon followed boundaries, there are also only three school districts that are divided between two districts—all in the largest districts in the state, Davis, Granite, and Alpine. Brandon accomplishes all this by throwing out the Redistricting committee's fetish of 2010 population variations at plus or minus 1 or 2 people or less. With Brandon at plus or minus 77 people and .01 of 1 percent his differences are still well within statistical irrelevance. I am not a statistician, but I suspect anything up to .5 of one percent variance would be statistically irrelevant, legally supportable, and any concerns about it should be trumped by as an issue by communities of interest.

Would one of you submit Brandon's map with a democrat and have a vote on it so a vote is in the record?

I also left comments about most of the plans at the Redistrict Utah site. Sorry this is so long, but I have (perhaps naïve) hope that some of you will read and act on the above as talking points this week. Thanks for all you do.

*Craig L. Shuler, CPA*  
home 801-572-9167  
cell 801-599-7378

Republican House of Representative members,

I represent the 80 percent of Utahns and Americans—the independents, moderate Republicans, and moderate democrats—who want Republicans and Democrats in the legislature and congress to work together for the country and people rather than solely for their own partisan political objectives. If you are part of the 20 percent who doesn't care whether the parties work together, I disagree. If you care what the 80 percent think, read on. Otherwise please delete this e-mail now rather than have me waste your time.

1. Rep. Sumsion explained at the SLC library last week, that the Utah House boundaries, mostly developed by him, were done in an independent attitude (bi-partisan way). He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats were in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. If true, congratulations to him and the Utah House and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work on all the House maps.
2. The McAdams / Cox "Modification of Hat and 3 Stripes" is apparently the only bipartisan map published since your late September adjournment. It is not as good as Rep. King's maps but as Sen. McAdams said at the SLC library, this one which he was then working on might be the only one the your supermajority would consider as a compromise.
3. By nearly any definition, your West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please try to convince each other to take a higher, less partisan road.

To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered.

THOSE PLANS ARE ALL EXTREMELY UNFAIR. If it were me, I would vote no on all of them this week, whether I were Republican, Democrat, or Independent. None of them make you look like statesmen and women.

4. Of all congress maps submitted in October by a legislator, only Rep. King's proposed Substitution 7 (the Garber Plan) meets the criteria that ought to be the only criteria for congressional boundaries other than equal population. Those criteria keep districts logical, compact, and keep most communities together. They also keep neighboring communities and counties together.

Rep. King's S12 looks like a fairly decent compromise between Garber's good points and replacing S3002's and Sumsion's West Valley-Provo doughnut hole with something more defensible. Would one of you, perhaps a Republican in central or southern Utah sponsor it with him as another bipartisan option?

5. The only map I like better than Rep. King's is Brandon Plewe's October 9<sup>th</sup> NSEW modification of King's S07. Two districts (north and south) would likely be permanently Republican for the ten years. The other two would likely be winnable by either party—the East / SLC district would likely be won by any strong Democratic candidate. The West / SL County south district would generally be won by any strong Republican candidate. But in both those districts our votes would at least matter.

I think it is important that Utah be represented in Congress by at least one Democrat and one Republican. Otherwise our state's voice will never matter with one or the other of the parties.

Plewe's NSEW map would split Salt Lake County north (SLC together) and south instead of east and west. Brandon's map, as he says,

- a. Divides cities and counties as little as possible. Only 3 counties are divided (Davis, SL, Utah), and each is only divided between two districts. Only two cities are divided: Bountiful and Pleasant Grove.
- b. Preserve rural regions: Northern Utah, Southern Utah, Uintah Basin
- c. Make districts as compact (near-circular) as possible. Unfortunately, to keep SLC & WVC intact, I had to divide Salt Lake County into North and South"

I would add, because Brandon followed boundaries, there are also only three school districts that are divided between two districts—all in the largest districts in the state, Davis, Granite, and Alpine. Brandon accomplishes all this by throwing out the Redistricting committee's fetish of 2010 population variations at plus or minus 1 or 2 people or less. With Brandon at plus or minus 77 people and .01 of 1 percent his differences are still well within statistical irrelevance. I am not a statistician, but I suspect anything up to .5 of one percent variance would be statistically irrelevant, legally supportable, and any concerns about it should be trumped by as an issue by communities of interest.

