

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 6:54:29 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Joel Nelson <jnjnelson@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Asterisk (unregistered):

Who calls this democracy? Â Democracy is two wolves and a sheep deciding what is for dinner. Â Our form of government is a republic, not a democracy. Â Thankfully.

Link to comment

IP address: 63.237.195.43

Asterisk (unregistered) wrote:

Just shows the reactionary politic here in UT. And for a brief moment I thought it was changing. What a travesty that calls itself democracy. Sad.

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 6:53:15 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Hjmercadopr <hjmercadopr@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

This entire issue is just a smoke-screen which goal is to create instability among Utah conservatives during this important election time. Conservatives unite; stay focused. Elect good CONSERVATIVE-Constitutional minded candidates. Keep Washington at check.

Link to comment

IP address: 131.75.34.70

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 6:51:09 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

DISQUS

Joel Nelson <jnjnelson@gmail.com> wrote, in response to VIGGOE (unregistered):

Every single person in Utah has a representative in the Utah state house and the Utah state senate. Â So in this case, everyone was represented. Â Just because this map passed with neither public input nor public support does not make this map in some way less valid than any other map that was submitted. We live in a republic, not a democracy; therefore, our government is managed by our representatives, not by "public" input and support. Â If you don't like the form of government of our nation, you live in the wrong nation and nothing is stopping you from moving to a nation with a different form of government. Â Personally, I like our form of government, even if I don't always like the decisions made by our representatives.

Claims of gerrymandering are also without merit. Â There is no evidence that the political affiliation of constituents played any role in where the boundaries of the congressional districts were decided. Â The fact that the population of the districts only varies by a single constituent is very impressive. Â The votes on this bill were not only made by republicans, nor were they only made by democrats. Â The thing we have to remember is that every representative has their own vote, and we should hold each individual responsible for their vote, not an entire political party. If you don't like how your representatives voted, I recommend that you contact your representatives. Â Any way around it, though I don't like the fact that my U.S. Congressman changed to someone I would not have voted for, and who does not vote the way I like, I'm willing to honor the will of the Utah legislature and move forward, instead of bickering about the past. Â If Governor Herbert chooses to veto the redistricting bill, that's another story, because that's in the future. Â However, it does nobody any good Â to gripe about the results of a perfectly ethical and legal (and transparent) process.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 63.237.195.43

VIGGOE (unregistered) wrote:

The point is the redistricting committee heard months of public comment and then ignored it all and created and passed a map which had neither public input or public support.Â Who was represented by that?

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 3:02:13 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Richard C. Shipp <starshipcaptain@gmail.com> wrote:

Looks good to me.Â RCS

Link to comment

IP address: 75.169.232.160

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 1:57:14 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

VIGGOE <viggoe@aol.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to Joel Nelson:

The point is the redistricting committee heard months of public comment and then ignored it all and created and passed a map which had neither public input or public support. Who was represented by that?

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.175.77

Joel Nelson wrote:

This entire discussion is proof positive that this process has been more transparent than it has ever been. If you think it could be more transparent, work on making it more transparent for next time we have to redistrict. The legislature has passed this, as is their responsibility and stewardship. It's done, people. Live with it and move on with your lives - it isn't worth wasting any more time on.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 1:54:06 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



VIGGOE <viggoe@aol.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to jjl9:

Still, to divide individual cities in Salt Lake, Davis, and Utah counties does not make any sense. Yes, the boundary has to be drawn somewhere, but look at a map and use a natural or municipal boundary, not just along I15 because it looks nice on a map.

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.175.77

jjl9 wrote:

The boundary lines have to be somewhere, and no matter where they are you can cross them in one step. You make it sound like living in the same county should somehow automatically unite you. Does everyone in your county agree on everything? Vote the same? Of course they don't. Why would living in the same county as someone else somehow dictate automatically that you should be in the same district?

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 1:48:44 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

VIGGOE <[viggoe@aol.com](mailto:viggoe@aol.com)> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

How deluded are you? Hitler and the Nazis hated the Communists. That's one of the reasons he came to power, because the Germans were afraid that what happened in Russia would happen in Germany. Also, you emphasize the Socialist in Nazi, but not the National. This changes the entire meaning of the word. They were not Socialists led by the workers and peasants. The NAZI's were led by the disillusioned middle class who felt betrayed after World War I and blamed Germany's loss on the Jews and Communists.

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.175.77

sbenard wrote:

Democrats ARE the party of Nazism! Liberals have always been ideological cousins of fascism. American progressives LOVED Hitler. He shared their ideology. That's why they loved him! He was a socialist! He even chose the red field behind the swastika on the Nazi flag so it would attract communists to their cause. Hitler learned propaganda from American progressives. Hitler's Nazis (and American progressives) were socialists! The letters N-A-Z-I are the German equivalent for the National SOCIALIST Worker's Party. Mussolini referred to his pen pal FDR affectionately as "America's Dictator"; they were friends until they ended up on opposite sides of WWII. FDR's children referred affectionately to Joseph Stalin as "Uncle Joe"; they LOVED Stalin. The Nazis also practiced crony capitalism, just li...

