

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 5:15:23 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 4:15 PM

Contact Information

Name: Adam Bass
Username: adamgbass
Organization:
Email address: adamgbass@yahoo.com

Plan Information

Plan name: Adam G Bass Compact Districts
Description:

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 6:32:44 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

JB <jennybauman7@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Wow. This is one of the worst I've seen yet. Break up the one Democratic center so that it's sure to never have the majority vote anywhere.Å

Link to comment

IP address: 65.121.177.226

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 6:35:19 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 6, 2011 at 6:35 PM

Contact Information

Name: Bill Delaney
Username: marlow123
Organization:
Email address: wdelaney@whdnet.com

Plan Information

Plan name: fairboundaries1
Description: I15 divides Salt Lake County in half with District 2 representing the eastern side and District 4 representing the western side. District 1 represents the north part of the Wasatch Front, starting around the line between Ensign Peak and the Great Salt Lake. District 3 represents the southern Wasatch Front starting at Point of the Mountain. Rural counties are added to each district to balance the populations.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 6:43:23 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Kim <kdhigginson@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

As far as I'm concerned, this is the only viable option. The population deviation, urban/rural mix, roughly 50/50 split of Salt Lake County, and virtually all communities of interest intact. If they don't pass this map or at least give it serious support, I think the lawmakers will be seen as playing dirty, no matter what their reasoning. Is the threat of a lawsuit/image problem worth it to them? We shall see...

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.2.95.235

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 6:50:23 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Kim <kdhigginson@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote, in response to David Edward Garber:

I'm so glad your map is being given serious consideration! I think Rep. King compromised by breaking up a few cities in order to conform to the committee's strict population deviation requirements. A fair compromise, in my opinion.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.2.95.235

David Edward Garber wrote:

Ooo! A variant of my own proposal (linked below).
Interesting!<http://www.redistrictutah.com/>...I like how this variant simplifies my proposal's boundaries, but I also wonder if this simplification is worth the price of dividing additional cities between different districts. Mine divided only three cities, namely Bountiful and Salt Lake City and Pleasant Grove. Perhaps it's worth this price---I simply don't know. In any case, I thank Utah's legislators for giving this proposal (or something roughly like it) some serious consideration. I can't speak on behalf of it in person, but I invite others to visit the webpage linked above for a more thorough explanation of my reasoning behind it. As I've stated before, I think that it makes about as much sense as possible (considering the official ...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
To turn off notifications
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 7:43:37 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

The "worm" district grew. What an accomplishment. 15 citizen proposals were submitted on the redistricting tool yesterday, and this is the only one that made it onto the website?

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 7:50:05 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Owenander <owenander@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Looks good, good representation from all groups.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 184.167.150.11

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:21:46 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: David Edward Garber "Garber CDs D"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote, in response to Will Carlson (unregistered):

These cities weren't targets (and Pleasant Grove is far from a Democratic stronghold). Å It's just that if you want to create a compact northern Utah district, you use up all your population before you get South of Bountiful (which isn't much of a Democratic stronghold either). Å Same goes for Southern Utah. Å Salt Lake is hard to unify because it's so big. Å I was able to make a revision of this map that keeps Salt Lake together (#65204), but it forced other changes (a N-S split of Salt Lake County rather than E-W). Because of the relative location of Provo and Orem, you actually have to work hard to cut them up. Å By the way, the gerrymandered committee proposals that everyone hates do cut up Provo and Orem (along I-15), which very few people have complained about.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Will Carlson (unregistered) wrote:

David Garber's map is the closest thing to an appetizing pizza slice I've seen. But Å I still can't choke it down as the map clearly targets Utah's progressive population. The three cities that are split are the Largest (SLC), the fifteenth largest (Bountiful) and the twentieth largest (Pleasant Grove). If the map really needed to split population centers, it seems too convenient that the population centers of Provo, Orem, and West Jordan (all GOP dominated) are left in tact.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:25:50 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: David Edward Garber "Garber CDs D"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

I saw that you submitted something that looks the same on October 5th (which isn't showing up on the website yet). Â Is it different than this one? Â Just minor tweaks?

I submitted one that follows this same strategy (but with some significant differences) on the 5th too (#65204), which has not yet been posted. Â I would appreciate your feedback on it; perhaps there is a compromise that keeps the best of both plans?

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:47:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



John Harrison <johnharrison@gmail.com> wrote:

If Utah received 10 representatives would the GOP insist on splitting Salt Lake County 10 ways?

