From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:52:30 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Firebird77 <cumminm1@bsci.com> wrote, in response to sbenard:

> Did not vote for Obama.Â But atleast he is trying to do something while the rebublicans just keep trying to make him and the country fail.Â You are obviously Republican, have your health care, a job, and are all set for life.Â I have the same, but many don't and it's not necessarily their fault.Â People need a voice, but with the Republican congress the way it is, if you don't have money, you have no voice.Â We need a balance of Dems and Repubs to get anything accomplished.Â Keep it the same as it is, we get the same lousy results.Â Obama was never the answer, but neither is the current administration.Â Don't bother responding asÂ I already know the Rebublican crap you will spit back.

Link to comment
IP address: 132.189.76.43

sbenard wrote:

> Ha ha! And you probably voted for Obama for the same reasons! "Hope and change" became "hoax and chains"! Germans voted to get rid of Weimar, too, and they ended up with a dictator named Adolf Hitler. You get what you deserve! Vote FOR principles, not AGAINST something. That's the recipe for tyranny!I hope Jim runs, too! That way we can get rid of him -- for GOOD!

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:50:35 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Kendall Frazier <kendall.frazier@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to sbenard:

> You're not really LDS, are you?

Link to comment
IP address: 67.42.24.73

sbenard wrote:

> Most LDS are conservatives BECAUSE of their religion, not in SPITE of it! Remarks, by President Brigham Young, in the Tabernacle, in Great Salt Lake City, Sunday, December 23, 1866., Journal of Discourses, 26 vols., 11:, p.278:"I will ask the question: Do you think the Father and the Son are agreed in their political views and their financial operations? Why every Christian in the world says yes, and we say yes; and we cannot be one, in the sense Jesus prayed for us to be, without this." Joseph Smith also declared that members of the faith would never be deceived if they stayed with the main body of the church members. By encouraging members to leave the philosophical and political main body of the Church, you are revealing to us who you really are -- a wolf in sheep's clothing! The Democr...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:47:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to deserthiker:

> If you're so confident that Mr. Matheson can win in a "demographically unfavorable district", then why the tizzy fit? Put your theory to the test! Let him run!
>
> I'm GLAD that Matheson will now be running in my town. I can now vote FOR the other person instead. Before, I couldn't!

Link to comment
IP address: 67.41.160.135

deserthiker wrote:

> Fascinating reading all the griping. Politics being what it is, the only way the liberal Democratic advocates in Utah would be happy with the new Congressional district map is if they could draw it up themselves and skew it in their favor. Elections have consequences, and the current map does not represent corruption, sinister plotting, or any other of the histrionic allegations currently being shouted about. It's just politics. Of course, all the loud posturing by the opposition is just politics too. Let's move on. Rep. Matheson has already demonstrated that it's possible to win in a supposedly demographically unfavorable district if you represent the people and the State's interests well. We need more like him. We've got many more serious issues on our plate. The districts are drawn, let...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:42:47 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to Firebird77:

> Democrats ARE the party of Nazism! Liberals have always been ideological cousins of fascism. American progressives LOVED Hitler. He shared their ideology.That's why they loved him! He was a socialist! He even chose the red field behind the swastika on the Nazi flag so it would attract communists to their cause. Hitler learned propaganda from American progressives. Hitler's Nazis (and American progressives) were socialists! The letters N-A-Z-I are the German equivalent for the National SOCIALIST Worker's Party. Mussolini referred to his pen pal FDR affectionately as "America's Dictator"; they were friends until they ended up on opposite sides of WWII. FDR's children referred affectionately to Joseph Stalin as "Uncle Joe"; they LOVED Stalin. The Nazis also practiced crony capitalism, just like today's Hitler in the White House.
>
> If you are going to refer to Nazis, please compare them instead to their American ideological soul mates. Today, they're called Democrats! Vote straight Democrat, and you'll end up with MORE fascism, not less!