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 1:21:11 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Asterisk <asterisk@comcast.net> (unregistered) wrote:

Just shows the reactionary politic here in UT. And for a brief moment I thought it was changing. What a travesty that calls itself democracy. Sad.Â

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.32.78

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/20/2011 12:27:32 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

DISQUS

Utahhd18 <utahhd18@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to 21stCenturySLC:

You forgot the kingpin of gerrymandering in your list.Å Curt Bramblemander.

Link to comment

IP address: 76.23.54.211

21stCenturySLC wrote:

Independent voters - Start your campaign fundraising against these GOP legislators NOW:Adams, Anderson, Christensen, Hillyard, Hinkins, Jenkins, Niederhauser, Osmond, Stevenson, Urquhart, Van Tassell, Liljenquist, Sandstrom , and of course, WIMMER! They gerrymandered their own districts, let not let them win their own elections!

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 11:03:03 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Gentle opinions <[dirtfarmagent@yahoo.com](mailto:dirtfarmagent@yahoo.com)> (unregistered) wrote:

It's interesting how much people are aware of what went on behind "closed doors". Unfortunately, I can see both sides of the argument have merit (once you remove all the Republican/Democrat vitriolic hatred speech). I have voted for Matheson in the past, but his recent voting on issues important to me has soured my opinion on his ability to represent me. Anyway, I find it interesting how much hatred seems to be in these comments. Cheers to a good life, that's my choice regardless of all the other stuff.

Link to comment

IP address: 74.214.240.224

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 10:03:19 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

I feel rather disappointed by this final map, which doesn't make nearly as much geographical sense to me as it could.Â Here are two much better options, I believe, and I feel disappointed that Utah's legislators didn't find enough merit to either of them to adopt them...

\* Garber Plan: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-garber-plan>

\* Plewe Plan: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/congress-plewe-king-modified-post-committee>

Ah, well, there's always 2021 for us to try again...

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.231.112

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Redistrict Utah  
**To:**  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 7:50:56 PM  
**Subject:** Redistricting Plan Submitted  
**Attachments:** Header

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 19, 2011 at 7:50 PM

**Contact Information**

Name: Bryan Hull  
Username: bhull  
Organization:  
Email address: bryan@bryanhull.com

**Plan Information**

Plan name: Good\_Pizza  
Description:

**Plan Objectives**

#objectives#

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 7:41:58 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Brandon Plewe <plewe@byu.edu> (unregistered) wrote, in response to Chris Williams:

believe me, a lot of people who hate this map drew and submitted maps on the site, some like me submitted multiple maps. Dozens of citizen maps were better than this one, and fit the rules just as well. It was clear on the floor that the gop couldn't defend this map directly against the other more geographically rational (and apolitical) proposals, and chose to ram this through without debate.

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Chris Williams wrote:

All you people who are angry at the map. I hope you submitted your own during the many weeks the public was invited to do so? No? hmm. Utah's population patterns are unique. Some thing to keep in mind before you dismiss the whole effort:1. The districts have to have equal population, Geography is secondary.2. Salt Lake county has to be split at least in two. There are too many people to have it in one district. 3. The districts have to have both urban and rural, That's just how the population is. All the districts need part of the Wasatch front in order to have enough people. All counties in the state except the 5 on the Wasatch Front (SL, Utah, Davis, Weber, and Cache) put together do not have enough people for one district.4. More than just SL county must be split. Utah county is too sma...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 6:54:55 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S14, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



utah\_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote:

This substitute map was co-sponsored by Senator McAdams (D).

Link to comment

IP address: 63.228.197.194

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 6:54:08 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S14, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

utah\_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote, in response to VIGGOE (unregistered):

The only way to pull that off is to either split weber county or put cache county into the 2nd. I couldn't get the votes for either.

Link to comment

IP address: 63.228.197.194

VIGGOE (unregistered) wrote:

The numbers just don't work.Â Even if he moves Bountiful/West Bountiful into district 1 and all of Salt Lake City into district 2, North Salt Lake and Half of Woods Cross would still need to go south to balance out the numbers.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 4:11:52 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Kris A. <kcandy@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to 21stCenturySLC:

if only if only ..... if Utahns were willing to really be brave adn get rid of teh one-party system that lead to this they would vote all DEMOCRATIC in teh next 5 elections adn sho the Republicans that they do in fact have to be responsible and they won't get automatically re-elected just because have an R next to their name.Â These legislators believe adn are correct to do so based on the past that no matter wht they do their party name will save them.Â we have a one-party system in this state adn until the people of Utah stand up adn realizeÂ the Dems are not evil but their only hope to accountable representation this state is in serious trouble.