Seriously, take a sanity check. No country should be split between more than two districts, and very few should be split at all. The rationale that "every district needs a lot of federal land" argument doesn't seem to hold much sway when you're custom drawing a district for Wimmer.

[User's profile](#)

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 71.36.74.182

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 8:50:44 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

Okay, I looked at it in more detail, and it does improve in several details, especially the problems that so publicly humiliated the original committee plan: Holladay isn't divided three ways (but it's disconnected from the rest of Salt Lake County? Is there a Rob Bishop fan club in Holladay?); it doesn't divide Salt Lake City (but does split it from Park City). Å In fact, it divides a lot fewer cities overall, although "the worm" district does some reaching to grab half of Spanish Fork (apparently, Wimmer *really* wants my vote!); Midvale, Riverton, Lehi, and American Fork are also divided. Å And it still divides Salt Lake County four ways; in fact, it divides the more democratic East Side Å of the County four ways, while keeping all of the more republican West Side in one district!

Better, but still an obvious political gerrymander (and I'm not even a Democrat).

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 10:17:50 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Newbold Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

I have to say, of all the maps based on the SB3002 "Redwood Road 4th District" approach, this one is by far the least offensive. It keeps Salt Lake City intact, it "only" cuts Salt Lake three ways, it "only" slices six cities and three counties, and when you look at the whole state, "only" one district is badly gerrymandered.

That said, the committee needs to explain exactly how this "Redwood Road" approach makes more geographic sense than the Garber-King NSEW Quadrants approach, or the (almost as logical) Hat & Three Stripes approach. Stop simply ignoring better ideas by only comparing the variations of this strategy to each other; if this strategy is geographically better than those, defend it.

Link to comment

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: <http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 10:22:53 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Jason Myers wrote:

This seems like a map that would keep everyone happy.Â It's great, which will mean that the committee will probably immediately dismiss it.

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 67.172.227.74

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 10:28:47 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sumsion_10 Modification to "Waddoups Military and Salt Lake City Whole"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Jason Myers wrote:

Again, far too many county and municipal boundaries are split in this map. There are hundreds more viable options on this website. The committee should consider those.

[User's profile](#)

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.172.227.74

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 10:32:21 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

PLEASE REP. KING, force Reps. Lockhart, Sumsion, and Froerer to explain what is geographically wrong with this "NSEW Quadrants" strategy, or how their SB3002 "Redwood Road 4th District" strategy is even remotely as logical as this plan.

This map isn't perfect (Garber D is better in some ways, worse in others), but as a professional geographer (and political independent who believes party distribution should not be considered), this strategy makes the most sense *on the ground* of all the maps I've seen.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 160.7.242.31

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Debbie Swenson
To: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/6/2011 10:46:11 PM
Subject: Plan not on website
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

I submitted a plan on Oct. 5th for a revision on the state Board of Education map. I received an email stating that it had been submitted and would be on the website, but it has never showed up on the web. My legislator wanted to see it. Could you tell me where it is?

Debbie Swenson

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 11:31:44 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Newbold Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

Perhaps it renders a not-so-good proposal slightly better.Â But I still prefer my own proposal.Â I could go with Representative Cox's, as well, as a second choice.

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 11:02:06 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

I appreciate this interest in my proposal.Â This variant has cleaner district boundaries than my own, but it divides more cities.Â My proposal is linked below.

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/u-s-congressional-6-finalists/congress-david-edward-garber-%E2%80%9Cgarber-cds-d%E2%80%9D>

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 11:33:01 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Froerer Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

Perhaps it renders a not-so-good proposal slightly better.Â But I still prefer my own proposal, overall.Â I could go with Representative Cox's, as well, as a second choice.

[User's profile](#)

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: <http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/6/2011 11:42:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sumsion_10 Modification to "Waddoups Military and Salt Lake City Whole"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

No.Â This makes no sense to me.Â It's far too screwy a manner of divvying up Salt Lake County.Â I'm also curious what this map has to do with our military, why their special interests are being considered above others, and if it truly serves their interests, anyway, if that's what Waddoups intended to do via this proposal.