Would one of you submit Brandon's map with a democrat and have a vote on it so a vote is in the record?

I also left comments about most of the plans at the Redistrict Utah site. Sorry this is so long, but I have (perhaps naïve) hope that some of you will read and act on the above as talking points this week. Thanks for all you do.

*Craig L. Shuler, CPA*  
home 801-572-9167  
cell 801-599-7378

**From:** "Ellen Brady"  
**BC:** Derek Brown, Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/17/2011 10:06:47 AM  
**Subject:** Redistricting  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Representative/Senator:

As you look at voting on a congressional redistricting plan today, I would encourage you to consider the King-Garber option, as it is the least offensive among the three maps put forward for (apparently final) consideration. That is, it appears to only split Salt Lake County into two pieces instead of three or four. As a resident of Utah's most populous county, I deeply resent the effort on the part of this legislature to ensure that our voices are so diluted that it cannot be heard. We will make them heard -- in the voting booth and in the court room if this patent absurdity continues. I am appalled by a process that has been conducted behind closed doors, has continued to ignore the input of the public in spite of a charade of seeking that input, and which (above all else) seeks only to ensure the ongoing dominance of the GOP in this state.

**Ellen**

Ellen Brady  
801-272-1590

**From:** Brent Palmer  
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy

---

Dear Congressmen & Congresswomen,  
Thank you so much for your willingness to serve this great state.

The matter before you dealing with the congressional mapping is one of great import that we will all have to live with for the next decade. Please allow any personal agenda or ego to remain on the sidelines for this decision.

As a resident of Southern Utah I am very concerned about the lack of attention rural Utah is getting in this process. The map submitted by the committee and approved by the Senate was about as fair as I have seen for So. Utah (SB 3002). Please do not allow politics to get in the way of seeing what is right for all members of all 4 districts. I understand that Speaker Lockhart has openly supported Sumison 15&16. To rural Utah these maps are unacceptable! We are sick and tired of having SLC decide who represents us, as evidenced by the overwhelming support for Morgan Philpot in the last election. Please do not support the Sumison maps as they are drawn!

Do what is right for Utah.

Thanks,  
Brent Palmer  
St. George  
435-467-1977

**From:** Kelli Lundgren  
**To:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/14/2011 10:40:45 AM  
**Subject:** Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday  
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Dear Representative Brown,

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king>

Please vote for this compromise map. I appreciate all your work as a representative for the people of Utah.

Sincerely,  
Kelli Lundgren

**From:** "Darwin Long"  
**To:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/14/2011 2:29:34 PM  
**Subject:** Gerrymandering Utah  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Since any possibility of actually having a representative in Washington that supports my interests is dependant on having my vote count with like minded individuals, I would very much like to have as much of Salt Lake County vote with me as I can. Since his kind of fairness is impossible in Utah's congress, I ask you to support the closest thing we can get.

## **I SUPPORT THE GARBER CITIZEN MAP**

Please represent me and the majority of your constituents and support this map as well.

Darwin Long  
7808 Dolphin Cir.  
Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121

**From:** Sharon Holland  
**To:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/14/2011 6:23:36 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting map  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Rep. Brown:

I have looked at all the proposed redistricting maps, and I think the fairest and best of them all is the Garber Citizen Map, as modified by Rep. Brian King. This plan divides Salt Lake County into just two areas, east and west side, and otherwise keeps the whole county intact. It also creates a "northern Utah" district and a "southern Utah" district, which seem entirely logical in terms of creating compact districts and keeping communities of interest together.

The district you represent seems to be one of the most gerrymandered districts in the state. You must know how difficult it is to figure out who's in your district and who's not. I believe that District 49 is one of the worst examples of political gamesmanship in the state.

I urge you to vote for fairness, compactness, and keeping communities of interest together. I would also like to see new legislation to have an independent commission draw the boundary lines next time.