POWER CORRUPTS, ABSOLUTE POWER CORRUPTS ABSOLUTELY!!!! get the point?Â

Link to comment

IP address: 208.66.37.130

21stCenturySLC wrote:

Independent voters - Start your campaign fundraising against these GOP legislators NOW: Adams, Anderson, Christensen, Hillyard, Hinkins, Jenkins, Niederhauser, Osmond, Stevenson, Urquhart, Van Tassell, Liljenquist, Sandstrom , and of course, WIMMER! They gerrymandered their own districts, let not let them win their own elections!

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 4:07:02 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

Kcandy <kcandy@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to Chris Williams:

That is a lie. To say there aren't enough Democrats living close enough to to each other to have a safe dem district is a lie, whether an intentional or an uninformed lie by someone not doing his homework it is still a lie. Salt Lake County has enough Dems, and this process is a shameful abuse of power. get facts. not excuses

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 208.66.37.130

Chris Williams wrote:

All you people who are angry at the map. I hope you submitted your own during the many weeks the public was invited to do so? No? hmm. Utah's population patterns are unique. Some thing to keep in mind before you dismiss the whole effort:1. The districts have to have equal population, Geography is secondary.2. Salt Lake county has to be split at least in two. There are too many people to have it in one district. 3. The districts have to have both urban and rural, That's just how the population is. All the districts need part of the Wasatch front in order to have enough people. All counties in the state except the 5 on the Wasatch Front (SL, Utah, Davis, Weber, and Cache) put together do not have enough people for one district.4. More than just SL county must be split. Utah county is too sma...

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 3:40:17 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



21stCenturySLC <drsconnor@cs.com> wrote, in response to Chris Williams:

If you had really followed the redistricting process you would already know the flaws in your argument. SL County does have to be split once, but NOT 3 times! There were MULTIPLE maps presented that had zero deviation (one man/one vote) but did a MUCH better job with the urban/rural mix., but they were quickly voted out. Democrats don't need their own protected/safe district. They just want COMPETITIVE districts where the super majority legislature does not cheat and artificially make the districts boundaries "just so" that have 60-65% republican voters where a democrat could not even hope to win. The Dems in the legislature are unified. Their maps were voted down by a straight GOP party vote most of the time. Three democrats voted with the majority this last time, but the bill would have been adopted anyway.

The public may have been heard, but none of their input was utilized in making the Congressional map

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.195.139

Chris Williams wrote:

All you people who are angry at the map. I hope you submitted your own during the many weeks the public was invited to do so? No? hmm. Utah's population patterns are unique. Some thing to keep in mind before you dismiss the whole effort:1. The districts have to have equal population, Geography is secondary.2. Salt Lake county has to be split at least in two. There are too many people to have it in one district. 3. The districts have to have both urban and rural, That's just how the population is. All the districts need part of the Wasatch front in order to have enough people. All counties in the state except the 5 on the Wasatch Front (SL, Utah, Davis, Weber, and Cache) put together do not have enough people for one district.4. More than just SL county must be split. Utah county is too sma...

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 3:16:16 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

DISQUS

Travis Draper <drapertravis@rocketmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to Guest (unregistered):

I agree that we shouldn't be grouped together.Â Living in SLC I am fine with a democrat, but I can certainly understand that you would rather have someone who represents your area, and not one three hours away at 75+ mph.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.199.52.168

Guest (unregistered) wrote:

I'm not sure how I feel about this map. My only concern is that the voters in southern Utah will once again be "represented" by a SLC Democrat for another 10-yrs.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:55:48 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

glizz <calukes@comcast.net> wrote, in response to Chris Williams:

Really - that is just plain and simple B.S. Even the Daily Herald thinks the map was divided among a bunch self serving anti-constitution "representatives".

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.202.9

Chris Williams wrote:

All you people who are angry at the map. I hope you submitted your own during the many weeks the public was invited to do so? No? hmm. Utah's population patterns are unique. Some thing to keep in mind before you dismiss the whole effort:1. The districts have to have equal population, Geography is secondary.2. Salt Lake county has to be split at least in two. There are too many people to have it in one district. 3. The districts have to have both urban and rural, That's just how the population is. All the districts need part of the Wasatch front in order to have enough people. All counties in the state except the 5 on the Wasatch Front (SL, Utah, Davis, Weber, and Cache) put together do not have enough people for one district.4. More than just SL county must be split. Utah county is too sma...

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:54:15 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Barbara C Draper <barbaracdramer@aol.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Wow I Live in District 4 my high school student attends school in district 3 and I have a couple of kids attending the University of Utah which is in district 2.Â I sure hope they had fun drawing that map.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.199.52.168

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:35:16 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Sanfran76hip <sanfran76hip@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

This is a disgrace. I have no interests on the state or national level being a Salt Laker with a person from say Utah Valley. Shame on you Utah Republicans. Why don't you just give us in Salt Lake County what we want. Our own representation.Å

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.56.203.123

---

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:28:35 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

Frustrated Citizen <kdhigginson@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Personally, I hate this map. I hate what it does to the citizens of Utah and democracy in general. But it's not just me:

[http://www.heraldextra.com/news/opinion/article\\_a2bbeea5-72c3-54f2-ae95-d6ceb1e5423e.html](http://www.heraldextra.com/news/opinion/article_a2bbeea5-72c3-54f2-ae95-d6ceb1e5423e.html)

<http://www.deseretnews.com/article/700189346/Setting-boundaries.html>

Link to comment

IP address: 65.181.56.226

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:22:36 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

seventyeighteen <porterodearte@hotmail.com> wrote:

Herbert VETO this map!