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: David Edward Garber
To: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/7/2011 12:07:06 AM
Subject: Utah's New U.S. Congressional Districts
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear committee members:

I understand that you will consider Representative King's variant of my proposal tomorrow. I hope that you will reconsider my original variant, as well. I note that his has cleaner boundaries than mine, but that mine divides far fewer cities than his does, which may irk fewer voters overall. Mine only splits three cities, and I couldn't possibly divide any fewer than this without major changes. I can't easily come speak in behalf of my own proposal at your meeting this morning, but I both invite and encourage you to read people's comments about it on my proposal's webpage (linked below) on your website, if you have not done so already. I suspect that you'll agree that it makes sense. Thank you!

David Edward Garber

<http://www.redistrictutah.com/maps/u-s-congressional-6-finalists/congress-david-edward-garber-%E2%80%9Cgarber-cds-d%E2%80%9D>

P.S. Please note that I made four minor corrections to my proposal earlier this week.

From: Redistrict Utah
To: Fred Cox
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/7/2011 2:10:07 AM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 7, 2011 at 2:10 AM

Contact Information

Name: Fred Cox
Username: utah_1
Organization:
Email address: fredcox@utah.gov

Plan Information

Plan name: Fair 4 Utah - Leonard S. Plazier - Rep. Cox Modified
Description: This map is to take a public map by Leonard S. Plazier that only divides one county and modifies to decrease the number of cities divided. It is a pizza map. Corrected.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 7:45:56 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Newbold Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

LadyLogician wrote:

I concur with Mr. Garber. Å The idea of SLC in a CD with ALL of southern Utah makes no sense at all. Å His map is much, MUCH better.

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 71.199.1.168

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 9:26:15 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Anthony Kelly "Plan"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISCUS

Carl <oatzen442@aol.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Why is the state divided into four geographical areas? Why can we not have four congresspersons that represent all the people and not just the ones in their geographical district? When elections take place, each voter could have four individual votes to cast for four separate individuals. In so doing, the top four vote getters would be the winners. We could then eliminate all this bickering!

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 24.10.213.59

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: <http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: David Edward Garber
To: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/7/2011 11:55:19 AM
Subject: Garber Plan & King Plan
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Dear committee members:

Thank you very much for giving my proposal, and Representative King's variant of it, such serious consideration today. Again, I apologize that I wasn't able to arrange to be there to speak in its own behalf, but I'm grateful that others did.

David Edward Garber

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 11:55:21 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Sanpeteman <stevec@cut.net> (unregistered) wrote:

Following Rep. Cox's comments at the RD meeting this morning, I took a look at this map. After studying it I wholeheartedly endorse this map and urge the Committee to adopt this map as Committee's final recommended Congressional map. It creates a near ideal urban/rural mix. It only splits one county, (SLC) and those splits are fair and logical. I intend to lobby vigorously for this map.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.207.47.7

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 12:49:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

I just finished a revision of this map that corrects the issues raised in Friday's hearing:

- It unifies Salt Lake City (in the East district)
- It unifies all of northern Utah County (UC is only 2 districts) in the West District
- It goes back to city limits through most of Salt Lake Valley
- It puts Chaffetz and Matheson in separate districts

Only downside is that I had to separate the Chesterfield neighborhood off of WVC.

I submitted it, but it's not on the website (and likely never will be). Å In the redistricting tool, it is #66006.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 128.187.97.6

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/7/2011 12:39:56 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 7, 2011 at 12:39 PM

Contact Information

Name: Brandon Plewe
Username: bplewe
Organization:
Email address: plewe@byu.edu

Plan Information

Plan name: King modified post-committee
Description: A revision of King's revision of Garber D. All of the advantages of its predecessors, plus corrects every issue raised in the Oct 7 committee meeting:
- Keeps Salt Lake City whole
- Only splits Utah County two ways
- follows city limits through most of Salt Lake Valley

There were a couple small sacrifices:

- Draper is divided along the county boundary
- Had to move the Chesterfield neighborhood of WVC into the eastern district, but it has a lot in common with SLC and SSLC.

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 1:58:21 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Ben Horsley wrote:

wow...makes sense!

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 205.124.170.119

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: David Edward Garber
To: Redistricting Utah, David Edward Garber
Date: 10/7/2011 2:57:14 PM
Subject: Re: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Are you still publishing these on redistrictutah.gov? I corrected a few errors in mine, since I got access to some better data about which precincts belonged to which cities. Is it too late to rename this file "Garber Plan"? The "N-W/E-S" thing is too awkward. And what's this "Objectives" section? I prepared a fuller analysis that I planned to post with this proposal as a comment...

 I've seen many U.S. Congressional redistricting proposals this year that divide Utah into various "pizza slices" and "doughnut holes" and such---but I still prefer a simple regional division, instead, such as this one that I've proposed.

