**From:** Geri Siegel
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/14/2011 2:34:07 PM
**Subject:** Redistricting
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Brian, I really do not support any splitting of SLC County or Utah - In particular Salt Lake in which I live.
Please try again to split us!
Regards,
Geri Siegel
geri@isiegel.com
801 631 8942 cell

Sent from my iPad

**From:** Leonard Plaizier
**BC:** Brian King, Brian King
**Date:** 10/14/2011 4:27:57 PM
**Subject:** Redistricting Plan Submitted Plan ID 68408 "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" - With LINK
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Please consider the "Fair 4 Utah Counties and Cities" plan (ID 68408).
A Compromise Plan Like No Other!

http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-plaizier-fair-4-utah-counties-and-cities

Thank you
Leonard

**From:** gail gerlach
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/14/2011 9:44:40 PM
**Subject:** redistricting
**Attachments:** Mime.822

I urge you to vote against the Senate pizza plan, as well as the plan secretly drawn by Lockhart and associates. I think it is wrong to legitimize unethical practices as well as wasteful practices. Taxpayers paid a million dollars for a redistricting committee which was apparently used as a smoke screen to Republican gerrymandering plans. The arrogance of that party is astounding.

The maps are difficult to read on the computer but the looks of Gerber Citizen Map which you sponsor and the comments about it appear to me to be the best choice. I hope it comes up for a vote.

Gail Gerlach

**From:** David Edward Garber
**To:** Brian King, David Edward Garber
**Date:** 10/14/2011 9:54:18 PM
**Subject:** Re: U.S. Congressional Map Survey Results
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Representative King,

I apologize for the slowness of my reply. My condition took a turn for the worse two nights ago and I've had a terrible time thinking well enough to even do laundry. It took me several attempts to grasp most of the content of this e-mail. In any case, I thought that it might help you to post a thorough defense of your proposal (although not necessarily nearly as wordy as my own) on the official redistricting website. If the public understands clearly what you are trying to accomplish and why, then it might help you somewhat in your efforts to gain their favor. Thank you!

David Edward Garber

---

**From:** Brian King <briansking@utah.gov>
**To:** kelli@xmission.com
**Cc:** davegarber1975@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2011 12:38 PM
**Subject:** Re: U.S. Congressional Map Survey Results

OK Kelli, the revision should be on line at redistrictutah.com in the next hour or so. The name will be "Garber Modified King" or something like that. Feel free to do whatever you would like to get the word out to your folks and all the other citizen groups out there about the map.

It splits SL County twice and Utah County only twice. It maintains the small split of Davis County. It has a very clean line along Redwood Road on the North end of SL County and 1300 West on the South end of SL County. I tried to keep municipalities together in Utah County South of American Fork. It has a rural/urban mix in all four districts. I don't like that but I'm realistic: no map is getting any support from Rs unless it does that.

It isn't as compact as the map we discussed at the last redistricting mtg but it is more compact than any alternative I've seen being discussed in the last few days and it addresses the Speaker's concern. I like it. I think it is significantly better than any alternative I've seen from the Republicans. If the legislators get significant positive feedback from citizens between now and Monday (emails and phone calls), I think we have a shot at altering the current direction my colleagues want to go. Think about what happened with HB 477.

If you like this map, I think you need to have as much pressure and emails as possible before the Monday morning Republican caucuses at the beginning of the special session. If you wait until after the session and a map is adopted (as will likely, or at least possibly, occur during the Monday special session), it will be too late.

We're going to try to issue a press release today to try and get some media interest. I'm also going to be emailing the map to all the members of the House and Senate today or early tomorrow to explain the strengths of the map and see if I can make a direct pitch to them that way.

I'm copying David Garber on this email. David, thank you again for your great work on this map in particular and on redistricting generally. I hope this revision of your map is something that you can support.

Brian

Brian S. King
Representative, House District 28
1855 Michigan Ave
Salt Lake City, Utah 84108
801-532-1739 (work)
801-583-5464 (home)
801-560-0769 (cell)
briansking@utah.gov

>>> Kelli Lundgren 10/13/11 9:28 AM >>>
Thank you, Brian. This is encouraging. We will definitely support the revised map and get the word out. I pushed your King/Garber map yesterday on Utah Public Radio.

To accomodate only two splits in Utah County will be great and again another compromise opportunity.

In any way, if we can keep promoting compromise and a compromise idea, if the R's accept it, our group and all Utah citizens should, and will be thanking them for the compromise.

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | "Barry Walker" |
| **To:** | Brian King |
| **Date:** | 10/14/2011 10:14:16 PM |
| **Subject:** | Redistricting |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

---

Please know that my husband, Barry Walker, and I support, prefer and wish the "Garber Citizen Map modified" be adopted for the redistricting of our state of Utah. It may not please the Republicans, but it represents the wishes of the majority of the state's citizens and cannot be ignored. Thank you.

Sincerely, Carol Walker
2084 Harvard Oaks Circle
Salt Lake City, UT 84108

**From:** Q Dang
**To:** Brian King, Q Dang
**CC:** Kelli Lundgren
**Date:** 10/15/2011 8:32:47 AM
**Subject:** Update on # of comments on Garber map from the official site
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Dear Representative King,

Iâ€™m sorry to send this on a Sat. But time is running short.

When you address the House on Monday, please remind the members that the rhetoric coming from the Democratic Party chair is NOT the voice of the people. So donâ€™t punish the people because of party maneuverings. I have talked to many many democrats and republicans in the general public that support this map. This map is as bi-partisan as it can get among the public.

Just as an update, between the http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/king_01 and http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king there are 131 comments as of this morning.

Please stress to the body that a vote for this map is a vote for the citizensâ€™ voice. It is a vote for compromise. And a NO vote is in direct opposition to these two things.

I know you are eloquent and will do an outstanding job â€" I have heard you many times use your calming and reasoned voice to win the hearts and minds of your colleagues â€" Iâ€™m just writing to soothe my own fears and anxieties about Monday session.

There are some that worry that you many not even get recognized by the Speaker. I hope this isnâ€™t the case. How in an open and democratic process can such an import idea or matter, literally, be shut out?

Sincerely,
Q.

---

**From:** Brian King <briansking@utah.gov>
**To:** kelli@xmission.com
**Cc:** qdang70@yahoo.com
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 11:07 AM
**Subject:** Re: U.S. Congressional Map Survey Results

Thanks so much Kelli. This is very helpful.

I appreciate all the time and effort you, Q, and others have put into this redistricting process. I hope you don't feel it's been a waste. I certainly think you have spent your time well and done a great job!

Brian

Brian S. King
Representative, House District 28
1855 Michigan Ave
Salt Lake City, Utah 84108
801-532-1739 (work)
801-583-5464 (home)
801-560-0769 (cell)
briansking@utah.gov

>>> Kelli Lundgren <kelli@xmission.com> 10/12/11 9:56 AM >>>
Hi Brian,

Attached is the graph of survey results as of yesterday afternoon regarding the six maps discussed last Friday in the redistricting committee meeting. The people contacted for this survey were the 5,000+ people that signed the "Keep Common Interests Together" SpeakUpUtah.org petition, and from networking on the Internet. The graph represents the responses of 215 people.