Link to comment

IP address: 166.70.76.252

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:07:18 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

just a kid... <acemanjames@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

The LDS leaders are not the LDS people. from what i gather from your post. you are saying that all LDS people take a specific stance on politics. But the truth is that people don't always agree with how their leaders see things. for example, some LDS believe that you have to be married to the opposite sex in order to get to the highest kingdom where as many many people believe that that is not the case. so by saying that Joseph Smith said this or Brigham Young said that. SOME LDS are conservative but its NOT because of their Religion. people shape their own views based on what they believe and they believe what they believe because of personal experiences or through research. but what do i know? i am a 16 year old kid...

Link to comment

IP address: 205.127.88.58

sbenard wrote:

David, if you're looking for "vile and arrogant", read your own comment and go look in the mirror. That's where you'll see it! My comment was quotations by LDS leaders. Yours? Ridicule, reviling, and pure arrogant hatred. You ARE what you accused ME of being. Can't rebut my quotes? You engage in name-calling and labeling instead! I demand an apology from YOU! You are the very personification of what you tried to label me as. You revealed your OWN character, not mine! You also revealed your inspiration source in spewing your putrid pablum of hatred toward me. It was NOT divine!

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:05:42 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S15, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

AG <[annette.giardinelli@gmail.com](mailto:annette.giardinelli@gmail.com)> (unregistered) wrote:

maybe we should just redistrict based on religion given that it is the only way the Utah population can be equally represented

Link to comment

IP address: 205.124.225.250

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 2:04:13 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

Greg Zenger <gregzenger2002@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote:

People have way too much time to do nothing

Link to comment

IP address: 209.63.213.199

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 12:50:57 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISCUS

Alliegator321 <alliegator321@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

sbenard- you quoted church leaders from A LONG TIME AGO. Å Do you follow every word every church leader has ever said? Å It would be pretty tricky, considering they've said contradictory things. Å I love the gospel, but people like you give the rest of us a bad name. Å Try this quote from mormon.org (you may also have heard it read over the pulpit just before any election)... åœœPrinciples compatible with the gospel are found in the platforms of all major political parties."

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.195.226.213

sbenard wrote:

David, if you're looking for "vile and arrogant", read your own comment and go look in the mirror. That's where you'll see it! My comment was quotations by LDS leaders. Yours? Ridicule, reviling, and pure arrogant hatred. You ARE what you accused ME of being. Can't rebut my quotes? You engage in name-calling and labeling instead! I demand an apology from YOU! You are the very personification of what you tried to label me as. You revealed your OWN character, not mine! You also revealed your inspiration source in spewing your putrid pablum of hatred toward me. It was NOT divine!

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 11:44:55 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Utah Citizen <[sjacquie@live.com](mailto:sjacquie@live.com)> wrote:

At least it is done.Â Thank you for all your work.

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.208.88

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 9:59:19 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Parkernav <parkernav@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Stupid!

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.35.214.156

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 9:49:30 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

high uintas <d\_jenrich@msn.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

As long as we're talking history, maybe you could go back in time and tell your prophet that raising a private army might not go over in some states. I agree that Mormon's were treated poorly and unfairly in the past, but that is the past and now learning to live with one another should be more important than retribution.

Californians are just as mixed a people as any other state, to claim they are "the People's Republic" is silly. My extended Mormon family lives there, do you hate them too? Too much Rush and Faux News is bad for the brain, IMO.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.37.126.225

sbenard wrote:

Why? So that you, like 6 others states, can steal their property and force them to leave at the point of a gun? So that you can declare an extermination order against them? That won't be in YOUR lifetime! Your bigotry and hatred reveal your true nature! Thanks for letting us know who you really are! Perhaps you'll be happier in the People's Republic of California. Sink or swim, California!And I'm not even a Republican!

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 9:24:29 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISCUS**

high uintas <d-jenrich@msn.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

I'd say that you need to "study progressivism" from a reality based source, rather than one that is pushing an agenda. I could say that conservatives are first cousins to fascists if I read only one point of view. Your use of the term "the Democrat Party" instead of it's real name, the Democratic Party tells me that you are a loyal viewer and listener of right wing media outlets.Â

My great, great grandfather came here with Brigham Young, my great grandfather was born in Nauvoo. Church member have not always been tied to a party the way the are now. They came here and established communities that more resembled communes that not, in a way they were socialists. They lived outside of established morality of the day by practicing the Principal and only gave it up by force.