Link to comment
IP address: 67.41.160.135

Firebird77 wrote:

> Sandy is split right down the middle.Â Were stuck with that little nazi JC. Voting straight party Democrate in the next election!

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:36:17 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Final Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

David from Sandy UT <david.from.sandy@gmail.com> wrote, in response to 21stCenturySLC:

> Considering the importance of religion in Utah society, one would think that the Republican Churchislators would understand and try to abide Proverbs 6:16-19.

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 76.27.96.35

21stCenturySLC wrote:

> Democrats and independent voters - If you are not mad enough already, listen to the audio feed at the end of both the Senate and the House sessions late last night between 9-10:00 pm. when they are patting themselves on the back for "a job well done", "a great bipartisan map", "we all worked together to compromise", "the best efforts ever in UT history to include public input", "multiple changes made due to public input", and then each house applauded themselves. The few left in the gallery to witness this final debacle where speechless or vomiting as we had witnessed the lack of compromise that eliminated citizen maps and all compromise maps with straight party lines votes and can no longer see any citizen input in the gerrymandered GOP maps at all.Â The emperor has no clothes, kids. Call...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/18/2011 3:30:00 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S19, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Ipson
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

sbenard <stevebenard1@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to David from Sandy UT:

> Does that mean we ALL have a right to our own little gated "community of interest"? That we ALL have a right to our own representative, one just for li'l ole me? That's a very slippery slope. How about a representative just for school teachers? Or one for Nazis? Or one for left-wingers? Or one for every racial group?
>
> Ah, but that's just it! What you really want is one to match your minority special interest, NOT to represent a specific "community"! You want to thwart the majority, and demandÂ a special place just for your minority special interest!

Link to comment
IP address: 67.41.160.135

David from Sandy UT wrote:

> Approximately 75% of Utahns live in the area between Ogden and Provo.Â The other 25% live in "rural" counties.Â Some of the maps submitted by the public early in the process included almost all of the rural areas in one community-of-interest district.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 11:33:41 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

David Miller <mr.david.miller@gmail.com> wrote:

This is definitely the best map being given serious consideration. I think it is worth splitting additional cities to get the population completely equal between the districts. I'm asking my legislators to support this.

I agree with Curtis that this is the most logical split of Salt Lake County - much better than all the food variants that we have been asked to choke down.

Link to comment
IP address: 159.212.71.17

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 11:33:09 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 11:33 AM

Contact Information
Name: C Downing
Username: CDowning
Organization: None
Email address: ctedowning@gmail.com

Plan Information
Plan name: Downing 1
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 11:39:39 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S06, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

David Miller <mr.david.miller@gmail.com> wrote, in response to David Edward Garber:

I agree. This one is second best to the one Rep. King based on yours. I would definitely push for that one but I would not be too disappointed if this one were adopted.

Link to comment
IP address: 159.212.71.17

David Edward Garber wrote:

Of these ten bills so far, I like this one second only to Representative King's variant of my own proposal, I think.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Carl Downing
To: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 1:21:02 PM
Subject: Time frame
Attachments: Mime.822

How long after submission before a plan is posted to the website?

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 1:40:35 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 1:40 PM

Contact Information
Name: Brandon Plewe
Username: bplewe
Organization:
Email address: plewe@byu.edu

Plan Information
Plan name: Senate saving cities
Description: Satisfies these goals:
1. Divide cities and counties as little as possible. Most cities are intact, only SLC and WVC are divided three ways, and divided cities are mostly in one district
2. As compact as possible
3. Roughly similar to existing districts
4. Districts are connected on the ground (you can drive from one end to the other)

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 2:37:32 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 2:37 PM

Contact Information
Name: Debbie Swenson
Username: dbswen
Organization:
Email address: dbswen@gmail.com

Plan Information
Plan name: dbswen
Description: There is a huge error in the population count of a tiny section by Spanish Fork airport that creates a large deviation in population. One small circle northeast of the SF airport pops up with 732 people in it--an area where there are NO residences. This map tries to take that into consideration in correction that deviation.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 3:01:41 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Public Testimony – please leave a comment
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Danellrobb <danellrobb@yahoo.com> (unregistered) wrote:

I have a solution that is fair and easy. Keep the lines where they are. Give the new seat to the booming Herriman/Daybreak area. They have grown immensly and should get the extra seat. By leaving the lines where they are, no one is upset and no more time or money is wasted on this issue. Danell Robb danellrobb@yahoo.com

Link to comment

IP address: 66.87.66.82

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 3:04:04 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Brandon Plewe "Urban and Rural, no gerrymandering"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Chase Clyde <chase.clyde@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Nice map. I just made one very similar.

Link to comment

IP address: 67.186.240.228

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 3:25:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Tyler Riggs "TylerRiggs-CongressionalProposal"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

John Harrison <johnharrison@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is a pizza I could live with.Â Why can't the legislature come up with something as reasonable as this?

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.38.227.117

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 3:28:53 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Brandon Plewe "Urban and Rural, no gerrymandering"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Dbswen <dbswen@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Looks good to me. Â Now if the Legislature would just look at it.

Link to comment

IP address: 174.19.50.39

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 3:33:06 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Rep. Cox "Congressional MAP Z"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

John Harrison <johnharrison@gmail.com> wrote:

Why slice up both Davis County and Salt Lake City?Â Why not put all of Davis in district 1 and all of slc in district 2?Â Oh wait, I get it.Â You're gerrymandering!Â Lovely!

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.38.227.117

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 4:17:19 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 4:17 PM

Contact Information
Name: Debbie Swenson
Username: dbswen
Organization:
Email address: dbswen@gmail.com

Plan Information
Plan name: Utah State Board of Education revision by D. Swenson
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 6:46:27 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Tyler Riggs "TylerRiggs-CongressionalProposal"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Aaron Davis wrote:

much better than the original proposed map.
Â

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.37.124.65

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 8:56:28 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

utah_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote:

I like the map. I am trying to decrease the number of city splits. I have it down to a little of Taylorsville, Sandy and primarily left your split for Salt Lake City. I am still 12 people off with 2 districts at zero off target.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.38.238.126

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 6:49:51 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sen. McAdams 04 (10/3/11)
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Aaron Davis wrote:

i can live with this one ben.

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.37.124.65

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 9:09:34 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 9:09 PM

Contact Information
Name: Scott Christian Bauer
Username: scottcbauer
Organization:
Email address: scott@scottchristianbauer.net

Plan Information
Plan name: Bauer Consolidated Plan
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 9:07:40 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Brandon Plewe "Urban and Rural, no gerrymandering"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

StGres <waltersrichard3@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Perfect!

Link to comment

IP address: 209.33.208.17

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 9:09:40 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 5, 2011 at 9:09 PM

Contact Information
Name: Blake Olenik
Username: BlakeOlenik
Organization:
Email address: Blazin-B@live.com

Plan Information
Plan name: Utah Redistricting
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: "Scott Christian Bauer"
To: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/5/2011 9:24:23 PM
Subject: 'Bauer Consolidated Plan'
Attachments: Mime.822

The Committee and the Legislature really should take a look at the plan I just submitted online.

- - - - - - -

Signed > Scott Christian Bauer
Salt Lake City, Utah

Online @ **ScottChristianBauer.net**

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 9:28:02 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe wrote:

> I admire you trying to preserve counties as much as possible, but the result is that cities in Salt Lake County are shredded. Â Would there be a way to reduce SL Co to three splits instead of four? I don't think Cache and Rich counties would want to be separated from the rest of Northern Utah when most maps show that they don't have to be.