If there is anything you can still do to push your King/Garber map as a separate bill, or strategically somehow, that would be terrific. Last evening at the City Library Public Forum and today on Utah Public Radio we pushed for more people to comment on your map on the RedistrictUtah.com site. Last I checked, 95 comments had been posted, many or most favorable.

I appreciate your efforts.

Kelli Lundgren
RepresentMeUtah!

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Represent Me Utah |
| **To:** | Becky Lockhart, Michael Waddoups |
| **BC:** | Brian King |
| **Date:** | 10/16/2011 2:25:02 PM |
| **Subject:** | Please act with caution and deliberation on Monday. |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

Dear Representatives and Senators,

An article in the Deseret News (Saturday, Oct. 15, 2011) has us seriously concerned.

The general tone of the article is that the special session on Monday will be quick and that minds have already been made up. We would urge you to not act in haste. We know members are all aware that the Legislature is allowed up to thirty days to complete the redistricting task with a special session.

We recognize that the process of redistricting has been long and challenging, but to suggest that all discussion and examination of alternative maps is over seems premature. It's a disservice to the people of Utah, to not consider a map that will ensure the fairest representation.

The final map will serve the people of Utah for ten years. It deserves all the time and effort necessary to get the right map.

Represent Me Utah! Has been following the redistricting process since our first rally on a snowy day in April on the steps of the capitol. Our goal has been to raise public awareness of this important process, and we think we have achieved that goal. We have attended almost every Redistricting Committee meeting across the state and have kept the public updated. We have conducted many informal polls and surveys. In fact, we have a petition of over five thousand signatures from citizens concurring that they want to keep their communities together.

Again we implore you to act with caution and deliberation. Please be patience and tolerant in this last stretch of the process, especially when you hear the voices of a frustrated public. We have heard Speaker Lockhart eloquently state many times before, if the process is good, the product usually is also good. Please let the process continue without an unnecessary hurriedness.

Thank you for your public service,

Represent Me Utah!

Representmeutah.org

**From:** Connie Stewart
**BC:** Brian King, Brian King
**Date:** 10/16/2011 7:57:39 PM
**Subject:** Vote for SB 3002, as recommended by the redistricting committee and has passed the Utah Senate.
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Dear Legislator,

I would like the Utah State Legislature to not make last-minute changes and to proceed to pass the map that was supported by the redistricting committee. Reject any last minute attempts to water down rural Utah's influence.

Support SB 3002, which was recommended by the redistrict ion committee and has already passed the Utah Senate.

Sincerely,

Constance M. Stewart

354 South 500 East

Saint George, UT 84770-3718

Phone - 435-628-7932

Email - stewart.connie@gmail.com

**From:** "Craig Shuler"
**To:** Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Kenneth Sumsion, Ralph Okerlund, Michael Waddoups
**Date:** 10/16/2011 8:12:59 PM
**Subject:** Consider McAdams/Cox, King S07 and King S12,
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Legislators,

By nearly any definition, the West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please do better.

To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered.

Rep. Sumsion explained to me last week the way the Utah House boundaries were done in a bi-partisan way. He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. Congratulations and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work.

Do the right thing now for the congressional districts. Substitute one of the bi-partisan or King Plans from SB3002. Show you are statesmen and stateswomen.

*Craig L. Shuler, CPA*
home 801-572-9167
cell 801-599-7378

**From:** "Craig Shuler"
**To:** Brian Doughty, Brian King, Carol Moss, Christine Watkins, David Litvack, Joel Briscoe, Jennifer Seelig, Lynn Hemingway, Larry Wiley, Marie Poulson, Mark Wheatley, Neal Hendrickson, Patrice Arent, Susan Duckworth, Tim Cosgrove, Ben McAdams, Karen Mayne, Karen Morgan, Luz Robles, Pat Jones, Ross Romero, , ,
**Date:** 10/16/2011 10:37:11 PM
**Subject:** Democrats, Consider McAdams/Cox, King S07 and King S12
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Democratic Legislators,

1. The McAdams / Cox "Modification of Hat and 3 Stripes" is apparently the only bipartisan map published since the late September adjournment. It is not as good as Rep. King's maps but as Sen. McAdams said at the SLC library last Tuesday, it may be the only one the supermajority will consider as a compromise.

2. By nearly any definition, the Republican-only West Valley to Payson doughnut-hole plans would create a gerrymander district around the hole, connecting south Davis County and San Juan County in an illogical way. I've stopped being angry, but please try to convince the Republicans to take a higher, less partisan road.

   To get from Woods Cross to Blanding by logical highways, SB3002 and Rep. Sumsion's proposed Substitutions 15 and 16 would require the congressman or woman to cross district boundary lines 6 times. Under the Froerer-Adams-Modified Sumsion 15 map he or she would have to cross boundary lines 4 times, but district one would become gerrymandered. THOSE PLANS ARE ALL EXTREMELY UNFAIR. If it were me, I would vote no on all of them this week.

3. Rep. Sumsion explained at the library that the Utah House boundaries, mostly developed by him, were done in a bi-partisan way. He reported that 3 of the 4 eliminated/consolidated Utah house seats were in slower-growing Republican districts and only 1 in slower-growing Democratic districts. If true, congratulations to him and the Utah House and thanks to the Redistricting committee and all its work on all the maps.

4. Of all congress maps submitted in October by a legislator, only Rep. King's proposed Substitution 7 (the Garber Plan) meets the criteria that ought to be the only criteria for congressional boundaries other than equal population. Those criteria keep districts logical, compact, and keep most communities together. They also keep neighboring communities and counties together.

   Rep. King's S12 looks like a fairly decent compromise between Garber's good points and replacing S3002's and Sumsion's West Valley-Provo doughnut hole with something more defensible. Would he be able to find some Republican in Central or southern Utah to sponsor it with him as bipartisan?

5. The only map I like better than Rep. King's is Brandon Plewe's NSEW modification of King's S07. It would split Salt Lake County north (SLC together) and south instead of east and west. Brandon's map, as he says,
   a. Divides cities and counties as little as possible. Only 3 counties are divided (Davis, SL, Utah), and each is only divided between two districts. Only two cities are divided: Bountiful and Pleasant Grove.
   b. Preserve rural regions: Northern Utah, Southern Utah, Uintah Basin
   c. Make districts as compact (near-circular) as possible. Unfortunately, to keep SLC & WVC intact, I had to divide Salt Lake County into North and South"

   I would add, because Brandon followed boundaries, there are also only three school districts that are divided between two districts—all in the largest districts in the state, Davis, Granite, and Alpine. Brandon accomplishes all this by throwing out the Redistricting committee's fetish of 2010 population variations at plus or minus 1 or 2 people or less. With Brandon at plus or minus 77 people and .01 of 1 percent he is well within statistical irrelevance. I am not a statistician, but I suspect anything up to .5 of one percent variance would be statistically irrelevant, legally supportable, and should be trumped by communities of interest.