But, if you don't know your real history and if you belong to an organization who willingly will change it's own history to make itself look better it's easy to believe that red is blue and up is down. It's a shame.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.37.126.225

sbenard wrote:

Most LDS are conservatives BECAUSE of their religion, not in SPITE of it! Remarks, by President Brigham Young, in the Tabernacle, in Great Salt Lake City, Sunday, December 23, 1866., Journal of Discourses, 26 vols., 11:, p.278:"I will ask the question: Do you think the Father and the Son are agreed in their political views and their financial operations? Why every Christian in the world says yes, and we say yes; and we cannot be one, in the sense Jesus prayed for us to be, without this." Joseph Smith also declared that members of the faith would never be deceived if they stayed with the main body of the church members. By encouraging members to leave the philosophical and political main body of the Church, you are revealing to us who you really are -- a wolf in sheep's clothing! The Democr...

-----

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 8:48:11 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Joel Nelson <jnjnelson@gmail.com> wrote:

This entire discussion is proof positive that this process has been more transparent than it has ever been. Â If you think it could be more transparent, work on making it more transparent for next time we have to redistrict. Â The legislature has passed this, as is their responsibility and stewardship. Â It's done, people. Â Live with it and move on with your lives - it isn't worth wasting any more time on.

Link to comment

IP address: 63.237.195.43

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 8:26:37 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Holden Green:

Your understanding of politics is typical. Everybody fighting for their own sepcial interests. Sorry, but I don't adhere to that nonsense.

A legislator or congressman who relies on the most basic of principles will vote exactly the same every time, regardless of where he is from and who his constituency is.Â

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Holden Green wrote:

You are correct. Â Living in the same county does unite us. Â We share the same transit authority, drive on the same roads, have a Unified Fire and Police authority, and dozens of other shared services at a county level. Â Even more shared services at a city level. Â I don't care about the grazing rate the BLM charges ranchers in Castledale any more than a guy in Castledale cares about the rate to ride UTA from Sugarhouse to Sandy.Â Unless maps are drawn along naturallyÂ occurringÂ boundaries (rivers, mountain ranges, etc.) drawing maps along existing municipal borders insures that no matter who's an R and who's a D...at least we're paying attention to the same issues.

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 7:54:41 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Redistricting Committee Adopted "Final Congressional Map 9/29/11"  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

John wrote:

Here's the brutal truth: redistricting IS a political process and partisanship is not a protected class under the law.Â Deal with it.Â

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 134.250.90.197

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/19/2011 4:17:01 AM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

Jeanne <jeanne222@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to David Edward Garber:

I still haven't met a single person other than elected Republicans who thought the urban rural mix up this whole charade this map was based on was a good idea.Â In fact at several of the public meetings I attended in rural southern Utah people were nearly begging lawmakers not to do it.Â Yet here we are.Â I think they just start to believe their own BS at a certain point and no amount of reasoning can pull them back to a reasonable state of thinking.Â

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.190.147

David Edward Garber wrote:

I've commented about many other variants of this concept, and have nothing further to say about it at this time.Â It's still not-very-sensible, in my view. In any case, here are some links to its previous incarnations...\* SB3002: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S02: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S10: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S11: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S13: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S16: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>\* SB3002S18: <http://www.redistrictutah.com/...>

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 9:12:13 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Senate: SB3001, Final Utah State Senate Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

good\_night\_good\_luck <1bklarge@gmail.com> wrote:

Gerrymandered again. Keep voting for those magic 'r's folks soon you'll have no representation at all.

Link to comment

IP address: 65.89.233.117

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 8:37:17 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

Patrick Adamson <patrick.adamson@gmail.com> wrote:

Gerrymandering out the minority vote found in large cities is illegal.Â

Link to comment

IP address: 67.172.244.41

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 8:19:42 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



ChuckERacer <dncox54@gmail.com> wrote:

I-15 is a natural boundary, easy to describe to people.Â The more I look at it, the more I like this map.

I certainly don't want a district that is made "safe" for a Democrat or Republican more than what the natural population is.Â "Safe" districts end up promoting extremists.Â One that is more representative of the general population promotes more moderate candidates.

I DO want the representatives from Utah having to answer to rural Utahns.Â We used to have a Democrat US Rep. from Salt Lake whose vote seemed to undermine rural Utah every time an issue impacting them came up.Â But no one he hurt ever had the chance to vote against him, because his district was just in Salt Lake County.

Link to comment

IP address: 174.23.100.221

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 6:15:02 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

Holden Green <[andrew.huffaker@gmail.com](mailto:andrew.huffaker@gmail.com)> wrote, in response to [jjl9](#):

You are correct. Living in the same county does unite us. We share the same transit authority, drive on the same roads, have a Unified Fire and Police authority, and dozens of other shared services at a county level. Even more shared services at a city level.

I don't care about the grazing rate the BLM charges ranchers in Castledale any more than a guy in Castledale cares about the rate to ride UTA from Sugarhouse to Sandy.

Unless maps are drawn along naturally occurring boundaries (rivers, mountain ranges, etc.) drawing maps along existing municipal borders insures that no matter who's an R and who's a D...at least we're paying attention to the same issues.