My plan's most basic premise is that Utah should establish one regional district for each cardinal direction---or, more specifically, that it should create a northern district (#1) for both Ogden and Logan to share, that it should create a southern district (#4) for both Provo and St. George to share, and that it should then halve the remaining central Wendover-SLC-Vernal corridor into both a western district (#2) and an eastern district (#3) via a line that bisects SLC but otherwise roughly follows I-15 without splitting any other cities. So, to restate, I propose that Utah's four U.S. Congressional districts should be:

- * #1 for northern Utah (serving Ogden/Layton & Logan & the rural north);
- * #2 for western Utah (serving NW SLC & western Salt Lake County & the Salt Flats);
- * #3 for eastern Utah (serving SE SLC & eastern Salt Lake County & the Uintah Basin);
- * #4 for southern Utah (serving Provo/Orem & St. George & the rural south).

These four districts (as I've suggested mapping them) would split only one city (namely Bountiful and SLC and Pleasant Grove) in each of three counties (namely Davis and Salt Lake and Utah), while leaving all other 26 Utahn counties united, which division is rather minimal, and could easily remain so minimal as these districts' borders are readjusted every decade. Their shapes are about as compact as possible. Moreover, unlike most alternatives, they generally keep every rural hinterland connected to its most appropriate urban center and, similarly, they consistently extend along major transportation routes rather than across impassible natural terrain. This is because I think that it's irrational for any district to contain distinct areas that are less transportationally-linked with each other than with neighboring districts---so, I invariably sought to forge districts around what unites people (like highways) rather than what divides them (like canyons).

Salt Lake County has grown far too populous for a single district, but it is nearly populous enough for two, and so it seemed logical to me to halve it between two. Although I experimented with various ways to halve this capital county, none made as much sense to me as a simple longitudinal division between its west bench and its east bench. Because Salt Lake City spans both benches, and is so vast, it seemed best to me to restrict any municipal division to SLC alone, while leaving all other Salt Lake Valley cities intact. Some SLC residents may not enjoy having their city split in twain as I am

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 3:24:55 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Rep. Fred Cox_11
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

utah_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote:

IF we decide to only modify what the committee passed and also what passed the senate, this has the minimum changes I would recommend. It still keeps the basic areas that was voted on, but does keep Woods Cross whole, and Holladay not split in 3. The changes are designed to be minor.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.38.229.47

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete". Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel: <http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 4:44:05 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sen. McAdams Compromise Proposal
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

Compromise is good, but not all compromise is equally good. Â Garber-King is also a compromise and is far better than this map in every way I can think of. Â I would like to hear from those who prefer this map exactly what makes it better than Garber-King?
Â Unifying/dividing Salt Lake City doesn't count; their map could be easily fixed in details like this.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 128.187.97.6

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 4:44:05 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sen. McAdams Compromise Proposal
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote:

Compromise is good, but not all compromise is equally good. Â Garber-King is also a compromise and is far better than this map in every way I can think of. Â I would like to hear from those who prefer this map exactly what makes it better than Garber-King?
Â Unifying/dividing Salt Lake City doesn't count; their map could be easily fixed in details like this.

Link to comment

IP address: 128.187.97.6

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 4:49:35 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Brandon Plewe <kinesava@gmail.com> wrote, in response to utah_1:

utah_1, where is your version? Å What ID# is it in the redistricting tool? Å If only they would post citizen-submitted maps again.

Link to comment

IP address: 128.187.97.6

utah_1 wrote:

I was able to balance it down to zero or 1 person.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:00:20 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: Mime.822

DISQUS

Kim Higginson <kdhigginson@gmail.com> wrote:

With a shift of voters between northern UT county and southern Davis, those counties' concerns could be eliminated. Otherwise, I think this is a near perfect solution. I'll admit I do not like the idea of an all-encompassing rural district. This map meets the population deviation and urban/rural requirements favored by the committee without splitting Salt Lake County more than necessary. I think our lawmakers have an image problem, and this map would fix that as well. The cherry on top is that this map was submitted by a regular citizen, using the expensive redistricting software we taxpayers paid for. I look at the other proposed maps and wonder why they even bothered.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.2.95.235

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Redistrict Utah
To:
BC: Redistricting Utah
Date: 10/7/2011 5:00:18 PM
Subject: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

Thank you for submitting a proposed redistricting plan through Utah's online redistricting tool. Please note that all plans submitted will be made available for review by the Redistricting Committee and the general public on both the online tool and redistrictutah.com. Shortly after plans are submitted, they are posted on redistrictutah.com for public comment.