   Would one of you submit Brandon's map one and have a vote on it so it is in the record?

6. Rep. King's proposed Substitutions S05 & S08 with the big rural doughnut is way too big. The other flaws I see in it are the way it divides nearby regions such as Nephi from Payson, Tooele from West Valley, Park City from Salt Lake City and Morgan from Ogden.

7. Rep. Litvack's Substitution S09 tries to divide Salt Lake Couny north and south, like Plewe's map does, but to me it connects rural counties to SL County in as illogical way as the Republican maps—around Great Salt Lake and over snowbound back roads to connect Rich to Morgan to Salt Lake Counties and not connecting either with Cache, Weber or Summit Counties.

I also left comments about most of the plans at the Redistrict Utah site. Sorry this is so long, but I have (perhaps naïve) hope that some of you will read and act on the above as talking points this week. Thanks for all you do.

*Craig L. Shuler, CPA*
Democratic State and Salt Lake County Delegate
home 801-572-9167
cell 801-599-7378

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | "Barbara Bannon" |
| **To:** | Brian King |
| **Date:** | 10/17/2011 2:16:54 AM |
| **Subject:** | Garber Citizen map |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

---

Dear Brian

As one of your constituents, I wanted to let you know that I support your endorsement of the Garber Citizen map because it seems to divide the districts more fairly than the others that have been proposed. I think it is absurd to chop up the city areas into tiny little pieces and portion them out among the rural parts of the state. The metropolitan areas should--as much as possible--be kept together; urban interests and issues have very little in common with rural ones, so it doesn't seem very sensible to lump these areas together.

Thank you for supporting a map that more fairly represents our interests in the Salt Lake Valley.

Barbara Bannon
1341 Colonial Circle
Salt Lake City 84108
(mailing address: P.O. Box 58476, SLC 84158)

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Q Dang |
| **To:** | Brian King, Fred Cox, Ben McAdams, Q Dang |
| **Date:** | 10/17/2011 5:31:21 AM |
| **Subject:** | A little humor before the big fight.... |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

---

Dear Representatives King, Cox, and Senator McAdams,

I now dub you...The Musketeers - Champions of the people!

Seriously, though, thank you for all you have done and are about to do.

"Life is a large chaplet of little miseries, which the philosopher shakes with a laugh. Be philosophers, like me, gentlemen..."-The Three Musketeers

Q.

**From:** Kelli Lundgren
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/17/2011 7:06:29 AM
**Subject:** Good Luck today!
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Hi Brian,

We'll be there in the public section whenever we can today in support. I wish you the best in presenting the King/Garber map for consideration. Whether or not the attempt succeeds, it will be a very worthy effort!

Kelli

RepresentMeUtah!

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | "Ellen Brady" |
| **BC:** | Brian King, Brian King |
| **Date:** | 10/17/2011 10:06:47 AM |
| **Subject:** | Redistricting |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

---

Dear Representative/Senator:

As you look at voting on a congressional redistricting plan today, I would encourage you to consider the King-Garber option, as it is the least offensive among the three maps put forward for (apparently final) consideration. That is, it appears to only split Salt Lake County into two pieces instead of three or four. As a resident of Utah's most populous county, I deeply resent the effort on the part of this legislature to ensure that our voices are so diluted that it cannot be heard. We will make them heard -- in the voting booth and in the court room if this patent absurdity continues. I am appalled by a process that has been conducted behind closed doors, has continued to ignore the input of the public in spite of a charade of seeking that input, and which (above all else) seeks only to ensure the ongoing dominance of the GOP in this state.

Ellen

Ellen Brady
801-272-1590

**From:** Brent Palmer
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy Peterson, John Mathis, Jennifer Seelig, Kay McIff, Keith Grover, Ken Ivory, Kraig Powell, Kenneth Sumsion, LaVar Christensen, Lee Perry, Lynn Hemingway, Larry Wiley, Marie Poulson, Mark Wheatley, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Mike Morley, Neal

Dear Congressmen & Congresswomen,
Thank you so much for your willingness to serve this great state.

The matter before you dealing with the congressional mapping is one of great import that we will all have to live with for the next decade. Please allow any personal agenda or ego to remain on the sidelines for this decision.

As a resident of Southern Utah I am very concerned about the lack of attention rural Utah is getting in this process. The map submitted by the committee and approved by the Senate was about as fair as I have seen for So. Utah (SB 3002). Please do not allow politics to get in the way of seeing what is right for all members of all 4 districts. I understand that Speaker Lockhart has openly supported Sumison 15&16. To rural Utah these maps are unacceptable! We are sick and tired of having SLC decide who represents us, as evidenced by the overwhelming support for Morgan Philpot in the last election. Please do not support the Sumison maps as they are drawn!

Do what is right for Utah.

Thanks,
Brent Palmer
St. George
435-467-1977

**From:** Patrick Burt
**To:** 'JonHarper', Rick Kaplan(rkaplan@aklawfirm.com), 'Barton Kunz', Katherine E. Judd(KEJ@ClydeSnow.com), 'Phil Dracht', crockettj@gmail.com, 'Steve Gaskill', joseph.linford@hill.af.mil, 'LoisBaar', 'Scott McCoy', 'felshaw King', Greg Sanders, 'ConnieNakahara', BHuff@murray.utah.gov, 'MikeBailey', 'Cortney Kochevar', 'Janelle Eurick', 'Kristine Larsen', 'Mark Pugsley', 'Andrew Buffmire', 'Candice Pitcher'
**CC:** Brian King, Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton, Anthony C. (SLC) (kaye@ballardspahr.com) Kaye, 'Jeffrey Eisenberg', Ben McAdams, laurienoda@gmail.com, bmark@parsonsbehle.com, 'Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com), Ross Romero

Dear Rapid Response Committee Members,

We have received our first request for legal research. As you all know, the legislature is trying to come up with redistricting maps. The UDLC has been in the forefront in the effort of making the Republican party draw fair, nonpartisan-based districts. As part of this effort, we have researched possible legal grounds on which to attack the new maps.

In response, the Republicans have now closed their redistricting meetings claiming an exemption based on "potential litigation". The UDLC has now been asked to provide a bullet point memo explaining Utah's open meeting laws and when/if an exemption exists in face of litigation. Does this assignment fall into anyone's expertise or is there anyone that would be able to quickly turn around a memo?

Please let me know if you are willing to take this assignment. There is some urgency, but a law review analysis is not needed. Thank you all in advance for your help.