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.182.210.30

[jjl9](#) wrote:

The boundary lines have to be somewhere, and no matter where they are you can cross them in one step. You make it sound like living in the same county should somehow automatically unite you. Does everyone in your county agree on everything? Vote the same? Of course they don't. Why would living in the same county as someone else somehow dictate automatically that you should be in the same district?

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 5:39:24 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

James <jscannellaz@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

I wont be to concerned about this map. Two moderate Democrats could win in the utah delegation with this map. It is just no district is safe for a democrat.

Link to comment

IP address: 24.119.59.138

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 5:38:11 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Zig <2mendy@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Jmightymouse (unregistered):

Jmightymouse, you look more like a lame troll. Can you back up your statement with any facts? I didn't think so.

Link to comment

IP address: 76.27.76.74

Jmightymouse (unregistered) wrote:

It was dems too dude!Â So quit your bellyaching!

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 5:27:44 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



reasonedthought <millsapsj@aol.com> wrote:

I can't say that I like this map very much since it indicates that my friends in Enterprise are stuck in the same district as those living in the Avenues, which makes no sense since they have so little in common and at the same time those living in Sugar House are split asunder. Å The Garber map offered a more balanced approach, only splitting the most populous county once,Å

Link to comment

IP address: 208.187.252.100

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:54:41 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Tyrell Aagard:

And regarding Virginia:

"May this escapade be a lesson to those who yearn for less partisanship in politics that faceless functionaries and bureaucrats do not necessarily act for good governance reasons act as partisan without electoral consequences. We live in a democratic Republic where we hold our representatives responsible for their actions not a bureaucracy manipulated by partisan jackasses." <http://dcbarroco.blogspot.com/2011/03/making-mockery-of-non-partisan.html>

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Tyrell Aagard wrote:

Take a look at the actual process the California committee took this year and educate yourself before you spew any more word vomit.

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:50:24 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Chris Williams wrote:

All you people who are angry at the map. I hope you submitted your own during the many weeks the public was invited to do so? No? hmm. Utah's population patterns are unique. Some thing to keep in mind before you dismiss the whole effort:

1. The districts have to have equal population, Geography is secondary.
2. Salt Lake county has to be split at least in two. There are too many people to have it in one district.
3. The districts have to have both urban and rural, That's just how the population is. All the districts need part of the Wasatch front in order to have enough people. All counties in the state except the 5 on the Wasatch Front (SL, Utah, Davis, Weber, and Cache) put together do not have enough people for one district.
4. More than just SL county must be split. Utah county is too small. Davis and Weber together are too small, Cache and Washington are too small. The only other way to do this is to have 3 mostly urban districts along the Wasatch front and one containing the rest of the state plus chunks of the Wasatch front counties adding up to about 50,000 people. Having 4 urban/rural districts is at least as logical as having 3 urban and one rural with selected chunks of urban gerrymandered in.
5. There aren't enough Democrats living close to each other to have a safe democratic seat. So, even if that was a valid concern, it just isn't possible.
6. There aren't enough Democrats in the legislature, and they aren't united enough to make a difference. That's why the map from the Rep. caucus was passed, all they need are most of the Republican votes.

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.169.250.245

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:47:24 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Firebird77:

I too hope that Jim M. runs for GOV because he won't have a chance in Hell in winning AND we can focus on the primary race within the Republican party to find a good Rep, knowing that the general election is already won.

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Firebird77 wrote:

I hope Jim M. does run for GOV.Â Even though I really don't like him, I would help him all I can to get the Republican out of office.Â Lesser of two evils.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:46:04 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Holden Green:

The boundary lines have to be somewhere, and no matter where they are you can cross them in one step. You make it sound like living in the same county should somehow automatically unite you. Does everyone in your county agree on everything? Vote the same? Of course they don't. Why would living in the same county as someone else somehow dictate automatically that you should be in the same district?

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Holden Green wrote:

Pretty crazy that although my brother and parents live in the same county (parents in the same city), we will be represented by 3 different individuals. I live in District 4 - Salt Lake City south sugarhouse My parents are in district 2 - Capitol Hill Area My brother lives in Sandy and is in district 3

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:41:28 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Holden Green <[andrew.huffaker@gmail.com](mailto:andrew.huffaker@gmail.com)> wrote:

Pretty crazy that although my brother and parents live in the same county (parents in the same city), we will be represented by 3 different individuals. Â

I live in District 4 - Â Salt Lake City south sugarhouseMy parents are in district 2 - Capitol Hill Area

My brother lives in Sandy and is in district 3

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.182.210.30

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:37:03 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Tyrell Aagard:

"The Citizens Redistricting Commission, built upon a hopeful ideal of enhancing political competition in legislative and congressional districts, has now descended into a cesspool of corruption, and the promise of fair new districts has been compromised by brutal partisan politics instigated by the commission itself."