As time allows, the public can further discuss submitted plans during the public comment portion of future Redistricting Committee meetings. A full list of public meetings can be found at redistrictutah.com.

If you have any questions regarding submitted plans or technical issues with the online tool, email us at redistrictutah@utah.gov.

Plan submitted on October 7, 2011 at 5:00 PM

Contact Information

Name: David Edward Garber
Username: DaveGarber1975
Organization: (none)
Email address: DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com


Plan Information

Plan name: Garber Plan
Description: * This proposal respects Utah's political jurisdictions and population distributions and transportation routes and natural terrain.
* It places only three counties (Davis & Salt Lake & Utah) and three cities (Bountiful & Salt Lake City & Pleasant Grove) and one SLC neighborhood (Ball Park) in multiple districts.
* It keeps all three present U.S. Congresspeople resident within their current districts.
* It pays minimal attention to present districtal boundaries (for better or for worse).

Plan Objectives

#objectives#

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:00:29 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Maryann Martindale <maryann@betterutah.org> (unregistered) wrote:

This is a true compromise map. It gives those asking for combined rural/urban districts what they want while still attempting to preserve the communities of common interest in the concentrated rural areas. The fact that it seems to be the fairest map with compromise from both sides is probably the very thing that will make it completely unpalatable to the committee and full legislative body. But if they really meant it when meeting after meeting they repeated the same thing -- we're listening to the people -- then they need to take a stand, do what's right, stop letting partisanship dictate their results and vote for this map. Thanks Representative King for looking to a citizen-submitted map as your base from which to work. With some slight modifications, this can address the very few concerns that were raised during today's meeting. King/Garber map should be the adopted Congressional District map!

[User's profile](#)

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 98.202.83.27

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: David Edward Garber
To: Redistricting Utah, David Edward Garber
Date: 10/7/2011 5:04:19 PM
Subject: Re: Redistricting Plan Submitted
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:24:16 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S11, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. Cox
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote:

This method of quadrusecting Utah doesn't make much sense to me, overall, but I note that it could be much worse.

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:27:11 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: David Edward Garber "Garber CDs D"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to Cindy W (unregistered):

Thank you, Cindy! By the way, I just submitted another revision of it that renumbers these districts north-clockwise rather than north-southward, which I figured would be better overall.

[User's profile](#)

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Cindy W (unregistered) wrote:

This map is the best by far. Well done Mr. Garber.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
[To turn off notifications](#)
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:42:23 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

David Edward Garber <DaveGarber1975@yahoo.com> wrote, in response to Brandon Plewe:

I just checked it out, Brandon, and I like it very much---perhaps even better than my own.Â
My only recommendation would be for you to swap the numbers for districts 2 and 3.Â
Kudos!!!

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 174.27.229.12

Brandon Plewe wrote:

I just finished a revision of this map that corrects the issues raised in Friday's hearing:- It unifies Salt Lake City (in the East district)- It unifies all of northern Utah County (UC is only 2 districts) in the West District- It goes back to city limits through most of Salt Lake Valley- it puts Chaffetz and Matheson in separate districts Only downside is that I had to separate the Chesterfield neighborhood off of WVC.I submitted it, but it's not on the website (and likely never will be). Â In the redistricting tool, it is #66006.

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
To turn off notifications
Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 5:47:28 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Leonard S. Plaizier "Fair 4 Utah"
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

utah_1 <fred@utahenergyideas.com> wrote, in response to Brandon Plewe:

Since zero and 1 is possible, keeping it at zero or one decreases a court choosing a map vs the legislature and governor.

Link to comment

IP address: 71.38.229.47

Brandon Plewe wrote:

utah_1, your improvements definitely make this a better map (utah_1's map is #66001 in the tool, for the rest of you), and raises it up to maybe my 3rd favorite, but I'm still uncomfortable with separating Cache & Rich County from the rest of Northern Utah. I still don't see what the big deal is with getting it down to 0 variance if that forces us to make messy boundaries? If a difference of 100-150 has passed in court, why is 50 people so bad (if it allows for a map that is better in other ways)?

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 6:34:34 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Kjbiggs <kjbiggs@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

I am in support!!!