Thank you,

Sharon Holland  
9468 Raintree Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092  
(801) 942-3583

**From:** DAVID FOLLAND  
**To:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/15/2011 12:17:22 AM  
**Subject:** Support Garer Citizen Map  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

Dear Representative Brown,  
I know there are a lot of conflicting ideas on how the new boundaries should be drawn, but it seems to me that the Garber Citizen Map is the most logical and fair. I hope you will support it.

sincerely,

Dave Folland

--

David S Folland, MD  
dsfolland@gmail.com  
8810 Sheffield Way  
Sandy, UT 84093  
(h) 801-942-1426  
(m)801-891-7152

**From:** Q Dang  
**To:** Q Dang  
**BC:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/13/2011 7:31:15 PM  
**Subject:** Please support the Garber Citizen Map (modified)  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Representative,

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king>

Please vote for this compromise map. I know you have worked very diligently for the people of Utah, and I thank you for it.

Sincerely,  
Quang Dang

**From:** "Keven Stratton, Sutherland Institute"  
**To:** Derek Brown,  
**Date:** 10/11/2011 2:39:26 PM  
**Subject:** Special invitation: Jim Ferrell seminar on civility  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)

You may [unsubscribe](#) if you no longer wish to receive our emails.



Dear Derek,

On Thursday, Oct. 20, Sutherland will host our longtime friend Jim Ferrell from [The Arbinger Institute](#) for our award-winning Transcend Series. You probably know him best from his popular book *The Peacegiver* or his best-seller *Leadership and Self-Deception*.

Similar presentations by Mr. Ferrell sell for up to \$600 per person, but we have space in this session - free - for **the first 10 people who respond to this email.**

This is an all-day session that goes from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. at Sutherland's offices (307 West 200 South, Suite 5005, in Salt Lake City).

Reserve your seats by contacting me [via email](#) or calling Lisa at 801-355-1272. When you call, please mention this email. Priority will be given to couples.

Cheers!

Keven Stratton  
Grassroots Outreach  
Sutherland Institute

P.S. [Click here](#) or see bottom of this email for more information about this session.



Find us on Facebook 

Follow us on 

 [Forward this issue](#)  
to a Friend

**From:** Crystal Young-Otterstrom  
**To:**  
**BC:** Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/7/2011 12:25:35 PM  
**Subject:** Utah Democrats Challenge Republican Leadership to Compromise on Redistricting  
**Attachments:** [rectangle-logo-400.jpg](#), [Mime.822](#)

---

Contact:

Matt Lyon  
801.597.8888 | [mlyon@utdem.org](mailto:mlyon@utdem.org)  
Crystal Young-Otterstrom  
801.652.0737 | [crystal@utdem.org](mailto:crystal@utdem.org)

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Friday, October 7, 2011

## **Utah Democrats Challenge Republican Leadership to Compromise on Redistricting**

---

SALT LAKE CITY – Utah Democrats issued a challenge and invitation to Republican legislative leadership today. Speaking on behalf of all Utahans, party chair Jim Dabakis challenged the majority party to pledge to "OPEN ALL" of their discussions about redistricting and to seek a bipartisan solution for the Congressional maps.

"Tuesday was a fiasco. It was a waste of taxpayer dollars, and it showed the dirty underbelly of politics and an intensely partisan process," stated Dabakis this morning. "No more secrets, no deals, no trade-offs in the closed Republican caucus rooms. No more last minute maps whipped up behind closed doors without involving the public."

"We have two weeks. Let's open the closed doors! Unafraid of the light, Democratic meetings, caucuses, and conversations are open to the public---on behalf of all Utahans we implore that Republicans join us in letting the public watch Utah's business be conducted," Dabakis said. "Let's rise above the partisan bickering and develop a truly bipartisan map. One that represents communities. One that represents Utah. Utah deserves better. As leaders, we must do better."

On air, Dabakis reiterated that the Democrats are willing and want to work with Republicans and the public to develop a congressional map. He also reaffirmed the Senate and House Democrats' call from Tuesday that insisted any map brought before the legislative bodies must first be brought to the public.