How's that for word vomit?<http://caivn.org/article/2011/06/01/californias-not-so-non-partisan-redistricting>

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Tyrell Aagard wrote:

Take a look at the actual process the California committee took this year and educate yourself before you spew any more word vomit.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:25:55 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

jji9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to WayneVT (unregistered):

The strongest voice for each comes from a concentrated effort, not diluted by the mixture? What is that even supposed to mean? By that reasoning we should send four congressmen from Utah with the same message, the message that represents the majority of Utahns, right? You wouldn't want to dilute the mixture by sticking one Democrat in there would you?

More to the point, why should that particular minority special interest group be entitled to their own little carve-out? As if the only difference between anyone in Utah is whether they are a Democrat or a Republican? Why shouldn't real estate agents get their own representative? I mean seriously, the strongest voice for each comes from a concentrated effort, not diluted by the mixture.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 74.43.106.212

WayneVT (unregistered) wrote:

I am a registered and voting Republican. I disagree with the map and the concept of "urban-rural" mix. The strongest voice for each comes from a concentrated effort, not diluted by the mixture. The Republican party has insulted me and every Utahn by holding closed caucuses on this subject. What is the big secret, other than possibly wanting to reach agreements without others (i.e. the public) knowing their stated motivations? Transparency followed by closed caucuses is worse than no transparency at all. It gives the "sound bite" of transparency but assures that decisions are made behind closed doors. Governor Herbert, President Waddoups and Speaker Lockhart should be ashamed of this partisan, "keep the good old boys in power" outcome. Is continuing the Republican stranglehold on power real...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: <http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:23:39 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Tyrell Aagard wrote, in response to sbenard:

So essentially what you are arguing is that it's entirely fine to slander people you don't like, but how dare anyone do it back to you? Why can't we ALL just realize that everyone ought to be treated with respect (as the religion you claim to follow teaches HEAVILY) and follow the golden rule (again, taught by your church). I would like you to go and read your own Bible again - judge not lest ye be judged.

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 155.99.227.68

sbenard wrote:

I was speaking of the President, NOT a fellow commenter. YOU, on the other hand, and your political buddy, were referring to ME by disrespectful terms. There is NO expectation that Obama will EVER read my comment, but you DO have the expectation of people reading your comments. My characterizations of Obama are because of HIS policies. His impositions of policies by executive order that he couldn't get approved by his own Democrats in the Senate are a manifestation of his imperial nature. His policies, almost without exception, are ALL Marxist in nature. Find and read Karl Marx' policy recommendations. Obama is imposing ALL of them. Obama IS a Marxist. That is an accurate representation of his policies! Get your hand out of the pot, because it's making you just as black as any kettle!

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:23:08 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to verango:

You are making erroneous assumptions about me. You apparently see yourself as a mind-reader, since you think you know about the strength of my testimony without even knowing me. I have a lifetime of service in the Church that stands as testament to my "testimony".

I'm NOT a Republican, and I HAVE voted for Democrats at various times in the past. Another one of your false assumptions burst by reality! Thus, the premise of your assumption is WRONG! I vote for principles, NOT parties.

The entire premise of your argument is also erroneous. You fabricate a straw man to try to make your point. Pres. Monson is NOT running as a Democrat, and Harry Reid ISN'T an apostle. When you begin with a false premise, you will logically end with a false assumption!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.41.160.135

verango wrote:

I think your understanding of the gospel is limited to your capacity to understand. So what ever I say here is irrelevant. But my point is has become clear to me. If president Monson ran as a democratic or when they call Harry Reid as an apostle you won't be able to support it. Your limited testimony would surely be shaken to its core.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:21:45 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

DISQUS

jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Bob Aagard:

Every article you read?

There's your first problem.

Link to comment

IP address: 74.43.106.212

Bob Aagard wrote:

EVERY article I read about the public redistricting hearings said that Utah Citizens didn't want urban-rural mixed districts. Â Those sediments were especially stron in rural Utah. Â Yet that's what we got. Â What good is "transparency" if you don't listen to people and draw things behind closed doors?

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:21:24 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Tyrell Aagard wrote, in response to sbenard:

Take a look at the actual process the California committee took this year and educate yourself before you spew any more word vomit.

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 155.99.227.68

sbenard wrote:

To the contrary! Committees are appointed. They are UNaccountable to citizens or to people who vote. What they are NOT is nonpartisan! You can't possibly make the case that people who sit on a committee that didn't run for office as going to be LESS partisan. Only a dead corpse is truly nonpartisan. Everyone else has their biases and their perspectives. Committee members have their views and biases too. What you really want are people who are NOT accountable, rather than not partisan. That's an oligarchy, not people's rule! No thanks! I want people to make those decisions to be held accountable!

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:19:00 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Tyrell Aagard wrote, in response to jay (unregistered):

Now this is the viewpoint I sincerely respect. While I disagree with the teachings of the LDS Church, that doesn't mean that I can't get along quite well with its members, and would hope to be treated the same. Someone like this is someone that could sit down and have a decent argument with those who disagree, rather than automatically shunning them. Thank you.