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 9:46:15 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe wrote, in response to Erik GÃ¼mbrecht:

> Juab County is most closely tied to Utah County, not Tooele. Â Tooele County isn't really tied to any other county in "Western" Utah, just to Salt Lake. Â So this approach makes sense geographically

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Erik GÃ¼mbrecht wrote:

> I like it. Â Although...I kind of wish the District with Tooele County extended further South. Â Compared to the other options on the table this is one of the best.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 9:51:36 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe wrote, in response to David Miller:

> What's interesting is with all the "pizza slice" and "rural-urban" excuses for political gerrymandering, this approach, which is probably the least gerrymandered way to do this, actually looks the most like pizza slices, and still has a rural-urban mix! Yes, it appears to create a safe democratic seat, but that's because of natural geography (the SLC/East SL Co/Park City agglomeration), not finagling.

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

David Miller wrote:

> This is definitely the best map being given serious consideration. I think it is worth splitting additional cities to get the population completely equal between the districts. I'm asking my legislators to support this.I agree with Curtis that this is the most logical split of Salt Lake County - much better than all the food variants that we have been asked to choke down.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:00:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S10, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Sumsion
Attachments: Mime.822

Brandon Plewe wrote:

> Why on Earth is Duchesne County sliced up? Â Guess we need to split up that Tabiona-Altamont power base. Â These congressional proposals seem to get hung up on getting a 0.00% match, even when that forces boundaries that don't make any sense. The guidelines say 0.1% is okay, most of the citizen proposals are less than 0.05%, and I'll bet anything less than 1% would pass in court as "substantially equal" (as the law says).

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:00:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S10, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Sumsion
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe wrote:

> Why on Earth is Duchesne County sliced up? Â Guess we need to split up that Tabiona-Altamont power base. Â These congressional proposals seem to get hung up on getting a 0.00% match, even when that forces boundaries that don't make any sense. The guidelines say 0.1% is okay, most of the citizen proposals are less than 0.05%, and I'll bet anything less than 1% would pass in court as "substantially equal" (as the law says).

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:17:16 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S04, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Dee
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

A good attempt at trying to make something workable from what came out of committee, but there's too much slicing of urban areas that don't need to be sliced (why not keep Utah County whole and take less of Salt Lake?), and the 3rd District is a random assortment of unrelated counties that just happen to be contiguous. Â Sanpete needs to stay with Sevier and Emery needs to stay with Carbon, but the only relationship between Emery and Sanpete is that Emery's original settlers came from Sanpete County 130 years ago.

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:43:48 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Curtis Haring (unregistered):

> I'm a moderate independent, but I've seen a lot of Democrats complaining about any plan that divides Salt Lake City (which is hard not to do) or Salt Lake County (which is impossible not to do). Â However, if the Democratic base region is divided in half (not fourths, as in most of the obvious gerrymanders), I believe it will actually create two competitive seats (and two safe GOP seats), rather than one safe Dem seat and 3 safe GOP seats. Â Which is better for the long-term viability of the Democratic Party (and the political process in Utah in general)?

Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Curtis Haring (unregistered) wrote:

> Well, if they insist on splitting SLCo, at least this is a logical split.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:33:46 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S03, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Harper
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

> This is a strategy that had to be put out there, since many people have been asking for something like this, but now that I see it, I don't think it works. Â In most cases, the districts are relatively compact, but why are Provo and Orem (and not even all of either of them) scooped out of the middle of Utah County? Â I would like to see something with the "bullseye" district centered on Salt Lake City rather than West Jordan (which makes geographic sense since it's the largest city), but it would be lambasted as a democratic power play by its opponents (the ones who are busy making republican power plays).

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 11:02:26 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Rep. Cox "Congressional MAP Z"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

utah_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote, in response to John Harrison:

> Population. Based on the counties selected Davis County is too big to fit all of it in the 1st district. If you drop Cache County, you can keep all of Davis County in district one, but you end up with almost all of Salt Lake City in district one as well.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.38.229.47

John Harrison wrote:

> Why slice up both Davis County and Salt Lake City?Â Why not put all of Davis in district 1 and all of slc in district 2?Â Oh wait, I get it.Â You're gerrymandering!Â Lovely!