Patrick Burt
Kipp & Christian, P.C.
Phone: 801-521-3773
Email: pburt@kippandchristian.com

**From:** Janelle Eurick Bauer
**To:** 'Jon Harper', 'Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com)', 'Barton Kunz', 'Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com)', 'Phil Dracht', 'crockettj@gmail.com', 'Steve Gaskill', 'joseph.linford@hill.af.mil', 'Lois Baar', 'Scott McCoy', 'felshaw King', 'Greg Sanders', 'Patrick Burt', 'Connie Nakahara', 'BHuff@murray.utah.gov', 'Mike Bailey', 'Cortney Kochevar', Kristine Larsen, Mark Pugsley, 'Andrew Buffmire', 'Candice Pitcher'
**CC:** Brian King, 'Blaine L. (SLC)Carlton', Anthony C. (SLC) (kaye@ballardspahr.com)' 'Kaye, 'Jeffrey Eisenberg', 'Ben McAdams', 'laurienoda@gmail.com', 'bmark@parsonsbehle.com', "Cynthia Daniels'(cdaniels@wcfgroup.com)', 'Ross Romero'

This is in my area, I used to focus on this act when I worked at the ACLU. The reason they are giving for closing the meeting is at Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(c) and the litigation has to be "pending" or "reasonably imminent." I am not aware of any case law expanding on what "reasonably imminent" means.

**Janelle Eurick Bauer | Ray Quinney & Nebeker P.C.** | 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: 801-323-3336 | Facsimile: 801-532-7543 | www.rqn.com

IRS Rules of Practice require us to inform you that advice, if any, in this email (including any attachments) concerning federal tax matters is not intended to be used, and cannot be used or relied upon for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, nor for promoting, marketing or recommending any transaction or matter addressed herein. This e-mail is sent by a law firm and contains information that may be privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and notify us immediately.

---

**From:** Patrick Burt [mailto:pburt@kippandchristian.com]
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 2:03 PM
**To:** Greg Sanders; 'Andrew Buffmire'; 'Barton Kunz'; 'Connie Nakahara'; 'Cortney Kochevar'; 'Cynthia Daniels'; 'felshaw King'; Janelle Eurick Bauer; 'Jon Harper'; Kristine Larsen; 'Lois Baar'; Mark Pugsley; 'Mike Bailey'; 'Phil Dracht'; 'Scott McCoy'; 'Steve Gaskill'; 'Candice Pitcher'; joseph.linford@hill.af.mil; BHuff@murray.utah.gov; Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com); Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com); crockettj@gmail.com
**Cc:** 'Jeffrey Eisenberg'; Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton; Ross Romero; briansking@utah.gov; Ben McAdams; 'Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com); laurienoda@gmail.com; Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC) (kaye@ballardspahr.com); bmark@parsonsbehle.com
**Subject:** Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws

Dear Rapid Response Committee Members,

We have received our first request for legal research. As you all know, the legislature is trying to come up with redistricting maps. The UDLC has been in the forefront in the effort of making the Republican party draw fair, nonpartisan-based districts. As part of this effort, we have researched possible legal grounds on which to attack the new maps.

In response, the Republicans have now closed their redistricting meetings claiming an exemption based on "potential litigation". The UDLC has now been asked to provide a bullet point memo explaining Utah's open meeting laws and when/if an exemption exists in face of litigation. Does this assignment fall into anyone's expertise or is there anyone that would be able to quickly turn around a memo?

Please let me know if you are willing to take this assignment. There is some urgency, but a law review analysis is not needed. Thank you all in advance for your help.

Patrick Burt
Kipp & Christian, P.C.
Phone: 801-521-3773
Email: pburt@kippandchristian.com

**From:** "Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC)"
**To:** Jon Harper, , Barton Kunz, , Phil Dracht, , Steve Gaskill, , Lois Baar, Scott McCoy, felshawKing, Greg Sanders, Patrick Burt, Connie Nakahara, , Mike Bailey, Cortney Kochevar, Janelle Eurick Bauer, KristineLarsen, Mark Pugsley, Andrew Buffmire, Candice Pitcher
**CC:** Brian King, Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton, Jeffrey Eisenberg, Ben McAdams, , , , Ross Romero
**Date:** 10/17/2011 3:52:07 PM
**Subject:** RE: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Under the definition of "public body" in the Open and Public Meetings Act, a political caucus is not a public body. Are they trying to close actual meetings of the legislature or a committee? Take a look at 52-4-103(8)(a). It also says a conference committee, rules committee or "sifting" committee (could they mean "sitting") is not a public body.

As for the threat of litigation, assuming the meetings involve a public body, they can only be closed for "*strategy sessions* to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation." Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(c). They are discussing legislation, not strategizing over the litigation, whether it is imminent or not.

Anthony C. Kaye
**Ballard Spahr LLP**
201 South Main Street, Suite 800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
D: 801.531.3069
M: 801.309.5600
kaye@ballardspahr.com / www.ballardspahr.com

---

**From:** Janelle Eurick Bauer [mailto:jbauer@rqn.com]
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 2:28 PM
**To:** 'Patrick Burt'; 'Greg Sanders'; 'Andrew Buffmire'; 'Barton Kunz'; 'Connie Nakahara'; 'Cortney Kochevar'; 'Cynthia Daniels'; 'felshaw King'; 'Jon Harper'; Kristine Larsen; 'Lois Baar'; Mark Pugsley; 'Mike Bailey'; 'Phil Dracht'; 'Scott McCoy'; 'Steve Gaskill'; 'Candice Pitcher'; 'joseph.linford@hill.af.mil'; 'BHuff@murray.utah.gov'; 'Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com)'; 'Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com)'; 'crockettj@gmail.com'
**Cc:** 'Jeffrey Eisenberg'; Carlton, Blaine L. (SLC); 'Ross Romero'; 'briansking@utah.gov'; 'Ben McAdams'; "Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com)'; 'laurienoda@gmail.com'; Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC); 'bmark@parsonsbehle.com'
**Subject:** RE: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws

This is in my area, I used to focus on this act when I worked at the ACLU. The reason they are giving for closing the meeting is at Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(c) and the litigation has to be "pending" or "reasonably imminent." I am not aware of any case law expanding on what "reasonably imminent" means.

**Janelle Eurick Bauer | Ray Quinney & Nebeker P.C.** | 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 | Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: 801-323-3336 | Facsimile: 801-532-7543 | www.rqn.com

IRS Rules of Practice require us to inform you that advice, if any, in this email (including any attachments) concerning federal tax matters is not intended to be used, and cannot be used or relied upon for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, nor for promoting, marketing or recommending any transaction or matter addressed herein. This e-mail is sent by a law firm and contains information that may be privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and notify us immediately.

---

**From:** Patrick Burt [mailto:pburt@kippandchristian.com]
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 2:03 PM
**To:** Greg Sanders; 'Andrew Buffmire'; 'Barton Kunz'; 'Connie Nakahara'; 'Cortney Kochevar'; 'Cynthia Daniels'; 'felshaw King'; Janelle Eurick Bauer; 'Jon Harper'; Kristine Larsen; 'Lois Baar'; Mark Pugsley; 'Mike Bailey'; 'Phil Dracht'; 'Scott McCoy'; 'Steve Gaskill'; 'Candice Pitcher'; joseph.linford@hill.af.mil; BHuff@murray.utah.gov; Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com); Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com); crockettj@gmail.com
**Cc:** 'Jeffrey Eisenberg'; Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton; Ross Romero; briansking@utah.gov; Ben McAdams; 'Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com); laurienoda@gmail.com; Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC) (kaye@ballardspahr.com); bmark@parsonsbehle.com
**Subject:** Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws

Dear Rapid Response Committee Members,

We have received our first request for legal research. As you all know, the legislature is trying to come up with redistricting maps. The UDLC has been in the forefront in the effort of making the Republican party draw fair, nonpartisan-based districts. As part of this effort, we have researched possible legal grounds on which to attack the new maps.