User's profile

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 155.99.227.68

jay (unregistered) wrote:

sbenard- Be quiet. As a staunch conservative and a life-long member of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, I subscribe to a principle taught by Jesus Christ, "For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again." Matthew 7:2 It is not your place to condemn people as irrevocably bound for hell-fire. I am ashamed that you would engage in this kind of hateful speech. People can have differing points of view. Let them. Disagree with them. But do not condemn them for disagreeing with you.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:18:38 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



jjl9 <jeremyjl@gmail.com> wrote, in response to NC (unregistered):

Citizens have said over and over that they wanted to keep their communities together in this process?

How is that even relevant? Either there is a principled way to redistrict or there is not. But your unscientific polling of "citizens" has no relevance whatsoever.

I would submit to you that there is no basic principle that makes one map preferable to another. If there is, what is the principle?

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 74.43.106.212

NC (unregistered) wrote:

I am very disappointed with the final map. Citizens have said over and over that they wanted to keep their communities together in this process. Now we have three districts in SL County where many maps only had two. Cities like Farmington/St. George, Millcreek/Moroni are in the same district. Many, many, many cities split into two districts. I don't see how Republicans chose this map over many better alternatives.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:18:04 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

Solutionadvantage <[solutionadvantage@gmail.com](mailto:solutionadvantage@gmail.com)> (unregistered) wrote:

I am so sick of the Good Ole Boys Network Mormons which most should have their membership in question because of their actions.... What about the people for the people!!!! It is high time to get back to the basics and pull up our boot straps and work together whether we are republican or democrats or Mormons! Be fair and make sure we get some better representation.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 205.209.15.135

-----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:14:10 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to David from Sandy UT:

Once again, you can't argue your point, so you engage in derision and ridicule? What does that say about you? Please look at your own "arrogance and self-deception". YOUR comment, David, reveal nothing about ME. It reveals only YOU! It is a mirror on who you are that you can't engage me in reason or rebut my comments. You instead resort to throwing insults?

Your slanderous and reviling language only undermines your own credibility, not mine! It goes to character, your honor. You can't make your point without derision? "Green Jell-O Fan Club"? What kind of argument, case, or persuasion is that?

Make your case. State your opinion. I'll agree with it or rebut it. But don't engage me with ridicule, because that destroys YOUR credibility, not mine!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.41.160.135

David from Sandy UT wrote:

Sadly, your comment indicates that you are arrogant and self-deceived.Â You have no credibility.Â You are an example of why individuals who are not members of the Green Jell-O Fan Club hold people like you in such low regard.Â Sometimes the truth hurts.Â Deal with it.

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:02:29 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to Abclarke (unregistered):

Can't rebut, so you engage in name-calling? Who's the airhead? The one who CAN make an argument, or the one who CAN'T!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.41.160.135

Abclarke (unregistered) wrote:

Something better to do than bashing "brains" with foul-mouthed airheads? Â I guess you're right about THAT, buddy!

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:01:14 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

## DISQUS

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to David from Sandy UT:

I was speaking of the President, NOT a fellow commenter. YOU, on the other hand, and your political buddy, were referring to ME by disrespectful terms. There is NO expectation that Obama will EVER read my comment, but you DO have the expectation of people reading your comments.

My characterizations of Obama are because of HIS policies. His impositions of policies by executive order that he couldn't get approved by his own Democrats in the Senate are a manifestation of his imperial nature. His policies, almost without exception, are ALL Marxist in nature. Find and read Karl Marx' policy recommendations. Obama is imposing ALL of them. Obama IS a Marxist. That is an accurate representation of his policies!

Get your hand our of the pot, because it's making you just as black as any kettle!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.41.160.135

David from Sandy UT wrote:

Pot, meet kettle.Or have you already forgotten that you wrote, "Emperor Obama, the Commie in Chief"?

----

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 4:00:54 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---



Firebird77 <cummin1@bsci.com> wrote, in response to David from Sandy UT:

It really is not worth sharing with sbenard. He is your typical LDS republican who has been brainwashed to believe one way, and only one way. No intelligent conversation can ever change his mind (or lack of mind). He only has ears so he can hear himself talk.

Link to comment

IP address: 132.189.76.43

David from Sandy UT wrote:

Freedom of speech does not include freedom from criticism. The above comment by Sbenard is a vile and disgusting example of Mormon arrogance. How dare you look down your long pointy nose and link (directly or indirectly) the Democratic Party and "Satan's plan"! I find the above comment completely offensive, and I demand an apology. What part of The Golden Rule does this hypocrite NOT understand?

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread

**From:** Disqus  
**To:** Ric Cantrell, Disqus  
**Date:** 10/18/2011 3:53:36 PM  
**Subject:** [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson  
**Attachments:** [Mime.822](#)

---

**DISQUS**

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to Waterlogs (unregistered):

Please learn to follow your own advice! Poddy is in the rear!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.41.160.135

Waterlogs (unregistered) wrote:

my geooddd, were you toilet trained at gunpoint or what??Â chill out or you'll end up on medication, all that negative vibe will get you....

-----  
Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".  
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:  
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>  
To turn off notifications  
Unsubscribe from this thread