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/5/2011 10:10:04 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S08, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

Thanks for getting this map out there, if only for comparison. I like the "rural district" idea in principle, and I think this is the best execution of it I have seen, but in the end I don't think it works. Â Rural Utah isn't any more monolithic than Urban Utah; there are really 5 distinct rural regions (SW, Central, SE, Uintah Basin, and the Great White North). If you could make a rural southern Utah district out of the first three (not including urban Utah County), that would be perfect, but there are not enough people.Â

Link to comment
IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 12:12:04 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 12:11 AM

Contact Information
Name: David Edward Garber
Username: DaveGarber1975
Organization: (none)
Email address: DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com

Plan Information
Plan name: Garber N-W/E-S Plan
Description: * This proposal respects Utah's political jurisdictions and population distributions and transportation routes and natural terrain.
* It places only three counties (Davis & Salt Lake & Utah) and three cities (Bountiful & Salt Lake City & Pleasant Grove) and one SLC neighborhood (Ball Park) in multiple districts.
* It keeps at least two present Congresspeople resident within their respective districts.
* It pays minimal attention to present districtal boundaries (for better or for worse).

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 12:42:16 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 12:42 AM

Contact Information
Name: Scott Christian Bauer
Username: scottcbauer
Organization:
Email address: scott@scottchristianbauer.net

Plan Information
Plan name: Bauer Consolidated 2
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Redistrict Utah
To: Fred Cox
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 6:56:03 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 6:55 AM

Contact Information
Name: Fred Cox
Username: utah_1
Organization:
Email address: fredcox@utah.gov

Plan Information
Plan name: Cox version of Sumsion_06 Modified A
Description: This modifies the map that passed the Redistricting Committee and also the Senate to avoid dividing cities where possible. Also known as Cox 10/11.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 7:02:42 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Sen. Okerlund
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

utah_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote, in response to VIGGOE (unregistered):

I have a map that decreases Holladay being divided from 3 to 2.

Link to comment
IP address: 71.38.229.47

VIGGOE (unregistered) wrote:

Obviously Salt Lake County has to be divided.Â But why not do it evenly along city limits and equally by population?Â Why divide Holladay between 3 districts, and Bountiful, Sandy/Draper, and Lehi/American Fork between 2?Â Show some consistency.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 7:27:21 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

LadyLogician wrote:

> OK - I was all set not to like this when I read the description (SLCO divided into all 4?) but in looking at how it is split, I like it a lot!

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.199.1.168

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:23:28 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Rep. Cox "Congressional MAP Z"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Sanpeteman <stevec@cut.net> (unregistered) wrote:

Of all the congressional maps submitted post-session opening, this is by far the best.Â Â Looks a lotÂ like mine but you kept Utah County whole.Â Great Job!Â

Link to comment

IP address: 67.207.47.7

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:42:22 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S06, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Sanpeteman <stevec@cut.net> (unregistered) wrote:

Excellent Map!!

Link to comment

IP address: 67.207.47.7

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:42:22 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S06, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Sanpeteman <stevec@cut.net> (unregistered) wrote:

Excellent Map!!

Link to comment
IP address: 67.207.47.7

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 9:40:54 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Redistricting Committee Adopted "Final Congressional Map 9/29/11"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Fastestfalcon123 <fastestfalcon123@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to Democrat Talking Points (unregistered):

> Good point. Democrats just simply cannot be happy in a republican state, even though it is the republican leadership that keeps our state's economy afloat amidst the obamanation.

Link to comment
IP address: 63.248.9.157

Democrat Talking Points (unregistered) wrote:

> DON'T GERRYMANDER! But draw a district slanted toward Democrats. WE WANT A DOUGHNUT HOLE! Wait, no. Not THAT doughnut hole. WE'RE GOING TO SUE! Even though we have no clue what map will pass, and none of the finalists hurt us that much.LEGISLATURE CAN'T DO ANYTHING RIGHT. Even when they do it doesn't matter. our talking points were written months ago.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 9:52:22 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Redistricting Committee Adopted "Final Congressional Map 9/29/11"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Fastestfalcon123 <fastestfalcon123@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Complainers and whiners unite! Nothing is good enough forÂ the democrat minority! Look, these are good boundaries, regardless of what anyone says. Yes, it can be argued that SLC is gerrymandered if you are ignorant and just look at the map. The fact is that if you divided up everything based solely on geography, then SLC and other big cities would be hugely under-represented, sinceÂ most of the state is rural.Â That's why you have to go off of population. The divisions made manage to have almost equal populations with a fair representation of both rural and urban in each zone. If the democrats think that they should have a single urban SLCÂ district all their own, then they'd best have more babies because the population just doesn't justify it.