In response, the Republicans have now closed their redistricting meetings claiming an exemption based on "potential litigation". The UDLC has now been asked to provide a bullet point memo explaining Utah's open meeting laws and when/if an exemption exists in face of litigation. Does this assignment fall into anyone's expertise or is there anyone that would be able to quickly turn around a memo?

Please let me know if you are willing to take this assignment. There is some urgency, but a law review analysis is not needed. Thank you all in advance for your help.

Patrick Burt

**From:** Charlie Treft
**To:** Julie Fisher, Bradley Daw, Brad Dee, Becky Edwards, Brad Galvez, Bill Wright, Brad Last, Becky Lockhart, Brad Wilson, Brian King, Chris Herrod, Curtis Oda, Carol Moss, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Carl Wimmer, David Butterfield, David Clark, Derek Brown, Don IPSON, David Litvack, Doug Sagers, Dixon Pitcher, Dean Sanpei, Eric Hutchings, Evan Vickers, Francis Gibson, Fred Cox, Gage Froerer, Greg Hughes, Holly Richardson, Johnny Anderson, Janice Fisher, Jim Bird, Joel Briscoe, Jack Draxler, Jim Dunnigan, Jeremy Peterson, John Mathis, Jennifer Seelig, Kay McIff, Keith Grover, Ken Ivory, Kraig Powell, Kenneth Sumsion, LaVar Christensen, Lee Perry, Lynn Hemingway, Larry Wiley, Marie Poulson, Mark Wheatley, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Mike Morley, Neal

---

I just watched the news and saw with surprise exactly what my government should not be doing. I wrote to you earlier asking for your support for the McAdams-Cox Redistricting map. Now I see what I have asked before to not be done just keep going on without regard to us, the voters. I beg of you stop this nonsense! The people of Utah are calling on you to submit equal representation without this political intrigue.
I propose the McAdams-Cox map for due consideration. It may not be perfect, but it is way better than anything you have so far put out there. I also ask you to do this in open session and not behind locked doors. It is time to move on.
Sincerely;
Charles P. Treft
Layton, Utah

**From:** Kelli
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/17/2011 8:19:15 PM
**Subject:** Re: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Brian, if you get a chance, tell the House this new map has not been given to the public for input. Thank you for everything today.

Kelli
RepresentMeUtah

*Connected by DRO ID on Verizon Wireless*

-----Original message-----

**From:** Brian King <briansking@utah.gov>
**To:** kelli@xmission.com
**Sent:** Fri, Oct 14, 2011 17:03:51 GMT+00:00
**Subject:** Re: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday

Kelli, somehow I think I can bring myself to vote for this map! ;-)

>>> Kelli Lundgren 10/14/11 10:23 AM >>>
Dear Representative King,

(Somehow I believe you will support this map, Brian!)

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).
http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king

Please vote for this compromise map. I know you have worked very diligently for the people of Utah, and I thank you for it.

Sincerely,
Kelli Lundgren

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Kelli |
| **To:** | Brian King |
| **Date:** | 10/17/2011 8:55:11 PM |
| **Subject:** | Re: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday |
| **Attachments:** | Mime.822 |

---

The map was done at the last minute without close consideration. Committee maps were better as you are highlighting. Thanks!

*Connected by DRO ID on Verizon Wireless*

-----Original message-----

**From:** Brian King <briansking@utah.gov>
**To:** kelli@xmission.com
**Sent:** Tue, Oct 18, 2011 02:39:50 GMT+00:00
**Subject:** Re: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday

Oh we'll do that.

Sent from myTouch 4G

----- Reply message -----
From: "Kelli "
To: "Brian King"
Subject: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday
Date: Mon, Oct 17, 2011 8:19 pm

>>> "Kelli " 2011-10-17T20:38:02.972094>>>
Brian, if you get a chance, tell the House this new map has not been given to the public for input. Thank you for everything today.

Kelli
RepresentMeUtah

Connected by DROID on Verizon Wireless

-----Original message-----
From: Brian King
To: kelli@xmission.com
Sent: Fri, Oct 14, 2011 17:03:51 GMT+00:00
Subject: Re: Please vote for the King/Garber map on Monday

Kelli, somehow I think I can bring myself to vote for this map! ;-)

>>> Kelli Lundgren 10/14/11 10:23 AM >>>
Dear Representative King,

(Somehow I believe you will support this map, Brian!)

As a citizen of Utah, I urge you to VOTE NO on the current map being discussed in the House.

I have studied the maps and I would suggest to you that the best map, based on contiguousness and compactness, is the Garber Citizen Map (modified, sponsored by Representative Brian King).
http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-rep-king-garber-modified-king

Please vote for this compromise map. I know you have worked very diligently for the people of Utah, and I thank you for it.

**From:** "Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC)"
**To:** , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
**CC:** Brian King, Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton, , , , ,
**Date:** 10/18/2011 6:33:43 AM
**Subject:** Re: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

I sent the Governor the following and suggest as many of you as possible write something similar.

Governor Herbert:

I ask that you veto the congressional map passed by the Legislature last night, which was created behind the closed doors of the Republican Caucus and made public shortly before being voted on -- as I understand it, many legislators had less than an hour to study the map before it was voted on. That kind of process violates the public trust, and you should not tolerate it.

I understand the Legislature met behind closed doors to discuss the congressional map because of the possibility of litigation concerning its constitutionality. The exception to the open meetings laws that deal with litigation allows decision makers to "strategize" about litigation behind closed doors, not make decisions about future legislation behind closed doors in order to avoid public scrutiny. These maps will affect Utah citizens and the manner in which their voices are heard in Washington for 10 years.

Please tell the Legislature you will not abide a map that does not respect communities of interest, has districts that are not compact, is designed to disenfranchise voters based on their political beliefs, and was passed without any public input.