"Utah expects Democrats and Republicans to work together in an open and transparent process. Lets sit down and develop a bipartisan map. We can do better, we must do better. How amazing would it be for Utah to lead the nation in an open and fair redistricting process? If Utah Republicans and Democrats work together, we could inspire bipartisanship across the country and end the national stalemate. We will renew voters' faith in their elected leaders."

**From:** "Ellen Brady"  
**BC:** Derek Brown, Derek Brown  
**Date:** 10/7/2011 1:43:36 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Dear Representative or Senator:

I am pleased that the legislature has 'stepped back to take another look' relative to redistricting, as it was clear from the map under consideration at that time did not represent the concerns of many Utah citizens. That is, the map did not keep communities of interest intact in any meaningful sense of the word. Specifically, the 'pizza slice' approach to Salt Lake County completely disenfranchised that population by carving it into four pieces and linking three to rural areas with enough population to overpower the urban voice.

Unfortunately, it appears that the 'revised' plan, developed behind closed doors and without public input only worsens the situation by linking the new District 4 to rural areas as well. This approach serves no one well -- urban or rural -- and represents a total travesty that can only be described as blatant gerrymandering for partisan gain. The comments of Becky Lockhart and others chastising questioners who dared suggest that this approach disenfranchised voters and weakened democracy by replying that 'this is a Republic' (don't you know stupid) and 'no Republican or Democrat' will be deprived of a vote under this plan' only underscores the blatant disregard of this legislature for those you are supposed to represent and a lack of understanding of many prior court rulings that underscore the importance of preserving communities of interest in order to protect the ability of those groups to be effectively heard. Additionally, claims that you 'listened to the public' have been nullified by your subsequent redrawing of the lines behind closed doors and the destruction by some members of email communications relevant to the process. Prior to this, efforts to silence the voice of Utahns by creating impossibly high barriers to citizen petitions only further underscores this disregard. The voices of the many thousands who signed a petition asking for an independent redistricting commission have been ignored. I am confident that, had the bar not been set quite so high and had online signatures been acceptable, the initiative would have been on the ballot and would have passed.

Please astonish me and truly step back far enough to consider and implement a plan that does not disenfranchise the urban majority population of this state. We will be heard -- if not now, at the ballot box come November.

**Ellen**  
Ellen Brady  
801-272-1590

**From:** Brad Bartholomew  
**To:** Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, Francis Gibson, Gage Froerer, Merlynn Newbold, Neal Hendrickson, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser  
**Date:** 10/3/2011 3:44:59 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting Senate Maps  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Representatives,

The Senate map that was passed today by the Senate is a destructive map. I am the Rose Park Community Council Chair, the Council and residents in Rose Park are grateful that the community has been kept intact. But we are also disappointed to see that we are lumped in with Davis County. While this might be a way to delude the SLC Demarcates, it truly hurts the citizens of Rose Park greater. The citizens who live in Rose Park already have very little voice and placing us with North Salt Lake, Bountiful and Woods Cross would even further reduce our voices.

I urge you to make the right decision for my community by keeping Rose Park in Salt Lake County so that we may have a voice in the Utah Senate.

Thank you for your time.  
Brad Bartholomew

--

Brad Bartholomew  
[bbart76@gmail.com](mailto:bbart76@gmail.com)  
801.673.5854

**From:** Brad Bartholomew  
**To:** Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, Francis Gibson, Gage Froerer, Merlynn Newbold, Neal Hendrickson, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser  
**Date:** 10/3/2011 3:44:59 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting Senate Maps  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

Representatives,

The Senate map that was passed today by the Senate is a destructive map. I am the Rose Park Community Council Chair, the Council and residents in Rose Park are grateful that the community has been kept intact. But we are also disappointed to see that we are lumped in with Davis County. While this might be a way to delude the SLC Demarcates, it truly hurts the citizens of Rose Park greater. The citizens who live in Rose Park already have very little voice and placing us with North Salt Lake, Bountiful and Woods Cross would even further reduce our voices.

I urge you to make the right decision for my community by keeping Rose Park in Salt Lake County so that we may have a voice in the Utah Senate.

Thank you for your time.  
Brad Bartholomew

--  
Brad Bartholomew  
[bbart76@gmail.com](mailto:bbart76@gmail.com)  
801.673.5854