Link to comment

IP address: 63.248.9.157

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 9:50:19 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 9:50 AM

Contact Information
Name: Scott Christian Bauer
Username: scottcbauer
Organization:
Email address: scott@scottchristianbauer.net

Plan Information
Plan name: Bauer Consolidated Final Plan
Description: Three urban districts and one rural district. This is my final plan submission.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 10:02:10 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

SovereignJoe <larryjensen@newcastleschool.com> wrote:

I think this map is one of the better ones.Â It meets the objective (on the surface) of urban/rural mix for each districts and it "looks" fairly logical.Â I'm concerned a bit about the division of cities.Â With that said, this one works.

Link to comment

IP address: 24.10.169.27

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 11:55:40 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Senate: r wren "Senate 27 and 16 realignment"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Dbswen <dbswen@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

> Political reasons may include the fact that if you push boundaries over county lines, it forces the election to the state convention instead of the county--insuring fewer delegates to wine and dine. ;-)

Link to comment

IP address: 174.19.50.39

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 1:04:35 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Rep. Cox "Congressional MAP Z"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

John Harrison <johnharrison@gmail.com> wrote, in response to utah_1:

> I'm calling any map that divides Salt Lake City questionable and any map that divides Salt Lake County more than two ways as likely an effort to dilute the political influence of the residents of the county. Â You know and I know that the whole urban/rural mix rationale for the pizza plans is a smokescreen.
>
> Here's a question for you: how many districts will Utah need to have before pizza plans begin to become unreasonable in your view? Â If we had 10 districts would you divide Salt Lake County 8 ways?

User's profile
Link to comment
IP address: 71.38.227.117

utah_1 wrote:

> Salt Lake County has to be divided. It has too many people. I believe at least 3 cities has to be divided to balance the districts. Are you are just calling any map you don't like gerrymandered?

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From:	Redistrict Utah
To:	
BC:	Redistricting Utah
Date:	10/6/2011 1:25:41 PM
Subject:	Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments:	Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 1:25 PM

Contact Information
Name: stephen worthington
Username: MrDoubleYoo
Organization:
Email address: stephen.worthington@aggiemail.usu.edu

Plan Information
Plan name: 2 Urbans, A Rural, and a Mix
Description: This plan keeps Utah's 1st district essentially the same (covering the pan handle), but does move Tooele and Juab counties into a large, rural district (District 4). District 4 covers the portions of Utah that are South of District 1 and outside the Wasatch front (though it does take in the portion of Utah county south of Provo. District 2 is urban Salt Lake, plus a bite of Davis county. District 3 is an urban district straddling Utah county and Salt Lake County.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 1:36:58 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Tyler Riggs "TylerRiggs-CongressionalProposal"
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

21stCenturySLC <drsconnor@cs.com> wrote:

Like this map, but think you will get voted down if you can't get you deviations lowered to 50-60 people.

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.195.139

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 3:08:16 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: Mime.822

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 3:08 PM

Contact Information
Name: Frank Anderson
Username: icaucus
Organization:
Email address: frank@icaucus.org

Plan Information
Plan name: 4 Corners -Meet in SLC
Description: All 4 districts have an origin in one of 4 corners of the state, and meet at the exact same intersection in Salt Lake County, with no concern about parties or incumbents.

This plan insures that all 4 districts include both Rural and Urban areas.

Keep as many counties as possible intact as you work inward from the 4 corners.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#