Thank you for you consideration,

Anthony C. Kaye
Director, Utah Democratic Lawyers Council

Anthony C. Kaye
Ballard Spahr LLP
201 South Main Street, Suite 800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Direct: 801.531.3069
Main: 801.531.3000
Mobile: 801.309.5600
Fax: 801.321.9069
kaye@ballardspahr.com /www.ballardspahr.com

---

**From:** Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC)
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 03:52 PM
**To:** 'Janelle Eurick Bauer' <jbauer@rqn.com>; 'Patrick Burt' <pburt@kippandchristian.com>; 'Greg Sanders' <gjsanders@kippandchristian.com>; 'Andrew Buffmire' <abuffmire@sigset.com>; 'Barton Kunz' <bart.kunz@chrisjen.com>; 'Connie Nakahara' <nakahara23@msn.com>; 'Cortney Kochevar' <cortney-kochevar@rbmn.com>; 'Cynthia Daniels' <cdaniels@wcfgroup.com>; 'felshaw King' <fking@kingfirm.com>; 'Jon Harper' <jharper@aklawfirm.com>; Kristine Larsen <klarsen@RQN.COM>; 'Lois Baar' <lbaar@hollandhart.com>; Mark Pugsley <mpugsley@RQN.COM>; 'Mike Bailey' <MBailey@parsonsbehle.com>; 'Phil Dracht' <pdracht@fabianlaw.com>; 'Scott McCoy' <mccoy@howrey.com>; 'Steve Gaskill' <steven.gaskill@gmail.com>; 'Candice Pitcher' <Candice.Pitcher@spservicing.com>; 'joseph.linford@hill.af.mil' <joseph.linford@hill.af.mil>; 'BHuff@murray.utah.gov' <BHuff@murray.utah.gov>; 'Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com)' <rkaplan@aklawfirm.com>; 'Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com)' <KEJ@ClydeSnow.com>; 'crockettj@gmail.com' <crockettj@gmail.com>
**Cc:** 'Jeffrey Eisenberg' <JEisenberg@braytonlaw.com>; Carlton, Blaine L. (SLC); 'Ross Romero' <Ross.Romero@zionsbank.com>; 'briansking@utah.gov' <briansking@utah.gov>; 'Ben McAdams' <benmcadams@gmail.com>; "Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com)' <cdaniels@wcfgroup.com>; 'laurienoda@gmail.com' <laurienoda@gmail.com>; 'bmark@parsonsbehle.com' <bmark@parsonsbehle.com>
**Subject:** RE: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws

Under the definition of "public body" in the Open and Public Meetings Act, a political caucus is not a public body. Are they trying to close actual meetings of the legislature or a committee? Take a look at 52-4-103(8)(a). It also says a conference committee, rules committee or "sifting" committee (could they mean "sitting") is not a public body.

As for the threat of litigation, assuming the meetings involve a public body, they can only be closed for "*strategy sessions* to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation." Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(c). They are discussing legislation, not strategizing over the litigation, whether it is imminent or not.

Anthony C. Kaye
**Ballard Spahr LLP**
201 South Main Street, Suite 800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
D: 801.531.3069
M: 801.309.5600
kaye@ballardspahr.com / www.ballardspahr.com

**From:** Ben McAdams
**To:** Anthony C. (SLC) Kaye
**CC:** Brian King, , , Blaine L. (SLC) Carlton, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
**Date:** 10/18/2011 7:01:17 AM
**Subject:** Re: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

I suggest you remove me and any other legislators from this email chain if the subject matter relates to redistricting, potential litigation or other strategy. Our correspondence on this matter, even on our personal email accounts, is arguably subject to public disclosure under GRAMA and is likely to be disclosed if the party proceeds with a lawsuit.

Ben

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 18, 2011, at 6:33 AM, "Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC)" <Kaye@ballardspahr.com> wrote:

> I sent the Governor the following and suggest as many of you as possible write something similar.
>
> Governor Herbert:
>
> I ask that you veto the congressional map passed by the Legislature last night, which was created behind the closed doors of the Republican Caucus and made public shortly before being voted on -- as I understand it, many legislators had less than an hour to study the map before it was voted on. That kind of process violates the public trust, and you should not tolerate it.
>
> I understand the Legislature met behind closed doors to discuss the congressional map because of the possibility of litigation concerning its constitutionality. The exception to the open meetings laws that deal with litigation allows decision makers to "strategize" about litigation behind closed doors, not make decisions about future legislation behind closed doors in order to avoid public scrutiny. These maps will affect Utah citizens and the manner in which their voices are heard in Washington for 10 years.
>
> Please tell the Legislature you will not abide a map that does not respect communities of interest, has districts that are not compact, is designed to disenfranchise voters based on their political beliefs, and was passed without any public input.
>
> Thank you for you consideration,
>
> Anthony C. Kaye
> Director, Utah Democratic Lawyers Council
>
> Anthony C. Kaye
> Ballard Spahr LLP
> 201 South Main Street, Suite 800
> Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
> Direct: 801.531.3069
> Main: 801.531.3000
> Mobile: 801.309.5600
> Fax: 801.321.9069
> kaye@ballardspahr.com /www.ballardspahr.com
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Kaye, Anthony C. (SLC)
> **Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2011 03:52 PM
> **To:** 'Janelle Eurick Bauer' <jbauer@rqn.com>; 'Patrick Burt' <pburt@kippandchristian.com>; 'Greg Sanders' <gjsanders@kippandchristian.com>; 'Andrew Buffmire' <abuffmire@sigset.com>; 'Barton Kunz' <bart.kunz@chrisjen.com>; 'Connie Nakahara' <nakahara23@msn.com>; 'Cortney Kochevar' <cortney-kochevar@rbmn.com>; 'Cynthia Daniels' <cdaniels@wcfgroup.com>; 'felshaw King' <fking@kingfirm.com>; 'Jon Harper' <jharper@aklawfirm.com>; Kristine Larsen <klarsen@RQN.COM>; 'Lois Baar' <lbaar@hollandhart.com>; Mark Pugsley <mpugsley@RQN.COM>; 'Mike Bailey' <MBailey@parsonsbehle.com>; 'Phil Dracht' <pdracht@fabianlaw.com>; 'Scott McCoy' <mccoy@howrey.com>; 'Steve Gaskill' <steven.gaskill@gmail.com>; 'Candice Pitcher' <Candice.Pitcher@spservicing.com>; 'joseph.linford@hill.af.mil' <joseph.linford@hill.af.mil>; 'BHuff@murray.utah.gov' <BHuff@murray.utah.gov>; 'Rick Kaplan (rkaplan@aklawfirm.com)' <rkaplan@aklawfirm.com>; 'Katherine E. Judd (KEJ@ClydeSnow.com)' <KEJ@ClydeSnow.com>; 'crockettj@gmail.com' <crockettj@gmail.com>
> **Cc:** 'Jeffrey Eisenberg' <JEisenberg@braytonlaw.com>; Carlton, Blaine L. (SLC); 'Ross Romero' <Ross.Romero@zionsbank.com>; 'briansking@utah.gov' <briansking@utah.gov>; 'Ben McAdams' <benmcadams@gmail.com>; "Cynthia Daniels' (cdaniels@wcfgroup.com)' <cdaniels@wcfgroup.com>; 'laurienoda@gmail.com' <laurienoda@gmail.com>; 'bmark@parsonsbehle.com' <bmark@parsonsbehle.com>
> **Subject:** RE: Legal Research re: Utah's Open Meeting Laws
>
> Under the definition of "public body" in the Open and Public Meetings Act, a political caucus is not a public body. Are they trying to close actual meetings of the legislature or a committee? Take a look at 52-4-103(8)(a). It also says a conference committee, rules committee or "sifting" committee (could they mean "sitting") is not a public body.
>
> As for the threat of litigation, assuming the meetings involve a public body, they can only be closed for "*strategy sessions* to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation." Utah Code Section 52-4-205(1)(c). They are discussing legislation, not strategizing over the litigation, whether it is imminent or not.