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 98.202.6.38

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 9:16:58 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Loretta J. <jjosette@q.com> (unregistered) wrote:

I think this is, by far, the best proposal to date. The rural areas will actually have a vote on the things that impact their lives. They have never had this to date. This is the most obvious, non-biased way to do things. Although I am a republican I am embarrassed by the way this redistricting has been such an obvious play to keep each district with the republicans in the majority. Although I vote for the person, not the party, I will be voting against any of the republicans to continue to lie about the fairness of the redistricting policy. Thank you Mr. King for your fairness and honesty.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.2.204.122

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 9:52:27 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

21stCenturySLC <drsconnor@cs.com> wrote, in response to Owenander (unregistered):

Twice the size maybe, but not as dense in population? The capitol city and county vs the 2nd largest county? There are already enough GOP voters in UT county despite splits, but splits in SL County do more damage to voter confidence in their leaders by diluting urban and independent voters. Just speculating?

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 98.202.195.139

Owenander (unregistered) wrote:

Dividing Utah county in 3 ways and SLC only twice which is twice the size of Utah county?Â
We need to be consistent in what we offer...

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/7/2011 10:50:38 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sen. McAdams Compromise Proposal
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Ben McAdams <benmcadams@gmail.com> wrote:

Honestly, I like Garber/King better too. What I'm trying to do with this map is extend the olive branch and try to find something that can be agreeable if Garber/King doesn't pass.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.161.247.206

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
To turn off notifications
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 2:29:51 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

VIGGOE <viggoe@aol.com> (unregistered) wrote:

This is probably my favorite map. I would make a few adjustments though. First, move your east district west in some spots in Salt Lake County to divide it along city boundaries (ie. the Jordan River). This would pull it out of Utah County making it less divided. The west district could pick up Juab county and/or the south end of Utah County to balance out the numbers.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 98.202.175.77

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 7:39:52 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Mob01 <qu.dang@gmail.com> wrote:

It looks like the most compact map yet.

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 98.202.137.21

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>
To turn off notifications
[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 9:45:54 AM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

Curt Bentley <curtbentley@gmail.com> (unregistered) wrote:

Whether it's this map, or some other modification of the original Garber version -- this should be what the committee and the legislature works off of from here on out.

I've been very vocal in my support of this map. The reasons I prefer it are clearly stated here:

<http://utahpoliticalsummary.com/2011/10/utah-redistricting-2011-the-latest/>

User's profile

Link to comment

IP address: 76.27.51.149

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 12:18:52 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Sen. McAdams Compromise Proposal
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



InvolvedAmerican <kelli@xmission.com> wrote:

I like this map because it gives the Republicans their pizza slice plan, and keeps many communities and cities together. A compromise.

Link to comment

IP address: 166.70.16.109

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 12:25:07 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: SB3002S07, Congressional Boundaries Designation, by Rep. King
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



Loretta J. <jljosette@q.com> (unregistered) wrote:

I think this map is by far the best solution

[Link to comment](#)

IP address: 67.2.204.122

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

[To turn off notifications](#)

[Unsubscribe from this thread](#)

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 12:43:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)



InvolvedAmerican <kelli@xmission.com> wrote:

This map was drawn, I believe, more compact than any other map still in the running with minimal splits to cities and counties. Å This seems very fair to all Utah citizens. Å I support this map 100%. Å Kelli Lundgren, RepresentMeUtah!

Link to comment

IP address: 166.70.16.109

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 12:43:08 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: King_01 (Modification to Garber D)
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

InvolvedAmerican <kelli@xmission.com> wrote:

This map was drawn, I believe, more compact than any other map still in the running with minimal splits to cities and counties. Â This seems very fair to all Utah citizens. Â I support this map 100%. Â Kelli Lundgren, RepresentMeUtah!

Link to comment

IP address: 166.70.16.109

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".
Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:
<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread

From: Disqus
To: Ric Cantrell, Disqus
Date: 10/8/2011 1:30:11 PM
Subject: [redistrictutah] Re: Congress: Lockhart_11 Modification to S.B. 3002
Attachments: [Mime.822](#)

DISQUS

glizz <calukes@comcast.net> wrote:

This is the worst one I have seen yet! Makes absolutely no sense at all!

Link to comment

IP address: 98.202.202.9

Options: You can moderate through email. Respond in the body with "Delete".

Respond in the body to post a reply comment. Or use the moderate panel:

<http://redistrictutah.disqus.com/admin/moderate/>

To turn off notifications

Unsubscribe from this thread