**From:** Q Dang
**To:** Brian King, Fred Cox, Ben McAdams, Q Dang
**Date:** 10/18/2011 11:10:54 AM
**Subject:** One definition of compactness
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

Dear Representatives King, Cox, and Senator McAdams,

I know all three of you have had a long day/night yesterday, and redistricting may be the last thing on your mind, but the Governor is now going to play his part and the "game ball" is still live.

I've gotten this question from several people in the public now, which haven't been involved with redistricting until news of the congressional map passing reached them. The question is, "What is compactness?"

My response, "The most compact geometric object is a circle. When you look at all the other maps proposed, like the Garber Citizen's Map, and measure the borders of the districts, they all should have relative close numbers from an approximate center." This current map is not the most compact. (In fact - three donuts holes - circles - would).

If you have a better answer or response please let me know.

I have nothing but admiration for you all,
Q.
801-243-3180

**From:** Kelli Lundgren
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/19/2011 10:45:55 AM
**Subject:** Thank You
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Hi Brian,

I was so busy yesterday, I didn't have time to get some thank you's out. So here I go!

Thank you so much for your last minute efforts and excellent presentation of the King/Garber map to the House. It was a scramble, but worthwhile.

RepresentMeUtah, Utah Citizens Counsel, Bowling Together and others have been sending emails to the Governor requesting a veto of the passed map. Even my extended family is now upset about this and writing! I wish we had this wide spread passion before.

RepresentMeUtah is asking the Governor to look at the King/Garber modified map as the alternative. I don't think we have much leverage. He hasn't responded.

I will be at the Town Hall meeting today at the Capitol.

Every effort you have made is appreciated!

Kelli

RepresentMeUtah!

**From:** Ellie Brownstein
**To:** Brian King
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:51:10 PM
**Subject:** Thank You
**Attachments:** Mime.822

Brian,

Thank you for all of your efforts on our behalf during the redistricting. I understand that not many listened but thank you for trying.

Ellie & Peter

**From:** "Cathey Lukes"
**To:** Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Don IPSON, Gage Froerer, Kenneth Sumsion, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser, Ben McAdams, Gene Davis, Kevin VanTassell, Ralph Okerlund, Stuart Reid, Michael Waddoups,
**CC:** Governor Gary Herbert
**Date:** 10/19/2011 3:00:52 PM
**Subject:** The Utah Legislature has committed a crime against humanity.
**Attachments:** Mime.822

---

From the Utah County Daily Herald http://www.heraldextra.com/news/opinion/article_a2bbeea5-72c3-54f2-ae95-d6ceb1e5423e.html?mode=story

The subject was taken from the Utah County Daily Herald. I couldn't agree more. The process of the Utah Legislature inviting the public to give them input on how the districts should be drawn and spending $1,000,000.00 on software to do it was nothing but a sham. I am completely disgusted and appalled at the arrogance and pompous attitudes shown by you on Monday night. It is ridiculous and another embarrassment to the state of Utah. I have NOTHING in common with people in rural areas just like they have requested during the entire process that they have nothing in common with those in urban areas. I also don't have anything in common with people in Bountiful or Kamas, etc. What would Jesus do? Certainly not this!

I don't forget, and this time I will be joining both Democrats and Republicans in revolt against this map and the lies we have been told.

Catherine A. Lukes
Registered Voter
1853 South 600 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Lindsay Zizumbo |
| **To:** | Brian King |
| **CC:** | Jerry Howe |
| **Date:** | 10/19/2011 3:41:32 PM |
| **Subject:** | Speaking Invitation |
| **Attachments:** | image001.png, Mime.822 |

Representative King:

My name is Lindsay Zizumbo, I manage the state and local internship program at the Hinckley Institute of Politics. You did a great job in today's forum and we appreciate you taking the time out of your day to come up. The reason for my email is to see if you are available/willing to speak to Dr. Dan Jones and Jerry Howe's – Legislative Prep Course on Monday, October 24, from 2:30-3:30 pm, at the University of Utah's Hinckley Institute of Politics. This course that prepares the Hinckley interns for the next legislative session. We would like you to speak on the process of redistricting (again). It would be a panel discussion with Rep. Sumsion (invited) or possibly Stuart Reid (or anybody else that you recommend??) Our hope is that the students in the class can better understand the process and outcome from an insider's perspective. We would be delighted if you speak to the class. Please let me know if you are available.

Thank you,

New Picture

**Lindsay A. Zizumbo**
Program Manager – State and Local
Hinckley Institute of Politics, University of Utah
260 S. Central Campus Dr. Rm. 253
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
Phone: 801.581.8501 Fax: 801.581.6277

*Learn about upcoming events and internship opportunities at the Hinckley Institute www.hinckley.utah.edu.*

| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Lindsay Zizumbo |
| **To:** | Brian King |
| **CC:** | Jerry Howe |
| **Date:** | 10/21/2011 9:12:59 AM |
| **Subject:** | RE: Speaking Invitation |
| **Attachments:** | image001.png, Mime.822 |

Brian,

Thank you so much! We look forward to seeing you on Monday at 2:30.

Best,

New Picture

-----Original Message-----
From: Brian King [mailto:briansking@utah.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2011 9:31 PM
To: Lindsay Zizumbo
Cc: Jerry Howe
Subject: Re: Speaking Invitation

Hi Lindsay--

Sorry I didn't get back to you yesterday. I'd be happy to speak on Monday. See you at 2:30.

Brian
>>> Lindsay Zizumbo 10/19/11 3:43 PM >>>
Representative King:

My name is Lindsay Zizumbo, I manage the state and local internship program at the Hinckley Institute of Politics. You did a great job in today's forum and we appreciate you taking the time out of your day to come up. The reason for my email is to see if you are available/willing to speak to Dr. Dan Jones and Jerry Howe's - Legislative Prep Course on Monday, October 24, from 2:30-3:30 pm, at the University of Utah's Hinckley Institute of Politics. This course that prepares the Hinckley interns for the next legislative session. We would like you to speak on the process of redistricting (again). It would be a panel discussion with Rep. Sumsion (invited) or possibly Stuart Reid (or anybody else that you recommend??) Our hope is that the students in the class can better understand the process and outcome from an insider's perspective. We would be delighted if you speak to the class. Please let me know if you are available.

Thank you,

[cid:image001.png@01CC8D7D.F1264FB0]

**From:** "Cathey Lukes"
**To:** Governor Gary Herbert, Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Don IPSON, Gage Froerer, Kenneth Sumsion, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser, Ben McAdams, Gene Davis, Kevin VanTassell, Ralph Okerlund, Stuart Reid, Michael Waddoups, 'Gibson'
**CC:**
**Date:** 10/21/2011 10:21:26 AM
**Subject:** Petition Fed Government to become Our Own Country

Since Governor Herbert thought it was in the best interests of the Utah taxpayers to approve one of the most ridiculous and heartless redistricting maps ever, it has become apparent to me and many others that this state should become its own country. Obviously you have no respect for diversity, honor, or tolerance of people different from you. I believe there were 19 legislators who voted NO and for those people, I have a great deal of respect!

Let me also remind you that being religious isn't going to church on Sunday, it's all about how you treat your brothers and sisters 24 x 7 x 365 days per year! Obviously, you have forgotten what Jesus Christ's teachings are all about! For those who supported this atrocity, you are absolutely despicable!

I vote every election and I am not one of your blind followers!

Catherine A. Lukes
1853 South 600 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

**From:** Michael Waddoups
**To:** Governor Gary Herbert, Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Don IPSON, Gage Froerer, Kenneth Sumsion, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser, Ben McAdams, Gene Davis, Kevin VanTassell, Ralph Okerlund, Stuart Reid, Cathey Lukes, 'Gibson'
**CC:**
**Date:** 10/21/2011 11:15:13 AM
**Subject:** Re: Petition Fed Government to become Our Own Country

How did this become a religious issue? If 19 voted no and 85 voted yes, and they are elected to represent their constituents, this tells me a much larger story.

Senator Michael Waddoups
Representing Taylorsville & West Jordan
http://www.utahsenate.org
>>> Cathey Lukes <calukes@comcast.net> 10/21/2011 10:21 AM >>>
Since Governor Herbert thought it was in the best interests of the Utah taxpayers to approve one of the most ridiculous and heartless redistricting maps ever, it has become apparent to me and many others that this state should become its own country. Obviously you have no respect for diversity, honor, or tolerance of people different from you. I believe there were 19 legislators who voted NO and for those people, I have a great deal of respect!

Let me also remind you that being religious isn't going to church on Sunday, it's all about how you treat your brothers and sisters 24 x 7 x 365 days per year! Obviously, you have forgotten what Jesus Christ's teachings are all about! For those who supported this atrocity, you are absolutely despicable!

I vote every election and I am not one of your blind followers!

Catherine A. Lukes
1853 South 600 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

**From:** "Cathey Lukes"
**To:** Governor Gary Herbert, Becky Lockhart, Brian King, Curt Webb, Christine Watkins, Don IPSON, Gage Froerer, Kenneth Sumsion, Melvin Brown, Merlynn Newbold, Roger Barrus, Todd Kiser, Ben McAdams, Gene Davis, Kevin VanTassell, Ralph Okerlund, Stuart Reid, Michael Waddoups, 'Gibson'
**CC:**
**Date:** 10/21/2011 11:23:25 AM
**Subject:** RE: Petition Fed Government to become Our Own Country

How isn't it? It is so pitifully obvious! You held meetings for months and chose to ignore what the citizens of Utah asked for over and over and over again. Don't you read the papers? Opinion sections? Really?

---

**From:** Michael Waddoups [mailto:waddoups@utahsenate.org]
**Sent:** Friday, October 21, 2011 11:15 AM
**To:** Cathey Lukes; Becky Lockhart; Brian King; Curt Webb; Christine Watkins; Don IPSON; Gage Froerer; Governor Gary Herbert; 'Gibson'; Kenneth Sumsion; Melvin Brown; Merlynn Newbold; Roger Barrus; Todd Kiser; Ben McAdams; Gene Davis; Kevin VanTassell; Ralph Okerlund; Stuart Reid
**Cc:** RepresentMeUtah@gmail.com
**Subject:** Re: Petition Fed Government to become Our Own Country

How did this become a religious issue? If 19 voted no and 85 voted yes, and they are elected to represent their constituents, this tells me a much larger story.

Senator Michael Waddoups
Representing Taylorsville & West Jordan
http://www.utahsenate.org
>>> Cathey Lukes <calukes@comcast.net> 10/21/2011 10:21 AM >>>
Since Governor Herbert thought it was in the best interests of the Utah taxpayers to approve one of the most ridiculous and heartless redistricting maps ever, it has become apparent to me and many others that this state should become its own country. Obviously you have no respect for diversity, honor, or tolerance of people different from you. I believe there were 19 legislators who voted NO and for those people, I have a great deal of respect!

Let me also remind you that being religious isn't going to church on Sunday, it's all about how you treat your brothers and sisters 24 x 7 x 365 days per year! Obviously, you have forgotten what Jesus Christ's teachings are all about! For those who supported this atrocity, you are absolutely despicable!

I vote every election and I am not one of your blind followers!

Catherine A. Lukes
1853 South 600 East
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

**From:** Spencer nitz
**To:** Redistricting Committee, Redistricting Committee Staff
**Date:** 9/15/2011 12:52:49 PM
**Subject:** Hinckley Forum

Hello,

I have been asked by a staff member at the Hinckley Institute of Politics (at the University of Utah), if there is a possibility to have several members of the Redistricting Committee (staff included) to appear at a forum they are trying to plan. We are looking for about 3 members. They had mentioned sometime around the 6th of October, which of course is the week of Special Session, which I mentioned to him. They said they are willing to work around your schedule.

The forums are usually an hour long, with about 30-45 minutes dedicated to panel members speaking, and about 15-20 minutes devoted to questions from the audience.

I think this is an awesome opportunity to explain to college students (my peers) how redistricting actually works. I have become significantly more enlightened on the process due to my experience and working with each of you, and feel this forum would be beneficial to those who don't actually understand how it works.

If you are interested, please let me know via email, or you can reach me on my cell at 801.598.9999. We will try to nail down a time based on who wants to attend.

Thanks for your help!

Spencer Nitz
Utah State Senate
801.538.1035 (o)
801.598.9999 (c)

**From:** Spencer nitz
**To:** Redistricting Committee, Redistricting Committee Staff
**Date:** 9/15/2011 2:28:02 PM
**Subject:** Hinckley Forum Dates

---

Hello again,

Sorry for the bombardment of emails. I spoke to the guy who directs them, and it looks like we'll be ok to push it back to the week after Special Session, so we have time to breath, more or less.

Thanks again.

Spencer Nitz
Utah State Senate
801.538.1035 (o)
801.598.9999 (c)

**From:** Rhoda Struhs
**To:** Leaders-home
**Date:** 9/19/2011 10:39:19 AM
**Subject:** Summary - Conference Call 9-18

---

Summary - Conference Call 9-18

1) Redistricting: a meeting with caucus members was set for Monday, Sept 26 at 6:00pm in the caucus room. RHODA will email the caucus and take dinner orders (David is buying), and will contact LRGC staff to confirm that we have the capability to view maps in the caucus room. BRIAN will check that staff is available to attend the meeting.

2) Breakfast: RHODA will contact Jen about appreciation gifts.

3) EAC / Leg Mgmt agendas: RHODA will ask Mike C to add Redistricting Special Session and SB2 to the Tuesday staff meeting agenda.

4) Media Availability: there will be no media availability this Interim. Holly is out of town. RHODA will let Janeen know.

5) Rules Committee: RHODA will check with Joe Pyrah to see if there is a possibility of the Rules Committee meeting during the Redistricting Special Session. If the committee will not be meeting at that time, leadership will defer the appointment until after the special session.

6) Democratic Lawyers: BRIAN will email an invitation to the caucus for a meeting with the Democratic Lawyers on Wed, Sept. 21 at 8:30am in the caucus room. RHODA will reserve the room.